



**City of Phoenix**

**HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT  
2019 COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT**



**CITY OF PHOENIX  
HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT  
2019 COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT**

**PREPARED BY:**

**BURNS & ASSOCIATES, INC.  
3030 NORTH THIRD STREET  
SUITE 200  
PHOENIX, AZ 85012  
[WWW.BURNSHEALTHPOLICY.COM](http://WWW.BURNSHEALTHPOLICY.COM)**

**MAY 28, 2019**

# City of Phoenix Human Services Department 2019 Community Assessment

## Table of Contents

Executive Summary .....	v
Demographic Overview .....	v
Early Learning.....	vi
Education.....	vi
Employment .....	vii
Income Management and Self Sufficiency .....	viii
Housing .....	viii
Nutrition .....	ix
Health .....	ix
Safety.....	x
Emergency Services .....	x
Services for Seniors.....	xi
Services for Youth.....	xi
Introduction.....	1
Data Sources and Methodologies .....	3
Stakeholder Engagement.....	5
Demographic Overview .....	10
Highlights .....	10
Data and Indicators.....	11
Community Perspectives.....	21
Domain 1: Early Learning .....	25
Highlights .....	25
Data and Indicators.....	26
Community Perspectives.....	32
Domain 2: Education .....	34
Highlights .....	34
Data and Indicators.....	35



Community Perspectives.....	45
Domain 3: Employment.....	46
Highlights.....	46
Data and Indicators.....	47
Community Perspectives.....	52
Domain 4: Income Management and Self Sufficiency.....	53
Highlights.....	53
Data and Indicators.....	54
Community Perspectives.....	59
Domain 5: Housing.....	60
Highlights.....	60
Data and Indicators.....	61
Community Perspectives.....	64
Domain 6: Nutrition.....	65
Highlights.....	66
Data and Indicators.....	67
Community Perspectives.....	72
Domain 7: Health.....	73
Highlights.....	73
Data and Indicators.....	75
Community Perspectives.....	81
Domain 8: Safety.....	82
Highlights.....	82
Data and Indicators.....	83
Community Perspectives.....	87
Domain 9: Emergency Services.....	88
Highlights.....	88
Data and Indicators.....	89
Community Perspectives.....	92
Domain 10: Services for Seniors.....	94
Highlights.....	94
Data and Indicators.....	95



Community Perspectives.....	98
Domain 11: Services for Youth .....	100
Highlights .....	100
Data and Indicators.....	101
Community Perspectives.....	102



## List of Appendices

Appendix A	Census and Caseload Data Allocation Methodology
Appendix B	Additional Information Relating to Demographics
Appendix C	Additional Information Relating to Education
Appendix D	Additional Information Relating to Employment
Appendix E	Additional Information Relating to Housing
Appendix F	Additional Information Relating to Safety
Appendix G	Additional Information Relating to State and Federal Assistance Caseloads
Appendix H	Head Start Delegate Agency Service Area Demographics and Maps
Appendix I	Additional Information Relating to Community Surveys
Appendix J	Additional Information Relating to Health
Appendix K	Maps



## Executive Summary

The City of Phoenix Human Services Department (HSD) has completed the 2019 Community Assessment in accordance with federal Head Start and Community Services Block Grant requirements. The 2019 Community Assessment documents the characteristics of individuals and families served by HSD programs, the needs of the City's diverse residents and communities, and potential service gaps. The report and accompanying appendices provide HSD and City leaders with current information from published sources as well as input from service users and other stakeholders to inform strategic planning.

The report is organized around 11 primary domains and a demographic overview section that discuss specific topics relevant to HSD's client population and service planning. Each section includes an overview with key results, identified needs, a detailed analysis of data and topic related indicators, and a summary of the opinions and perspectives of community residents, providers, and partners gathered through surveys and focus groups. An overview of each section is provided below.

### Demographic Overview

This section provides information about the City's residents, the population distribution by age, race ethnicity, household income, poverty status, and educational attainment.

Since the 2016 Community Assessment, the City regained its ranking as the fifth most populous city in the United States. The growth in population is likely to place additional demands on HSD services. The growth in certain populations will influence the types of services that are needed and how these services are delivered. For example, individuals of Hispanic descent represent the fastest growing racial or ethnic group in the City, and this population is more likely to live in non-English-speaking households.

The City's strong economy helped to reduce the City's poverty rate by two percentage points compared to the 2016 Community Assessment, while increasing the median household income from \$46,881 to \$52,080. However, one-in-five residents continue to live below the federal poverty level.

Transportation is a key need for many low-income individuals. A lack of transportation can represent a barrier to accessing better quality schools, higher paying jobs, healthcare appointments and other community supports, and nutritious food outlets.



## Early Learning

This section describes preschool enrollment rates across the City, the Early Head Start and Head Start eligible population, the availability of licensed child care options, and programs that assist families to access child care.

There are a number of programs that assist families to access early learning and child care programs, but many of these programs have limited reach. This challenge was evident in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey administered as part of the assessment: nearly a quarter of the respondents reported that they struggle with accessing child care.

HSD has funding to support enrollment of less than a quarter of the City's eligible child population for Head Start and less than five percent of children eligible for Early Head Start. Those families who do participate in the Early Head Start and Head Start programs report a high level of satisfaction with the quality of instruction received, quality of child care offered, and convenience of location.

In addition to Early Head Start and Head Start, the City is home to more than 335 licensed child care providers within the Head Start service area with capacity for more than 37,000 children. However, the affordability of such programs is a barrier for many families, and public assistance for child care programs, including the Department of Economic Security's child care subsidy and First Things First Quality First Scholarship program provided funding to fewer than 5,300 children under five years of age in 2018. This represents a significant gap in early learning for children in Phoenix, especially considering only 32.7 percent of three and four-year olds in Phoenix attend preschool, significantly lagging the 47.5 percent preschool enrollment rate nationwide. Participants in the Head Start and Early Head Start focus groups indicated child care was among their greatest needs, and generally agreed that fewer full-time slots were preferable over more part-time slots, as this enabled greater flexibility for parents to work while their child attended the programs.

## Education

This section describes the availability and quality of public district and charter schools in the City, including district and village level details regarding AzMERIT proficiency as well as information regarding the availability and cost of post-secondary education for Phoenix residents.

The 270 district schools and 165 charter schools located in the City serve more than 250,000 students. The proportion of children attending charter schools increased since the 2016 Community Assessment. In the 2017-18 school year, 63 percent of charter schools received a school letter grade of 'A' or 'B', compared to 47 percent of district schools. Children with a disability, a need for financial assistance through the free and reduced price lunch program, and





children who are English Language Learners are more likely to attend a district school than a charter school to obtain these crucial supports.

There are significant differences in the proficiency levels achieved by students attending different school districts. For example, while more than half of all students attending Kyrene and Madison Elementary school districts achieved proficiency in both English Language Arts and math, fewer than 20 percent of the students attending the Isaac and Murphy Elementary school districts achieved proficiency in these subjects in the 2017-18 school year.

While educational attainment is directly correlated with higher levels of income and reduced unemployment, both keys to greater levels of self-sufficiency, nearly half of the State's 2017 graduating cohort did not enroll in a two or four-year college following graduation, which substantially lags the national college enrollment rate of nearly 70 percent.

## Employment

This section highlights unemployment rates since 2010, job growth in the City by major industry, projected job growth through 2026, and some of the public and community job placement services available to job seeking residents, including the City's youth.

The City's unemployment rate continues to improve since exceeding nine percent in 2010 and has remained at 4.2 percent in recent months. Since 2015, the City added nearly 200,000 jobs, and is projected to add more than 150,000 jobs by 2026. The largest numeric growth in jobs by industry was in the education and health services industry followed by professional and business services.

Despite the growing Phoenix economy and the availability of public employment assistance programs like ARIZONA@WORK and community providers like Goodwill of Central Arizona and Chicanos por la Causa, nearly 10 percent of the residents surveyed as part of the assessment indicated employment assistance and job training were services they desired but had difficulty accessing. Among the primary barriers to employment cited by survey participants was transportation and child care.

Participants in the 2019 Provider and Partner Survey identified the availability of job training and wrap-around employment services among the most effective programs to help low-income individuals break free of poverty.



## Income Management and Self Sufficiency

This section includes an overview of household incomes of City residents, an overview of the living wage estimates for City residents of various household compositions, and public assistance programs that provide cash and non-cash assistance to individuals and families.

Since the 2016 Community Assessment, there has been a four-percentage point decrease in the number of households living in the bottom three income brackets and an equal increase in the number of households in the top three brackets. Despite these gains, many families continue to earn a wage that is below the cost of living in Phoenix. Programs such as cash assistance, Social Security, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), Women, Infants and Children (WIC), Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP), and rental assistance represent important supports for many Phoenix families that struggle to acquire their basic necessities, including housing, food, and transportation. However, due to funding and/or eligibility limitations many of these programs have limited reach to those in need. For example the City of Phoenix's LIHEAP funding can only address less 3 percent of the eligible population.

## Housing

This section discusses changes in the affordability of housing in the City, including changes in housing sales volumes and prices, changes in the fair market value of rents in the City since the 2016 Community Assessment, and trends in evictions.

From 2010 to 2018, median sales prices steadily increased from \$84,900 to \$221,000. While the increased median sales price demonstrates a strong recovery for the Phoenix housing market, it has exacerbated the issue of affordable housing for low-income Phoenix residents. In addition, since 2010, the current fair market rent for a two-bedroom home in Phoenix has risen by 16.7 percent. The Phoenix area's current rental housing supply has only 21 affordable units for every 100 low-income households.

As rents in the City continue to rise, the risk of eviction also rises. In both 2017 and 2018, more than 22,000 Phoenix individuals and families received eviction notices, representing nearly four percent of the total number of Phoenix households. The risk of evictions in the City threatens the housing stability of those affected, and as recently as 2017, Phoenix was ranked second among major U.S. metropolitan areas in the highest number of apartment evictions.

The City's Housing Department provides critical supports to thousands of individuals and families in the City, with nearly 5,000 City-owned units of public and affordable housing and almost 6,700 housing choice vouchers to assist low income residents. However, as of December 2018, there were more than 5,200 individuals on waiting lists for the City's housing choice vouchers.



Nearly one-in-five residents participating in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey conducted as part of this assessment identified affordable housing among the greatest challenges they face, while participants in the family services centers focus group indicated they had difficulty affording housing costs in the previous year.

## Nutrition

This section discusses the various public and community programs in place to reduce hunger and improve nutrition, including free and reduced price meals for students, SNAP, WIC, the home delivered meals program, and food banks and pantries located across the City.

Nearly a third of Phoenix residents live more than a mile from nutritious outlets. Additionally, although food insecurity rates are not measured at the city level, more than one-in-eight residents across Maricopa County were considered food insecure in the most recently published data. Lack of access to sufficient and nutritious food contributes to hunger for some, and promotes obesity for others when their primary foods are non-nutritious. Food assistance programs, therefore, remain critical to the City's low-income individuals and families.

Almost 70 percent of students attending a district school in Phoenix received a free or reduced price lunch in the 2017-18 school year, while nearly a third of all households in the Alhambra, Central City, Estrella, Maryvale, and South Mountain planning villages receive SNAP benefits. The City is also home to 40 food banks, food pantries, soup kitchens, and emergency food box distributors, which is an important asset to the City's residents considering 25 percent of the participants in the survey conducted as part of the assessment utilized such services in the prior year.

Residents participating in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey who receive home delivered meals through HSD provided high ratings for the quality of the service and professionalism of staff, while participants in the senior centers focus group cited the meals served at senior centers among the top reasons they attend.

## Health

This section describes health insurance rates in the City, the type and availability of healthcare providers in the City, birth and maternity statistics of Phoenix mothers and their newborns, statistics related to the primary causes of death of Phoenix residents, and other health-related data.

The percentage of Phoenix residents with health insurance has improved from 82 percent in 2014 to 87 percent in 2017, while almost 95 percent of the City's children have health insurance. The City is home to 29 hospitals, 350 assisted living centers, and 169 residential behavioral health centers. However, ten of the City's planning villages are designated by the Arizona Department



of Health Service (ADHS) as medically underserved areas meaning the area has some combination of too few primary care providers, a high infant mortality rate, high poverty, or a high elderly population.

Mental and behavioral health supports are as important as physical healthcare for low-income individuals, especially because rates of mental illness are highest among adults living below the federal poverty level. However, the State ranked below average for mental health supports for adults, and 42<sup>nd</sup> among all states for mental health supports for children. Unsheltered homeless populations in the City and across Maricopa County cite substance abuse and mental health issues as the primary barriers to holding a job or living in stable housing.

## Safety

This section discusses crime related statistics and changes in crime rates since the 2016 Community Assessment, as well as data regarding the City's police and fire services, staffing, and call types and volumes in the previous year.

Property crime rates in the City decreased by nearly 30 percent in the past decade, although the City ranks third highest in property crime rates across the 10 largest U.S. cities. Violent crime reached a 10-year high in the City in 2017. Residents participating in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey identified drug use as the greatest challenge and property crime as the second greatest challenge in their neighborhoods, while fewer than 12 percent identified a positive police presence among the strengths of their neighborhoods.

## Emergency Services

This section discusses emergency assistance available to Phoenix residents, including the City's Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP), utility assistance, CSBG case management services, and homeless services available to homeless and transient populations living in Phoenix.

The City maintains strong partnerships with community and faith-based organizations to assist individuals and families facing emergencies, such as utility shut-off or evictions. Presently, LIHEAP funding serves less than 3 percent of the eligible population. Additionally, as noted in the Housing Domain, in both 2017 and 2018, more than 22,000 Phoenix individuals and families received eviction notices, representing nearly four percent of the total number of Phoenix households. As recently as 2017, Phoenix was ranked second among major U.S. metropolitan areas in apartment evictions. Participants in the family services centers focus group identified rental and utility assistance offered through family services centers among the top services to help them meet their basic needs.



According to the 2018 point-in-time homeless street count, there were over 2,500 homeless individuals living in Phoenix and other areas of Maricopa County, continuing a multi-year increase in the number of unsheltered individuals with a nearly 150 percent increase since 2014.

### Services for Seniors

This section describes the demographics of Phoenix residents older than 60 and select supports available to this group through HSD senior centers, income supports available through Social Security, and services available through the Area Agency on Aging.

As a group, older individuals are more affluent than other age groups. The poverty rate of seniors is 12.2 percent, which is significantly less than the City's overall 21.0 percent poverty rate. Income supports for older residents in the City include Social Security, which more than 130,000 retirees in the City received in 2017. Almost 4 percent of Phoenix residents age 65 and older have a disability, with the greatest share of disabilities attributed to an ambulatory difficulty.

Residents that attend the City's senior centers report a high degree of satisfaction with the hours, professionalism of staff, and quality of programs and activities. Seniors who participated in the senior center focus group conducted as part of this assessment felt that the program provided balance to their lives while providing important opportunities for socialization, but expressed a need for improved afternoon programming and more opportunities for exercise activities, including better exercise equipment and amenities.

### Services for Youth

This section describes the various community amenities available to the City's youth and their families as well as select services available to youth, including those offered by the City and other community partners.

The City offers a host of opportunities for the City's youth to access recreational, athletic, artistic, and educational pursuits outside of school hours, including more than 40 Phoenix afterschool center sites, 181 parks, 29 pools, seven museums, and five sports complexes. Non-profit and charitable organizations such as the YMCA and Boys and Girls Club offer additional services to the City's youth, including sports and recreation, job training, and other structured activities. Residents participating in the survey conducted as part of this assessment reported a lack of after school activities as the third greatest challenge facing their neighborhoods, while participants receiving Early Head Start and Head Start services ranked a lack of after school activities the greatest and second greatest challenges, respectively.

## Introduction

The City of Phoenix’s Human Services Department (HSD) provides a comprehensive array of services to help City residents meet emergency, short-term, and long-term needs and to reach their highest level of self-sufficiency. Programs include early childhood education, emergency assistance, employment services (including career counseling and job placement services), services for older adults, services for crime victims, services for individuals experiencing homelessness, and community and faith-based initiatives.

Some of these programs are funded at least in part by federal funds, including Head Start and the Community Services Block Grant (CSBG). Both of these grants require grantees to conduct periodic community assessments.

Specifically, the law establishing the Community Services Block Grant requires (*emphasis added*):

an assurance that the State will secure from each eligible entity in the State, as a condition to receipt of funding by the entity through a community services block grant made under this chapter for a program, *a community action plan* (which shall be submitted to the Secretary, at the request of the Secretary, with the State plan) *that includes a community-needs assessment for the community served*, which may be coordinated with community-needs assessments conducted for other programs;<sup>1</sup>

Related federal guidance requires assessments to be conducted every three years, the collection of current data related to poverty, and the compilation of information collected directly from low-income individuals.<sup>2</sup> The assessment is expected to support agencies’ work to further the program’s three national goals:

1. Individuals and families with low incomes are stable and achieve economic security
2. Communities where people with low incomes live are healthy and offer economic opportunity
3. People with low incomes are engaged and active in building opportunities in communities

Federal Head Start regulations require grantees to conduct assessments that describe community strengths, needs, and resources and include, at a minimum:<sup>3</sup>

---

1 42 United States Code § 9908(b)(11).

2 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Community Services. (August 18, 2017). CSBG Dear Colleague Letter Update on CSBG Performance Management. Retrieved from <https://www.acf.hhs.gov/ocs/resource/csbg-dear-colleague-letter-update-on-csbg-performance-management>.

3 45 CFR 1302.11



- (1) The number of eligible infants, toddlers, preschool age children, and expectant mothers, including their geographic location, race, ethnicity, and languages they speak, including:
  - (a) Children experiencing homelessness in collaboration with, to the extent possible, McKinney-Vento Local Education Agency Liaisons (42 U.S.C. 11432 (6)(A));
  - (b) Children in foster care; and
  - (c) Children with disabilities, including types of disabilities and relevant services and resources provided to these children by community agencies;
- (2) The education, health, nutrition and social service needs of eligible children and their families, including prevalent social or economic factors that impact their well-being;
- (3) Typical work, school, and training schedules of parents with eligible children;
- (4) Other child development, child care centers, and family child care programs that serve eligible children, including home visiting, publicly funded state and local preschools, and the approximate number of eligible children served;
- (5) Resources that are available in the community to address the needs of eligible children and their families; and,
- (6) A program must annually review and update the community assessment to reflect any significant changes including increased availability of publicly-funded pre-kindergarten- (including an assessment of how the pre-kindergarten available in the community meets the needs of the parents and children served by the program, and whether it is offered for a full school day), rates of family and child homelessness, and significant shifts in community demographics and resources.
- (7) A program must consider whether the characteristics of the community allow it to include children from diverse economic backgrounds that would be supported by other funding sources, including private pay, in addition to the program's eligible funded enrollment. A program must not enroll children from diverse economic backgrounds if it would result in a program serving less than its eligible funded enrollment.


The regulation further requires that Head Start grantees use this information to help determine program objectives, services, recruitment areas, and priorities.

This assessment has been conducted to comply with the above requirements. In addition to meeting federal requirements, undertaking a regular assessment of the community affords HSD the opportunity to understand the needs of the individuals and families it serves, to identify the strengths of its programs as well as potential gaps, and to support strategic planning and allocation of resources.



The community assessment process provides an ongoing feedback loop to inform HSD leaders as to changes in community make-up, strengths, and needs to ensure services and resources are allocated effectively and efficiently. The diagram in Figure 1 illustrates the community assessment cycle.<sup>4</sup>

**Figure 1: Community Assessment and Strategic Planning Cycle**



HSD has adopted multiple strategies for planning, implementing, and evaluating progress toward its goals. Among these strategies are measures to promote linkages to job training and other employment and educational resources for low-income households, development of performance-based measures to promote effective program management, and engaging faith and community-based organizations by promoting awareness of social services issues and developing their ability to actively respond to these needs.<sup>5</sup>

### Data Sources and Methodologies

A variety of data sources have been incorporated in the assessment including:

- Census data

<sup>4</sup> Adapted from guidance issued by the Early Childhood National Centers on Program Management and Fiscal Operations. Retrieved from <https://eclkc.ohs.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/pdf/planning-cycle-handout-from-compliance.pdf>.

<sup>5</sup> City of Phoenix. (n.d.). Social Services Delivery Strategic Plan. Retrieved from <https://www.phoenix.gov/citymanager/strategicplan/study-areas/social-services-delivery>.






- Service data from federal, State, and City agencies
- Surveys of HSD service recipients and partners
- Secondary research to identify other relevant information from other public sources, non-profit organizations, and trade groups

In order to compile the most current demographic data, this report relies on the Census Bureau's five-year American Community Survey (ACS) estimates for the period of 2013 through 2017, unless otherwise noted. The ACS is an ongoing survey that collects information from approximately one percent of American households each year and uses this sample to develop full population estimates. The five-year ACS data produced a Phoenix population estimate of 1,597,866, which is about 1.7 percent less than the Census Bureau's official 2017 estimate of 1,626,078.<sup>6</sup> Detailed information regarding the ACS tables used and methodologies employed is provided in Appendix A.

Phoenix is a large and diverse city. Data is therefore presented in terms of individual areas of the City whenever possible. Specifically, this assessment relies on the City's 15 planning villages to summarize data available at either the census tract or zip code level. Figure 2 illustrates the village boundaries and Appendix A includes discussion of the methodology used to apportion data across the villages. Additionally, data relevant to the Early Head Start and Head Start programs is presented according to the programs' specific service areas.

In order to provide a thorough overview of the public assistance programs available to low-income individuals and families and of how demand for these programs has changed in recent

**Figure 2: Phoenix Planning Villages**



<sup>6</sup> U.S. Census Bureau. Quick Facts – Population estimates as of July 1, 2017. Retrieved from <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/phoenixcityarizona>.



years, the assessment gathered enrollment data from a number of government agencies, including:

- City of Phoenix's Human Services and Housing Departments
- Arizona Departments of Child Safety (DCS), Economic Security (DES), Education (ADE), and Health Services (ADHS), and the Arizona Early Childhood Development and Health Board (First Things First)
- Federal Social Security Administration and Department of Housing and Urban Development

### Stakeholder Engagement

Input received directly from City residents, HSD clients, City partners, and service providers through two community surveys and a series of focus groups provided firsthand information regarding the strengths and needs of the City's neighborhoods and residents.


The 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey sought to gauge respondents' opinions regarding their communities' strengths and challenges, the types of services they need and use, and their satisfaction with HSD services specifically. The surveys were made available in written form and online in English and Spanish at Head Start delegate agency sites, senior centers, and family services centers. A combined 1,899 client surveys (1,545 English and 354 Spanish) were completed. As illustrated in Figure 3, the majority of responses among those who reported receiving HSD services were provided by recipients of Head Start, senior center, or home delivered meals services.<sup>7</sup>

---

<sup>7</sup> Although 1,899 surveys were completed, the count of responses by program exceeds this total because some individuals receive more than one service.




**Figure 3: Client Survey Responses by HSD Program**



Respondents reported the top three strengths in their neighborhoods and communities as well as the top three challenges they face as illustrated in Figure 4.

**Figure 4: Top 3 Strengths and Challenges Reported by Residents and Clients**




Residents and clients place a high value on City services and more than a quarter of all respondents felt housing and transportation were strengths of their communities. The greatest reported challenges are drug use (selected by 377 respondents), property crimes (267), and a lack of after school activities (239). There were important differences in opinions across the client



groups. For example, while public transportation was ranked the third greatest strength by all respondents, it was ranked seventh by senior center respondents and first by family services center respondents.

The 2019 Provider and Partner Survey was available in English and Spanish, and was administered electronically to HSD partners and service providers. A total of 83 responses were received (82 English and 1 Spanish) from a variety of community providers and partners, including local businesses and community partners (19), education stakeholders, including Head Start and Early Head Start (18), government representatives (10), program volunteers (7), healthcare industry representatives (4), and other stakeholders (25). Figure 5 illustrates the top three neighborhood strengths and challenges reported by the partners and providers responding to the survey.<sup>8</sup>

**Figure 5: Top 3 Strengths and Challenges Reported by Partners and Providers**



As the figure indicates, respondents to the 2019 Provider and Partner Survey diverged in their opinions when compared to responding residents and clients in several ways. For example, while residents and clients identified affordable housing as a strength of their neighborhood, partners and providers identified a lack of affordable housing as a challenge. Both residents and partners identified City services as a strength, and drug use as the greatest challenge.

<sup>8</sup> The English survey instruments and detailed tabulations of survey responses are included in Appendix I.



To gain additional input from clients, residents, HSD partners, HSD staff, and other stakeholders, a series of six focus groups were facilitated in January 2019. The purpose of each focus group, number of participants, and discussion topics are described in Figure 6.

**Figure 6: Focus Group Compositions and Discussion Topics**

<b>Focus Group</b>	<b>Participants</b>	<b>Discussion Topics</b>
Family Services Centers	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Most effective services in meeting basic needs</li> <li>• Service gaps</li> <li>• Primary reasons individuals and families remain in poverty</li> </ul>
Community Partners	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Primary barriers for individuals in breaking free of poverty</li> <li>• Most effective services in assisting individuals to break free from poverty</li> <li>• Strategies for reducing poverty in the City</li> </ul>
HSD Staff	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Measures of success for HSD programs and services</li> <li>• Primary barriers clients face in achieving self-sufficiency</li> <li>• Existing community partnerships to offset program gaps</li> </ul>
Early Head Start (EHS)/ Head Start (HS)*	5 (EHS)/ 10 (HS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Definition of school readiness</li> <li>• Goals and objectives while attending EHS/ HS</li> <li>• Barriers to accessing healthcare</li> <li>• Other forms of childcare utilized by families</li> </ul>
Senior Centers	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Quality of senior center programming</li> <li>• Frequency of attendance</li> <li>• Potential improvements to senior center sites and services</li> </ul>

\*Focus group questions for EHS and HS were the same.

Feedback from Head Start and Early Head Start, senior center, and family services center clients regarding HSD program services was positive. Participants agreed that the City was a center of diversity, cultural celebration, and an enjoyable place to live and raise families. Participants from Head Start and Early Head Start focus groups reported that the respective programs provide a rich educational and social experience for their children. Participants from the senior center focus group indicated that among the primary reasons they regularly attend a senior center was the life balance the centers provide, the sense of community they promote, and the opportunities for socialization. Participants from the family services center focus group appreciate the quality of case management and the resources and referrals provided.



HSD clients participating in the focus groups generally reported needs in the following areas:

- Additional resources and information regarding the array of services and supports available through the City and community partners, as well as additional assistance in navigating the systems
- Fewer hurdles to accessing basic supports, such as free or subsidized bus passes
- Financial literacy resources for lower-income families

Feedback received from HSD and partner and provider focus groups included:

- Services need to be provided in the most effective manner based on the needs of the clients served; for example, seniors have general preferences toward face-to-face case management while younger clients may be amenable to internet-based solutions
- Eligibility for income-based services may, in some cases, be too restrictive; for example, income requirements based solely on gross income do not recognize actual income after expenses, which may leave clients unable to access the basic supports they need
- Wraparound services and cross-service coordination are generally seen as the most effective way to serve low-income clients and to help them break free of the poverty cycle; however, the current system of supports is not particularly well-coordinated and wraparound service delivery, although present, can be improved

Insights gained from the community surveys and the focus groups were informative in the assessment of community needs and assets and are discussed throughout this report.



## Demographic Overview

Planning and monitoring the effectiveness of HSD services requires an understanding of the community being served.<sup>9</sup> The demographics of the City's residents influence *what* types of services are needed (for example, programming for infants and toddlers will differ from programming for seniors), *where* the services are located, and *how* services are delivered (for example, ensuring that services are culturally appropriate).

## Highlights

### *Key Results and Figures*

- *Phoenix is among the largest cities in the nation.* Phoenix gained more than 86,000 residents, regaining its ranking as the fifth most populous city in the United States
- *Employment rates are increasing.* The percentage of residents aged 16 and older who are employed increased from 59.3 percent to 61.6 percent
- *Poverty rates are decreasing.* The percentage of households living in poverty decreased from 19.0 percent to 17.4 percent; individuals living in poverty decreased at nearly the same rate, from 23.2 percent to 21.0 percent
- *Increases in household income assist families in meeting their basic needs.* The median household income in the City increased 11 percent, from \$46,881 to \$52,080
- *Phoenix is growing more diverse.* The Hispanic population grew 11 percent, and now comprises 42.3 percent of the City's population, while nearly 57 percent of children under five years of age are of Hispanic descent

### *Needs and Gaps*

- *Phoenix is one of the fastest growing cities in the country.* Population projections indicate the City will grow by a quarter-million residents by 2030, which will increase service demands for HSD
- *One-in-five residents live in poverty.* The City's poverty rate has declined in recent years, but 21 percent of residents – including a third of children – are living in poverty
- *One-in-four households are non-English-speaking.* The City will need to address linguistically isolated households as the Hispanic population is the fastest growing racial or ethnic group and a substantial proportion of this population do not speak English; the

---


9 National Association for State Community Services Programs. (July 2011). A Community Action Guide to Comprehensive Community Needs Assessments. Retrieved from <https://nascsp.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/needs-assessment-final-8.22-print-to-pdf.pdf>.



percentage of households that sometimes or always speak Spanish at home grew from 25.9 percent in 2014 to 27.0 percent in 2017

- *Transportation is critical.* Access to reliable transportation is a barrier for some Phoenix residents, as a lack of transportation reduces access to quality schools, nutritious food outlets, higher-paying jobs, and healthcare; presently, there is not a dedicated benefit to assist low-income individuals and families with discounted or free bus passes on a continuous basis, which is a need for many low-income residents in the City

**Figure 7: Projected Population Growth by Planning Village (2020-2030)**



### Data and Indicators

In 2017, Phoenix was home to nearly 1.6 million residents,<sup>10</sup> making it the fifth-most populous city in the country. Phoenix added more than 24,000 residents between July 1, 2016 and July 1, 2017, the second-highest numeric increase in population across all U.S. cities behind San Antonio.<sup>11</sup> The Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) estimates that Phoenix will grow by nearly 1.5 percent annually through 2030.<sup>12</sup> At this rate, the City would grow to 1.9 million residents by 2030. Figure 7 illustrates the projected population growth for each planning village from 2020 to 2030. As the figure illustrates, three villages – Desert View, Alhambra and Encanto – are each expected to add more than 30,000 residents.

In 2017, there were 552,178 households in the City. In Census parlance, each household has a single ‘householder’ who

10 American Community Survey. (2013-2017 5-Year Estimates). Table S0101: Age and Sex.

11 U.S. Census Bureau. (May 24, 2018). Census Bureau Reveals Fastest-Growing Large Cities. Retrieved from <https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-releases/2018/estimates-cities.html>.

12 Compounded growth rate for Phoenix census tracts. Unpublished data provided by the Maricopa Association of Governments.






is the person, or one of the people, in whose name the home is owned or rented. A family household is defined as one in which at least one member of the household is related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. About 63.8 percent of total Phoenix households, or 352,024, are considered family households. Of these, 231,433 are led by a married couple, representing 41.9 percent of all households. Of the remaining family households, more than twice as many are led by a female (82,686) than a male (37,905). In comparison, non-family households are slightly more likely to be led by a male (102,705) than a female (97,449).

Figure 8 provides the age distribution within the City and the State. Compared to Arizona overall, Phoenix has a larger proportion of children and working-age adults (25 through 64 years-old) and a smaller proportion of seniors. In total, there are 426,329 children under 18 living in Phoenix, including nearly 120,000 children under five, and 161,470 seniors 65 years and older.

**Figure 8: Comparison of the Age Distribution of Residents in Phoenix and Arizona**






There are significant differences in the age distribution across Phoenix neighborhoods. For example, more than a third of all residents in the Estrella, Laveen, and Maryvale planning villages are children, while the Paradise Valley, Desert View, North Mountain and Rio Vista planning villages are home to the largest proportions of adults aged 65 and older. Figure 9 provides the total number of residents by village and the distribution by age grouping.

<b>Village</b>	<b>Residents</b>	<b>0-17</b>	<b>18-24</b>	<b>25-64</b>	<b>65+</b>
Ahwatukee Foothills	81,454	23.3%	7.7%	58.4%	10.6%
Alhambra	137,691	28.3%	11.2%	50.1%	10.3%
Camelback East	143,920	21.4%	9.4%	57.2%	12.0%
Central City	57,569	27.1%	13.3%	51.4%	8.3%
Deer Valley	179,683	23.4%	8.8%	56.6%	11.2%
Desert View	55,901	25.8%	4.9%	55.3%	14.0%
Encanto	56,713	20.2%	8.5%	61.2%	10.2%
Estrella	92,593	33.3%	12.0%	50.2%	4.4%
Laveen	59,517	33.9%	8.6%	51.4%	6.1%
Maryvale	236,578	34.4%	13.2%	46.5%	5.8%
North Gateway	19,964	26.7%	5.4%	57.7%	10.1%
North Mountain	170,001	23.8%	10.0%	53.7%	12.6%
Paradise Valley	176,400	20.9%	7.8%	55.9%	15.5%
Rio Vista	5,898	21.9%	7.1%	58.3%	12.7%
South Mountain	123,986	30.4%	11.3%	50.2%	8.1%



Phoenix is more racially diverse than the State as a whole. As illustrated in Figure 10, Arizona residents who are white/ Caucasian represent more than 55.0 percent of the State, and only 43.7 percent of the City, while residents of Hispanic descent represent 30.9 percent of the State and 42.3 percent of the City.

**Figure 10: Racial and Ethnic Composition of Phoenix and Arizona**



Since 2014, the proportion of the City’s population that is of Hispanic descent grew 11 percent, compared to an overall growth rate of only 5.7 percent. This trend is likely to continue, as individuals of Hispanic descent account for more than 56.6 percent of the City’s children under five years old compared to only 35.2 percent of the City’s residents over 60 years of age.

Phoenix’s racial diversity is evident in the primary language spoken in City households. More than one-third of Phoenix households (192,900) primarily speak a language other than English. Most of these households (149,168) primarily speak Spanish. In three villages – Maryvale, Estrella, and Central City – more than half of the households primarily speak a language other than English. Households are considered ‘linguistically isolated’ if no one in the household over the age of 14 is reported as speaking English ‘very well’. In Phoenix, 35,567 households (6.4 percent of all households), are linguistically isolated, with most primarily speaking Spanish. The number of linguistically isolated households decreased since the 2016 Community Assessment, from 7.3 percent of all Phoenix households to 6.4 percent.


More than one-in-eight Phoenix residents are not United States citizens (the Census does not ask whether non-citizens have legal status) compared to about one-in-twelve statewide. In the Alhambra, Central City, Estrella, Maryvale, and South Mountain villages, more than 15 percent of residents are non-citizens. The number of non-citizens in Phoenix declined by almost 2,700 (1.3 percent) since the 2016 Community Assessment.



Figure 11 compares the educational levels of Phoenix residents over the age of 25 years to the statewide numbers for the same age group. The City’s educational attainment levels improved slightly since the 2016 Community Assessment,

although results continue to trail the State as whole. For example, 28.1 percent of residents 25 years of age and older have a bachelor’s degree or higher, compared to 26.7 percent in the 2016 report. The statewide college graduate rate is

**Figure 11: Highest Educational Attainment of Residents 25 Years and Older**



slightly higher, at 28.6 percent. The number of adults without a high school diploma or general equivalency diploma decreased to 18.7 percent from 19.0 percent in the 2016 report. This percentage continues to be significantly higher than the statewide total of 13.3 percent.

A greater proportion of Phoenix’s population of residents 16 years of age and older have jobs than the State overall, likely due to the City’s younger population and relative concentration of job opportunities compared to the rest of the State. Of Phoenix residents who are 16 years of age or older, 61.6 percent are employed, compared to 55.4 percent statewide. These ratios represent increases from the 2016 Community Assessment, when 59.3 percent of Phoenix residents and 54.1 percent of Arizonans in this age group were employed. In the Ahwatukee Foothills, Camelback East, Deer Valley, Desert View, and North Gateway planning villages, more than 65 percent of residents 16 years and older are employed. By comparison, fewer than half of the residents older than 16 years in Rio Vista are employed due to the relatively high proportion of residents in the village who are 65 or older.


The annual median income of Phoenix households is \$52,080, which is 2.7 percent less than the \$53,510 statewide median.<sup>13</sup> However, the City’s median income represents an 11 percent increase from the 2016 Community Assessment, which was \$46,881.<sup>14</sup> Figure 12 notes the percentage and number of households at various income ranges for both Phoenix and the State.

13 American Community Survey. (2013-2017 5-Year Estimates). Table S1903: Median Income in the Past 12 Months (in 2017 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars).

14 Based on American Community Survey 2010-2014 estimates.



**Figure 12: Household Income Ranges for Phoenix and Arizona**



Incomes vary widely across the City. Although households earning less than \$15,000 annually represent only 12.4 percent of all Phoenix households, they comprise more than 30 percent of Central City households. At the other end of the spectrum, more than 52 percent of Desert View households earn more than \$100,000 annually.

The rate of residents living in poverty across the City improved markedly since the 2016 Community Assessment. Of the City's 1,597,866 residents, 21.0 percent (336,294) are living in poverty, a decrease of 2.2 percentage points

compared to the 23.2 percent poverty rate reported in 2016. As Figure 13 illustrates, five of the City's 15 planning villages have rates of poverty exceeding 25 percent, including Alhambra, Central City, Estrella, Maryvale, and South Mountain. However, since the 2016 Community Assessment, only two villages (Laveen and Rio Vista) have seen increases in the overall percentage of residents living in poverty, while the rest have decreased.




**Figure 13: Percent of Residents Living in Poverty by Village**

Village	Total Residents	Total in Poverty	Pct. in Poverty	Pct. in Poverty – 2016 Report	Pov. Rate Inc. (▲)/ Dec. (▼)
Ahwatukee Foothills	81,454	3,937	4.8%	5.9%	▼
Alhambra	137,691	42,587	30.9%	34.5%	▼
Camelback East	143,920	27,809	19.3%	21.7%	▼
Central City	57,569	25,147	43.7%	49.0%	▼
Deer Valley	179,683	19,907	11.1%	11.3%	▼
Desert View	55,901	2,545	4.6%	5.9%	▼
Encanto	56,713	13,526	23.8%	28.9%	▼
Estrella	92,593	25,030	27.0%	30.0%	▼
Laveen	59,517	9,920	16.7%	14.9%	▲
Maryvale	236,578	74,130	31.3%	35.3%	▼
North Gateway	19,964	905	4.5%	5.2%	▼
North Mountain	170,001	36,519	21.5%	21.8%	▼
Paradise Valley	176,400	20,234	11.5%	13.2%	▼
Rio Vista	5,898	565	9.6%	6.8%	▲
South Mountain	123,986	33,535	27.0%	30.9%	▼


Poverty is inversely proportional to age; that is, poverty decreases as age increases. Children are significantly more likely to live at or below the federal poverty level than adults or seniors, with children under five years of age experiencing the highest poverty rates of all age groups. Figure 14 illustrates the rate of poverty among various age groups. As the chart demonstrates, almost one-in-three young children in Phoenix live in poverty, and they are two-and-a-half times more likely to live in poverty than individuals over 55 years of age. Like the overall poverty rate, the rate for young children has improved in recent years, declining to 32.1 percent compared to 35.7 percent in the 2016 Community Assessment.

**Figure 14: Phoenix Poverty Rates by Age Group**





**Figure 15: Phoenix Poverty Rates by Household Type**




only 9.6 percent of homes headed by a married couple live in poverty.

As shown in Figure 15, household composition is a strong predictor of poverty. Households headed by unmarried females are far more likely to be living in poverty than any other household type. Almost one-in-three of such households are in poverty. In comparison,


There are also significant differences in poverty rates across racial and ethnic groups as depicted in Figure 16. Poverty rates for the American Indian, Hispanic, and Black populations exceed 25 percent, compared to 10.4 percent for the Asian population and 11.7 percent for the White population. Also, the poverty rate for non-citizens is 33.0 percent which is substantially higher than the 19.2 percent rate for citizens.

**Figure 16: Phoenix Poverty Rates by Race/Ethnicity**



There is a predictable correlation between educational attainment and poverty, as shown in Figure 17. More than one-third of residents who have not completed high school live in poverty. Each successive level of educational attainment significantly reduces the likelihood of living in poverty, with just over five percent of college graduates living in poverty.

**Figure 17: Phoenix Poverty Rates by Education**



Access to reliable transportation is an important asset to City residents of all demographic backgrounds. Lack of access to a personal vehicle or a strong public transportation infrastructure



adds to the burdens many low-income individuals already face, and may contribute to perpetuating short and long-term poverty for some. For example, a lack of access to reliable transportation:

- Limits the options families have for school choice<sup>15</sup>
- Prevents individuals and families from accessing nutritious food outlets, especially those living in areas classified as ‘food deserts’<sup>16</sup>
- Narrows the market of available employment options<sup>17</sup>
- Compromises access to healthcare and other community and social services, potentially leading to poorer management of chronic illness, poorer health outcomes, and limited access to needed social services<sup>18</sup>

Figure 18 details the percentage of residents 16 and older that lack access to a personal vehicle as well as the proportion of residents 16 and older in each planning village that utilize public transportation for work.<sup>19</sup>

---

15 Chingos, M. and Blagg, K. (March 31, 2017). Who Could Benefit from School Choice? Mapping Access to Public and Private Schools. Retrieved from <https://www.brookings.edu/research/who-could-benefit-from-school-choice-mapping-access-to-public-and-private-schools/>.

16 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (n.d.). Transportation and Food Access. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/healthyplaces/healthtopics/healthyfood/transportation.htm>.

17 Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. (n.d.). A Perspective from Main Street: Long-Term Unemployment and Workforce Development. Retrieved from <https://www.federalreserve.gov/communitydev/barriers-for-workers.htm>.

18 Syed, S, Gerber, B., and Sharp, L., National Center for Biotechnology Information. (December 13, 2014). Retrieved from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4265215/>.

19 American Community Survey. (2013-2017 5-Year Estimates). Table B08141 – Means of Transportation to Work by Vehicles Available and Table B08101 – Means of Transportation to Work by Age.





**Figure 18: Percent of Residents 16 and Older Living in a Household Without a Vehicle and Percent of Residents Utilizing Public Transportation for Work**

<b>Village</b>	<b>Without a Vehicle</b>	<b>Utilizing Public Transportation for Work</b>
Ahwatukee Foothills	1.6%	2.4%
Alhambra	7.0%	10.2%
Camelback East	5.2%	5.5%
Central City	10.1%	12.5%
Deer Valley	1.8%	2.4%
Desert View	1.0%	0.9%
Encanto	7.6%	8.8%
Estrella	2.2%	2.6%
Laveen	1.2%	2.5%
Maryvale	3.6%	4.5%
North Gateway	0.2%	1.0%
North Mountain	4.1%	5.0%
Paradise Valley	2.4%	2.1%
South Mountain	5.0%	5.2%

As the figure illustrates, at least five percent of the residents 16 and older in the Alhambra, Camelback East, Central City, Encanto, and South Mountain planning villages live in a household without a vehicle. Sufficient access to public transit routes is a critical need for individuals without access to a vehicle. Not surprisingly, residents in villages with higher rates of households lacking a vehicle utilize public transportation for commuting to work at the highest rates. Even in these villages, however, only a small proportion of residents use public transportation.

The modest use of public transportation may be due to the City’s relatively limited infrastructure. According to AllTransit’s Performance Score, which considers transit connectivity, access to land area and jobs, and frequency of services, Phoenix ranked 19<sup>th</sup> out of 31 municipalities with at least 500,000 residents.<sup>20</sup> The Transit Score produced by Walk Score, which measures locations based on how convenient they are to public transportation considering distance, service level, and mode (e.g., rail, bus, etc.), gives Phoenix a score of 36 out of 100, and identifies Phoenix as a “car-dependent city.”<sup>21</sup>

20 AllTransit Rankings. (2019). Retrieved from <https://alltransit.cnt.org/rankings/>.

21 Walk Score. (n.d.). Walk Score – Living in Phoenix. Retrieved from <https://www.walkscore.com/AZ/Phoenix>.



Individuals who rely on public transportation are at least somewhat restricted in their access to community resources, including jobs, healthcare and community services, higher-quality schools, and nutritious food outlets compared to residents with a personal vehicle. Additionally, public transportation users endure longer transportation times to access the same destinations as those with a personal vehicle. The added commute times for residents utilizing public transportation reduces the time they could spend in other activities, such as working, seeking additional training and education, attending after school activities, and spending time with family. Commute times for individuals utilizing public transportation to get to work are, on average, nearly twice as long as commute times for individuals utilizing a personal vehicle.<sup>22</sup>

The cost of public transportation is a barrier for some low-income residents. Participants in the family services centers focus group identified transportation assistance, such as free bus passes, among the services they had received that best helped them meet their basic daily needs. Similarly, participants in the community partners focus group identified transportation assistance among the most effective services in assisting low income individuals to find work, stay employed, and advance their careers. However, while the City offers a number of subsidized public transportation options for seniors and people with disabilities and the City's public transit provider, Valley Metro, offers free or reduced fares to seniors, people with disabilities, and children, there is no discount available to low-income adults.<sup>23</sup> The City and various partners may offer incidental assistance for free or reduced-fare bus passes, but there is no dedicated benefit or single administrator of such a benefit.

### Community Perspectives

There are notable differences in the opinions Phoenix residents have about the greatest strengths and challenges facing their neighborhoods when viewed by race and ethnicity. Figures 19 and 20 illustrate the top three strengths and top three challenges participants in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey reported by race and ethnicity. As the figures illustrate, Asian residents were the only racial/ethnic class to identify a lack of transportation and violent crime among the three greatest challenges, while residents of Hispanic descent were the only group to identify good schools among the greatest strengths of their neighborhoods.<sup>24</sup>

---

22 Maciag, M. (February 2017). Riding Transit Takes Almost Twice as Long as Driving. Retrieved from <https://www.governing.com/topics/transportation-infrastructure/gov-transit-driving-times.html>.

23 Programs and local community providers may offer free or reduced price bus passes to individuals in their case management portfolio, but this is considered an incidental benefit rather than a defined benefit through a single administrating entity.

24 Although residents were asked to report the top three strengths and top three weaknesses, in some cases there were equal response counts within a given category resulting in a 'tie'. As such, the chart displays the top three strengths and challenges by race/ ethnicity including instances where there were ties, resulting in counts of greater than three.



**Figure 19: Greatest Strengths Reported by Residents in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey, by Race/ Ethnicity**

	Asian	Hispanic	White	Black/ African American	Native American	Other	Mixed/ Multiple
Greatest Strengths							
Affordable housing		•	•	•			•
City services (such as parks and libraries)	•	•	•	•	•		•
Strong families					•		
Help is available when needed						•	
Neighbors care about each other			•		•		•
Positive police presence						•	
Good schools		•			•	•	
Public transportation	•			•	•		•
Medical, dental and/or mental health services	•		•			•	
College/ trade school options							
Strong cultural ties							
Good jobs							
Access to employment services and resources							
Neighbors care about each other							
Religious communities							



**Figure 20: Greatest Challenges Reported by Residents in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey, by Race/ Ethnicity**

	Asian	Hispanic	White	Black/ African American	Native American	Other	Mixed/ Multiple
Greatest Challenges							
Lack of after school activities				•	•		•
Drug use	•	•	•	•			•
Lack of good jobs				•		•	
No affordable housing	•		•	•	•		•
Not enough police presence		•					
Properties in poor condition/ neighborhood blight			•		•	•	
Property crimes (such as theft)		•				•	
Residents do not work together	•					•	
Lack of transportation	•						
Violent crimes	•						
Not enough community resources or activities							
School dropouts							
Juvenile crime/ gangs							
Lack of access to employment/ job resources							
Lack of parent involvement							
Lack of good schools							
Lack of accessible medical, dental and/ or mental health services							

Figure 21 lists the top three most frequently cited needs by race/ ethnicity, illustrating some similarities and differences across racial/ ethnic groups.<sup>25</sup> For example, all racial and ethnic groups identified dental care among their greatest needs, while only the Hispanic population identified English instruction among their greatest needs.

<sup>25</sup> Participants in the survey were not limited to three selections, so the results represent the three most-frequently cited needs reported by residents. In some cases, the number of responses by need were equal, resulting in a 'tie' which will result in more than three selections for some races/ ethnicities. Options that did not fall into the top three selections for any of the racial/ ethnic groups are excluded from the table.



**Figure 21: Most Frequently Cited Needs in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey, by Race/ Ethnicity**

	Asian	Hispanic	White	Black/ African American	Native American	Other	Mixed/ Multiple
Most Frequently Cited Needs							
Child care	•	•			•	•	•
Dental care	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
English instruction		•					
Utility assistance				•		•	
Home repair	•		•				
Transportation						•	
Rental/mortgage assistance			•	•	•		•
Food assistance	•					•	
Employment assistance/ job training							
Literacy services							
Homeless shelter services							
Senior centers							
Substance abuse/mental health services							
Domestic violence services							
Human trafficking services							
Behavioral health services							
Senior/Adult Day Care							
Legal assistance							
Financial education							
Health care/health insurance							
Independent living aid (homemaker assistance)							
Refugee services							
Crime victim services							
Full day Head Start							
Half day Head Start							
Tax Preparation							



## Domain 1: Early Learning

Among the many factors leading to the intergenerational poverty – that is, children living in poverty becoming adults living in poverty – is the access young children have to high-quality early learning opportunities before they start kindergarten. According to the Institute for Research on Poverty, “children from low socioeconomic status families are behind even before they start school,”<sup>26</sup> while the Urban Institute reiterates existing research that finds “children who are born poor and are persistently poor are significantly more likely to be poor as adults, drop out of high school, have teen premarital births, and have patchy employment records than those not poor at birth.”<sup>27</sup> Although young children living in poverty benefit the most from quality early care and education,<sup>28</sup> large numbers go unserved due to limitations in funding for programs such as Early Head Start, Head Start, and child care subsidies. The availability and accessibility of high-quality early learning opportunities is therefore a particularly important consideration when planning and coordinating services for young children.

### Highlights

#### *Key Results and Figures*

- *Head Start and Early Head Start are important assets to young children.* High-quality early learning programs offered through the City and partnering Early Head Start, Head Start, and child care providers are critical assets to young children and their families in achieving school readiness
- *Parents rate the quality of Head Start and Early Head Start services favorably.* Participants in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey rated the quality of instruction their children received through Early Head Start and Head Start as a 4.7 on a 5.0 scale
- *Child care assistance funding has improved since 2015.* The Arizona Department of Economic Security’s child care subsidy program is an important form of support to families that qualify. The number of children under six years of age receiving the subsidy in Phoenix increased 10 percent since 2015, while the number of children on a waiting list decreased almost 21 percent

---

26 Waldfogel, Jane. (2017). What is the Role of Early Childhood Policies in Fighting Intergenerational Transmission of Poverty? Retrieved from <https://www.irp.wisc.edu/publications/focus/pdfs/foc332g.pdf>.

27 Ratcliffe, C., and McKernan, S. The Urban Institute. (September 2012). Child Poverty and its Lasting Consequence. Retrieved from <https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/32756/412659-Child-Poverty-and-Its-Lasting-Consequence.PDF>.

28 Schmit, S., Matthews, H., Smith, S., and Robbins, T. Center for Law and Social Policy and National Center for Children in Poverty. (November 2013). Investing in Young Children: A Fact Sheet on Early Care and Education, Participation, Access, and Quality. Retrieved from [http://nccp.org/publications/pdf/text\\_1085.pdf](http://nccp.org/publications/pdf/text_1085.pdf).



- *Additional programs for child care assistance are also available.* First Things First offers an important supplement to families in need of affordable childcare, offering child care scholarships to low-income families, while working with providers to improve quality through its Quality First program

### *Needs and Gaps*

- *Preschool enrollment rates in Phoenix lag the State and the country.* Only 32.7 percent of three- and four-year-old in Phoenix are enrolled in preschool, compared to enrollment rates of 38.1 percent across Arizona and 47.5 percent nationwide
- *Quality child care programs are costly and may be unaffordable to many low-income families.* Lower-income families struggle to afford child care, as the cost of center-based care may represent as much as 55 percent of the total earnings of a family of three living at the poverty level
- *Many low-income families are eligible for child care assistance but funding is inadequate to meet the demand.* There is a significant gap between the number of young children who could benefit from quality early learning programs and supports to help these children access the programs; for example:
  - Nearly a quarter of participants in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey who received Head Start services indicated that child care was among the services they most needed but could not access
  - The City receives funding to serve only 3.6 percent of eligible infants and toddlers in Early Head Start and less than a quarter of preschool-aged children in Head Start
  - The DES child care subsidy provides slots for only 4,151 children under five in the Head Start delegate area, with a wait list of 689 children as of June 2018, and First Things First funds 1,100 scholarships while there are nearly 30,000 children under five living in poverty in the same coverage area

### **Data and Indicators**

Arizona and the City of Phoenix lag the United States in preschool enrollment. Nationally, 47.5 percent of three- and four-year-old children are enrolled in preschool, compared to 38.1 percent statewide and 32.7 percent in the City.<sup>29</sup> Arizona ranks sixth-to-last out of 52 states (including Puerto Rico and Washington DC) for preschool enrollment.<sup>30</sup> Further, a 2018 report published

---

29 American Community Survey. (2013-2017 5-Year Estimates). Table S1401: School Enrollment.

30 Ibid.



by the National Institute for Early Education Research indicated that Arizona was one of four states that saw a reduction in enrollment between 2002 and 2017 for this age group.<sup>31</sup>


Figure 22 highlights preschool enrollment rates for three- and four-year-old children in Phoenix. Two villages within the City outpace the State and country in preschool enrollment – Desert View and Paradise Valley. Conversely, two villages within the City have preschool enrollment rates that are less than half of the national average – Maryvale and Rio Vista.

The City’s Early Head Start and Head Start programs provide crucial supports to prepare low-income children to succeed in school, but limited funding restricts the reach of these programs.

Early Head Start provides family-centered services for low-income families that are designed to promote the physical, cognitive, social, and emotional development of infants and toddlers while providing parents with goal-oriented programming to enhance their skillsets as parents and move toward greater self-sufficiency.<sup>32</sup> HSD has Early Head Start funding for 300 home-based slots and 188 childcare partnership slots, enabling service for only 3.6 percent of eligible infants and toddlers in the service area.

Head Start promotes school readiness through preschool programming designed to offer educational, nutritional, health, social, and other services.<sup>33</sup> In the City of Phoenix, responsibility for Head Start services is divided between multiple grantees. HSD

**Figure 22: Preschool Enrollment Rates for 3 and 4-year olds by Planning Village**



31 National Institute for Early Education Research. (2018). The State of Preschool 2017 State Preschool Yearbook. Retrieved from [http://nieer.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/State-of-Preschool-2017-Full-2-13-19\\_reduced.pdf](http://nieer.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/State-of-Preschool-2017-Full-2-13-19_reduced.pdf).

32 U.S. Department of Health & Human Services – Early Childhood Learning & Knowledge Center. (n.d.). About the Early Head Start Program. Retrieved from <https://eclkc.ohs.acf.hhs.gov/programs/article/about-early-head-start-program>.

33 U.S. Department of Health & Human Services – Early Childhood Learning & Knowledge Center. (n.d.). Head Start Program Facts Fiscal Year 2017. Retrieved from [https://eclkc.ohs.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/pdf/hs-program-fact-sheet-2017\\_0.pdf](https://eclkc.ohs.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/pdf/hs-program-fact-sheet-2017_0.pdf). Includes enrollment for American Indian and Alaska Native slots awarded to tribal governments.





covers the largest part of the City and is funded for 2,963 Head Start slots.<sup>34</sup> HSD contracts with nine delegate agencies to deliver the programs. These delegates operate a total of 65 sites. The other Head Start grantees that cover a portion of the City are Maricopa County, Chicanos por la Cause, and Southwest Human Development.

Figure 23 illustrates the ratio of eligible children for Early Head Start and Head Start by delegate agency. Overall, HSD’s funded slots serve only 24.4 percent of the estimated number of low-income three-to-four-year-olds and 3.6 percent of the birth-to-three-year-olds living in the service area.

**Figure 23: Estimated Eligible Population and Service Levels for Phoenix Early Head Start and Head Start by Delegate Agency**

	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	GPUL	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson	Total – All Phoenix Delegate Agencies	Early Head Start Service Areas
Sites	6	4	4	3	15	4	9	19	1	65	N/A
Slots	434	192	137	196	797	136	413	588	70	2,963	488
Est. Elig. Pop.	1,451	391	387	508	4,963	218	1,771	2,370	115	12,124	13,547
Pct. Served	30%	49%	35%	39%	16%	62%	23%	25%	61%	24%	4%

As the figure illustrates, there is a high degree of variation across Head Start Delegate Agencies in the percentage of eligible children being served. For example, while Murphy and Wilson serve more than 60 percent of the eligible population, the Greater Phoenix Urban League (GPUL) serves only 16 percent of eligible children. In addition to Early Head Start and Head Start programs, there are numerous child care providers located in the delegate agencies’ service areas. The Arizona Department of Health Services (ADHS) is responsible for licensing most child care facilities in the State, including child care centers (facilities providing paid care to five or more children), small group homes (residential locations in which paid care is provided to between five and ten children), and public schools providing child care services, including Head Start providers. As of October 1, 2018, there were a total of 335 ADHS-licensed child care providers with capacity for more than 37,000 children in the area covered by the Head Start delegate agencies, as summarized in Figure 24.<sup>35</sup> Providers in the service area added nearly 2,500 slots since the 2016 Community Assessment. Additionally, families may receive child care

<sup>34</sup> Ibid.

<sup>35</sup> Arizona Department of Health Services. (2018). Listing of Licensed Child Care Facilities. Retrieved from: [https://www.azdhs.gov/documents/licensing/databases/CHILDCARE\\_LIST.xls](https://www.azdhs.gov/documents/licensing/databases/CHILDCARE_LIST.xls).



from small family homes with four or fewer children that are certified by the Arizona Department of Economic Security (DES) or non-certified relative providers,<sup>36</sup> which are not captured in the table.

**Figure 24: DHS-Licensed Child Care Providers and Capacity by Delegate Agency Service Area**

		Alhambra	Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Greater Phx Urban League (GPU)	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson	Total – Phoenix Service Area
Centers	Providers	15	12	27	3	38	5	26	51	1	176
	Slots	1,656	1,212	4,597	464	4,145	365	2,737	6,036	123	21,335
	% Chg. In slot capacity Since 2016	3.2%	0.6%	9.2%	9.3%	0.2%	15.5%	8.5%	5.7%	2.2%	5.4%
Public Schools	Providers	7	6	17	8	29	2	11	31	1	112
	Slots	829	1,500	3,158	487	3,814	236	508	4,697	182	15,412
	% Chg. In slot capacity Since 2016	26.4%	(0.7%)	(2.1%)	(3.8%)	26.9%	4.5%	(13.6%)	16.4%	(2.1%)	10.5%
Group Homes	Providers	2	1	2	3	17	0	16	7	0	47
	Slots	19	6	13	28	169	0	157	62	0	454
	% Chg. In slot capacity Since 2016	81.5%	(0.1%)	(56.1%)	3.0%	(2.5%)	0.0%	(27.8%)	(25.4%)	(60.3%)	(17.2%)
Total	Providers	24	18	45	14	84	7	52	88	2	335
	Slots	2,505	2,718	7,769	978	8,129	601	3,402	10,795	305	37,202
	% Chg. In slot capacity Since 2016	10.3%	(0.1%)	4.1%	2.2%	11.1%	10.9%	2.2%	9.8%	(0.6%)	7.1%

Provider capacity, however, does not guarantee access for low-income families. According to DES’ 2018 Child Care Market Rate Survey, the median daily cost of center-based child care in Maricopa County is \$45 for infants; \$40 for one- and two-year-olds; and \$34 for three-, four-, and five-year-olds.<sup>37</sup> Assuming 21.7 weekdays per month, the monthly cost ranges from \$737 to \$977 per month. For a family of three living at the poverty level (\$1,777 per month),<sup>38</sup> the cost of center-based child care for a single child ranges from 41 percent to 55 percent of their total earnings. Consequently, low-income families often require assistance in order to access paid child care.

36 Arizona Department of Economic Security. (2019). Arizona Child Care Development and Fund (CCDF) Plan for FFY 2019-2021. Retrieved from <https://des.az.gov/file/14278/download>.

37 Arizona Department of Economic Security. (2018). 2018 Child Care Market Rate Survey. Retrieved from <https://des.az.gov/file/14277/download>.

38 U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. (2019). U.S. Federal Poverty Guidelines Used to Determine Financial Eligibility for Certain Federal Programs – 2019 Poverty Guidelines for the 48 Contiguous States and the District of Columbia. Retrieved from <https://aspe.hhs.gov/2019-poverty-guidelines>.



The primary child care assistance program in Arizona is the subsidy program administered by the Arizona Department of Economic Security. The program provides subsidies for children up to twelve years of age to attend child care. Eligibility for the subsidy includes families receiving cash assistance when child care is necessary to support their job development efforts, families transitioning from cash assistance to employment, low-income working families earning up to 165 percent of the federal poverty level, and families involved with the Department of Child Safety (DCS). The subsidies are intended to remove the lack of child care as a barrier to employment and, except for DCS-involved families, recipients must be employed or looking for work while receiving cash assistance.

Funding for the DES child care program has been significantly reduced in recent years, falling from \$155 million in fiscal year 2008 to \$98.4 million in fiscal year 2019,<sup>39</sup> although the fiscal year 2020 Executive Budget recommends an additional appropriation of \$44.8 million to fund increases in the child care subsidy rates and reduce subsidy waitlists.<sup>40</sup> As a result of these funding cuts, the statewide number of children receiving assistance has declined 43.2 percent, from 54,343 to 31,842, between July 2008 and June 2018.<sup>41</sup> Additionally, a waiting list has been in effect at various times since February 2009. As of June 30, 2018, there were 5,011 children on the waiting list Statewide.<sup>42</sup> However, it is believed this number is an underestimate because the waiting list has been in effect in most months over the past ten years, likely discouraging some eligible families from applying. Additionally, families on the waiting list must periodically reapply, but there is little incentive to do so when they are not receiving assistance, so many do not reapply and are removed from the list.

Figure 25 compares the number of children under six years of age receiving DES subsidies and the number on the waiting list in each of the delegate agencies' service areas from 2015 to 2018.

---

39 State of Arizona. (June 2018). FY2019 Appropriations Report. Retrieved from <https://www.azleg.gov/jlbc/20baseline/20BaselineSingleFile.pdf>. Excludes CCDF funding appropriated to DCS and First Things First.

40 State of Arizona. (January 2019). Executive Budget – Fiscal Year 2020. Retrieved from <http://www.azospb.gov/Documents/2019/FY%202020%20Agency%20Detail%20Book.pdf>.

41 Unpublished data provided by the Arizona Department of Economic Security, Child Care Administration.

42 *Ibid.*



**Figure 25: DES Child Care Subsidy Caseload Birth to Five Years by Delegate Agency (July 2015 to June 2018)**

	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Greater Phx Urban League (GPUL)	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson	Total – Phoenix Delegate Agencies
Under 5 Caseload	408	122	282	132	1,380	70	586	1,150	21	4,151
2016 Ct.	398	92	257	134	1,758	62	577	1,035	18	4,331
% Chg.	2.5%	32.6%	9.7%	(1.5%)	(21.5%)	12.9%	1.6%	11.1%	16.7%	(4.2%)
Under 5 Wait List	81	17	33	22	243	17	99	173	4	689
2016 Ct.	166	26	65	44	415	19	205	344	7	1,291
% Chg.	(51.2%)	(34.6%)	(49.2%)	(50.0%)	(41.4%)	(10.5%)	(51.7%)	(49.7%)	(42.9%)	(46.6%)

As shown in the table, the Greater Phoenix Urban League service area has the greatest number of young children receiving child care subsidies, followed by Washington and Roosevelt. The table also shows there were 689 young children on the waiting list for subsidy assistance.

First Things First (FTF) was created by Proposition 203, a citizen initiative approved by voters in November 2006. The proposition also created a new 80-cent per pack of cigarettes tax to fund the agency. FTF funds a variety of early childhood development and health programs for Arizona children through five years of age intended to ensure they begin school healthy and ready to succeed. Through its Quality First program, FTF partners with child care and preschool providers across Arizona to improve the quality of early learning. Participation is voluntary, but providers that choose to participate are provided with access to additional funding for educational materials, financial support for teachers and caregivers for continuing education in early childhood development, and access to specialized support. Quality First providers also undergo an assessment that considers, among other factors, staff qualifications, learning environments, and group sizes, and are awarded a star rating based on the assessment.<sup>43</sup>

Additionally, FTF funds scholarships for low-income families to attend Quality First providers. Quality First scholarships are based on family income levels and are generally made available to parents who are working, looking for work, or improving work skills through training or education.<sup>44</sup> Figure 26 details the number of Quality First providers in the City’s Head Start

43 Arizona First Things First. (n.d.). What is Quality First? Retrieved from <https://qualityfirstaz.com/parents/what-is-quality-first/>.

44 Arizona First Things First. (n.d.). Quality First Scholarships. Retrieved from <https://qualityfirstaz.com/parents/paying-for-child-care/>.



service area (including 17 home-based and 142 center-based providers), their ratings, reported enrollment, and the number of scholarship slots available as of October 2018.

**Figure 26: FTF Quality First Providers, Enrollments, Scholarships, and Ratings (as of October 2018)**

	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	GPUL	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson	Total – Phoenix Delegate Agencies
Providers	10	9	16	6	43	5	28	41	1	159
Enrolled	496	585	1,595	259	2,484	224	1,217	2,393	76	9,329
Scholarships	73	94	127	16	319	38	171	278	11	1,126
<u>Ratings</u>										
<i>1 Star</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>2 Star</i>	2	2	3	2	9	0	4	7	0	30
<i>3 Star</i>	3	5	7	2	14	2	8	13	0	55
<i>4 Star</i>	4	2	4	1	11	2	6	12	0	42
<i>5 Star</i>	0	1	1	0	5	1	3	3	0	14

Despite the number of early learning and child care programs – Early Head Start, Head Start, child care subsidies, and Quality First scholarships – they are only able to meet a fraction of the need within the City.

### Community Perspectives

Given the limited availability of supports for lower-income families, it is not surprising that many Phoenix families struggle to access quality child care. Nearly a quarter (24 percent) of the residents participating in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey and receiving Head Start services indicated that child care was among the services they most needed but could not access.

Participants in the family services centers, Head Start, and Early Head Start focus groups similarly indicated that child care was among their greatest needs, while access to affordable child care was among the top-cited barriers to finding a good job. Participants also generally agreed that they would prefer to see a consolidation of part-time slots into full-time slots to maximize the benefit to their children while enabling parents to work.



Those that are able to participate in the Early Head Start and Head Start programs report high degrees of satisfaction across multiple domains as illustrated in Figure 27.

**Figure 27: Early Head Start and Head Start Program Satisfaction Ratings – 2019  
Resident and Client Community Survey**

	<b>EHS – In Home Services</b>	<b>EHS – Child Care</b>	<b>Head Start</b>
Convenience of Socialization	4.7	N/A	N/A
Convenience of Location	4.7	4.6	4.7
Hours of Operation	4.7	4.4	4.6
Quality of instruction	4.7	N/A	4.7
Availability and quality of classroom services	N/A	N/A	4.7
Availability and quality of other support services	4.7	4.5	4.6
Quality of child care	N/A	4.6	N/A
Availability and quality of child care services	N/A	4.6	N/A

On a five-point scale with five representing the highest level of satisfaction, no rating item scored below a 4.5 indicating that parents greatly value the programs.



## Domain 2: Education

The economic value of increased levels of educational attainment is clear: income levels increase significantly as educational attainment levels rise, while unemployment rates decrease.<sup>45</sup> Individuals with a four-year degree earn a median wage that is nearly twice the amount of the median wage earned by individuals with only a high school diploma.<sup>46</sup> Additionally, educational attainment is often predictive of lifelong poverty, and the intergenerational transmission of poverty. Children who are living with a parent who has not completed high school are more likely to repeat a grade, experience low educational achievement, and to drop out of high school – factors which significantly increase the risk that the child will live in poverty as an adult.<sup>47</sup>

### Highlights

#### *Key Results and Figures*

- *The City has a variety of district and charter school options, promoting school choice.* Arizona’s open enrollment and school choice policies ensuring that students and families have a variety of educational options; the City is home to 270 district schools serving more than 213,000 students and 165 public charter schools serving more than 55,000 students
- *Charter school enrollments are on the rise.* Since the 2016 Community Assessment, the proportion of students enrolled in a charter school in Phoenix compared to a district school increased from 16.6 percent to 20.7 percent
- *Charter schools generally outperform district schools.* Charter schools in Phoenix are more likely to receive a school letter grade of ‘A’ or ‘B’ (63 percent compared to 47 percent of district schools in the City), while district schools are more likely to enroll students with special needs, English language learners, and students in need of financial assistance to access a free or reduced price meal
- *Post-secondary education options are an important asset to Phoenix residents.* Phoenix is home to a wide range and growing number of public and private universities, trade schools, and degree-granting institutions to assist students of various backgrounds and interests with enhancing their skillsets and income potential

---

45 United States Bureau of Labor Statistics. (March 2016). Education Matters. Retrieved from <https://www.bls.gov/careeroutlook/2016/data-on-display/education-matters.html>.

46 Arizona Board of Regents. (September 2017). 2017 Annual Report on Wages Earned by Arizona University System Graduates. Retrieved from <https://www.azregents.edu/sites/default/files/public/2017%20Wages%20of%20Graduates.pdf>.

47 National Center for Education Statistics. (May 2017). The Condition of Education – Risk Factors and Academic Outcomes in Kindergarten Through Third Grade. Retrieved from [https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/indicator\\_tgd.asp](https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/indicator_tgd.asp).



### *Needs and Gaps*

- *About three-in-five students in the City do not demonstrate proficiency on standardized State tests.* Citywide, fewer than 40 percent of students in all district schools achieved proficiency in math and English Language Arts based on the 2017-18 AzMERIT assessments; similarly, less than 40 percent of students in charter schools achieved proficiency in math, and only slightly more than 40 percent achieved proficiency in English Language Arts
- *Fewer than one-in-four students in lower income districts demonstrate proficiency.* AzMERIT proficiency was even lower in lower-income areas; fewer than one-in-four students in the Balsz, Cartwright, Creighton, Isaac, Murphy, Phoenix Union High School, and Roosevelt district schools achieved proficiency in math, while fewer than one-in-four students in the Isaac, Murphy, Phoenix Union High School, and Roosevelt district schools achieved proficiency in English Language Arts
- *Low college enrollment rates following high school may compromise future earnings potential.* Nearly half of the State's 2017 graduating cohort did not enroll in a two or four-year college following graduation, substantially lagging the national two or four-year college enrollment average rate of 69.8 percent
- *The rising cost of college tuition is a barrier for many.* One factor that may dissuade college enrollment is the rising cost of tuition in each of the State's public universities, where tuition has increased between 58 percent and 82 percent in the past decade; increased investments in need-based aid by Arizona's public universities has helped to offset the rising costs for eligible low-income students, but may be insufficient for many to access post-secondary education
- *Transportation is a key need for families to access higher quality education options.* Although Arizona's open enrollment and school choice laws are an important asset to students and families, few districts and charter schools pay for transportation outside of district or charter-area boundaries, significantly limiting school choice for many families without access to reliable transportation

### **Data and Indicators**


There are 27 school districts with boundaries that include at least one school in the City limits. In several instances, only a small portion of the City falls into a district's boundaries. Across these 27 districts, there are 270 district schools located in Phoenix serving more than 213,000 students. Additionally, there are 165 charter schools in the City serving more than 55,000 students.





Like Phoenix residents overall, students in schools within the City are a diverse group. Figure 28 compares the racial/ethnic composition of Phoenix students.

**Figure 28: Distribution of Students Attending Public Schools in Phoenix by Race/ Ethnicity (FY2017-18 School Year)**




Across all Phoenix schools, children of Hispanic descent account for nearly 60 percent of all students. In terms of public-school choice, Hispanic and American Indian/ Alaska Native students are more likely to attend district schools, while all other racial and ethnic groups are at least somewhat more likely to attend charter schools.



Schools also serve many students who have a disability, are English language learners (ELL), or have a financial need for free or reduced price meals. Figure 29 details the proportion of students attending district and charter schools in Phoenix with a need for such supports.

**Figure 29: Students in Public Schools Located within the City of Phoenix**



Nearly 70 percent of students in Phoenix schools receive free or reduced price meals, meaning their families' incomes are less than 185 percent of the federal poverty level, translating to \$39,460 for a family of three in the current school year. In several districts in the southern and western parts of the City, more than 80 percent of students qualify for free or reduced lunches. More than ten percent of all students are ELL and more than ten percent receive special education services. Notably, students with disabilities as well as ELL and special education students are all more likely to attend district schools than charter schools. Additionally, there were more than 11,000 children experiencing homelessness who attended school districts or charter providers with schools in Phoenix throughout the 2017-18 school year.


To evaluate performance, schools receive a grade from the Arizona Department of Education based on multiple factors, including year-to-year student academic growth; proficiency on English language arts, math, and science standardized testing; academic growth of English language learners; high school graduation rates; and other factors.<sup>48</sup> Figure 30 illustrates the distribution of grades (A-F) for district schools that received a grade as of October 2018 in each district serving the City. As the table demonstrates, fewer than a quarter of all graded schools received an "A" grade, while 15 percent received a grade of "D" or "F", with the majority of

48 Arizona State Board of Education. (n.d.). A-F Letter Grades. Retrieved from <https://azsbe.az.gov/f-school-letter-grades>.



schools receiving a “B” or “C”. In 14 of the 27 districts, at least half of the schools were graded “C” or lower.<sup>49</sup>

**Figure 30: Distribution of Grades by School District ('n' = ct. of rated schools in each district)**




49 Chart excludes Maricopa County Regional School District which does not receive letter grades.



Figure 31 presents the grade distribution by Phoenix planning village for charter schools located in City boundaries. As the table demonstrates, charter schools generally out-perform district schools. For example, more than 63 percent of charter schools in the City received a letter grade of “A” or “B”, compared to only 47 percent of district schools in the City.


**Figure 31: Distribution of Grades by Village for Charter Schools**  
('n' = Ct. of rated schools in each Village)



AzMERIT measures student proficiency in English Language Arts (ELA) and math. In the 2017-18 school year, the percentage of students achieving proficiency in ELA ranged from 17.3 percent in the Murphy Elementary District to 66.1 percent in the Cave Creek Unified District for all students attending schools in the Phoenix boundaries. Math proficiency ranged from 19.0 to 70.0 percent in the same districts, respectively. Figure 32 highlights the percentage of children achieving ELA and math proficiency by district.



**Figure 32: ELA and Math Proficiency by School District - All Students (District Schools)**




With few exceptions, more students achieve proficiency in math than ELA. Additionally, within a school district, proficiency may vary widely by grade. For example, in the Roosevelt District, 34.6 percent of children in 3<sup>rd</sup> grade passed the math assessment while only 15.9 percent of 7<sup>th</sup> graders in the district received a passing score.

Charter schools in Phoenix marginally outperform district schools in ELA, where 41.9 percent of students achieved proficiency (compared to 35.0 percent in district schools) and math, where 39.6 percent of students achieved proficiency (compared to 36.0 percent in district schools). Figure 33 illustrates the percentage of children attending charter schools in Phoenix that received passing scores in ELA and math, by planning village. Charter schools located in Alhambra, Encanto, Estrella, Maryvale, and South Mountain villages fall below the average proficiencies across all charter schools in Phoenix.



**Figure 33: ELA and Math Proficiency by Planning Village - All Students (Charter Schools)**



Arizona law allows students to apply for admission to any public school, which is an important asset to students and families seeking a better-quality education, sometimes outside of their district or charter-area boundaries. However, as described in the Demographic Overview domain, a lack of adequate transportation may inhibit access to better quality schools as most schools do not offer transportation outside of their boundaries.

The influence of school quality is also a strong predictor of whether high school graduates from any given school will enroll in college. The statewide four-year high school graduation rate in 2017 was 78 percent, meaning one-in-five high school students in the State do not graduate in four years, compared to 77 percent for district and charter schools located in Phoenix.<sup>50</sup> According to the Arizona Board of Regents (ABOR), nearly half of all high school graduates in the 2017 cohort did not enroll in a two-or-four-year college after graduation, substantially lagging the national average of 69.8 percent.<sup>51</sup> The top 50 percent of Arizona high schools produced 88.7 percent of high school graduates who enroll in college, while the bottom 50

50 Arizona Department of Education. (2017). Cohort 2017 Four Year Graduation Rate Data. Retrieved from <https://www.azed.gov/accountability-research/data/>.

51 Arizona Board of Regents. (2018). 2018 College Enrollment and Completion Report. Retrieved from <https://www.azregents.edu/sites/default/files/public/CollegeEnrollmentAndCompletion%20final.pdf>.



percent produce only 11.3 percent of college enrollments.<sup>52</sup> ABOR further reports the following:<sup>53</sup>

- If present educational attainment trends continue, fewer than 18 percent of students entering 9<sup>th</sup> grade in 2018 will graduate from a four-year college by 2028, compared to 20.6 percent in 2017
- 14 percent of residents aged 16-24 are neither working nor attending school

Phoenix and neighboring cities offer a variety of traditional and vocational post-secondary educational options. The Maricopa County Community College District (MCCCD) has 10 campuses, a Skill Center, and an Adult Basic Education center, collectively serving more than 200,000 students in fiscal year 2017-18.<sup>54</sup> MCCCD serves recent high school graduates as well as those seeking to gain occupational skills and lifelong education. In fiscal year 2018, the District awarded more than 27,000 transfer degrees, certificates and occupational awards.<sup>55</sup> In addition, 40 percent of enrolled students intended to transfer to a university after leaving, while 24 percent intended to enter the job market or utilize training they received to advance in the job market.

According to the MCCCD's reports, 94 percent of enrollment in 2017-18 came from Maricopa County residents and the median age of enrollment was 21. Figure 34 highlights enrollment for the 2017-18 year by school, and further illustrates a significant *decrease* in the overall enrolled population from the 2010-11 school year to the currently reported year.

---

52 Ibid.

53 Ibid.

54 Maricopa County Community College District. (2018). Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018. Retrieved from [https://www.azauditor.gov/sites/default/files/MaricopaCountyCommunityCollegeDistrictJune30\\_2018FinancialReport.pdf](https://www.azauditor.gov/sites/default/files/MaricopaCountyCommunityCollegeDistrictJune30_2018FinancialReport.pdf).

55 Maricopa County Community College District. (2019). 2018-2019 Fast Facts. Retrieved from <https://www.maricopa.edu/about/institutional-data/dashboards/fastfacts>.



**Figure 34: Historical Enrollment in Maricopa Community College District, by School (FY11-FY18)**

	FY18	FY17	FY16	FY15	FY14	FY13	FY12	FY11	% Chg 2011- FY18
Phoenix*	17,335	17,100	17,382	17,804	19,008	19,476	19,525	21,392	(19.0%)
Glendale	27,350	27,263	27,947	29,306	30,926	31,666	32,854	32,962	(17.0%)
GateWay*	7,660	7,876	8,495	9,592	10,444	10,962	11,674	13,266	(42.3%)
Mesa	30,010	30,154	30,770	33,238	36,054	38,602	40,070	41,836	(28.3%)
Scottsdale	13,652	14,023	14,760	14,770	15,384	16,527	17,474	17,702	(22.9%)
Rio Salado*	42,716	43,882	45,317	46,836	48,333	52,685	56,031	57,746	(26.0%)
South Mtn.*	5,909	5,707	5,772	6,159	6,801	7,338	8,027	10,186	(42.0%)
Chand.-Gilb.	19,559	19,402	19,040	19,225	19,297	19,791	19,611	17,938	9.0%
Parad. Villy.*	12,427	12,586	12,516	13,314	14,198	14,380	15,246	16,046	(22.6%)
Estrella Mtn.	13,715	13,080	12,571	12,994	13,009	12,475	12,508	12,612	8.7%
Skill Centers	1,138	1,190	1,461	1,752	1,681	1,748	2,016	2,298	(50.5%)
Adult Basic Ed.	9,389	9,655	10,003	10,310	11,244	12,297	11,128	11,264	(16.6%)
<b>Total</b>	<b>200,860</b>	<b>201,918</b>	<b>206,034</b>	<b>215,300</b>	<b>226,379</b>	<b>237,947</b>	<b>246,164</b>	<b>255,248</b>	<b>(21.3%)</b>

\*Campus(es) in Phoenix City boundaries.

Each of the State’s three public universities – Arizona State University (ASU), the University of Arizona (U of A), and Northern Arizona University (NAU) – has some presence in Phoenix. ASU has a downtown Phoenix campus, which includes colleges of law, nursing, teaching, journalism, health, and public programs. The University of Arizona opened a medical school in Phoenix in 2007. NAU has partnerships with several community colleges, allowing students to complete two- and four-year degrees, including degrees in elementary education, business administration, interdisciplinary studies, and other degrees and certificates at sites throughout Phoenix.

Phoenix is also home to a number of private vocational programs and degree-granting institutions licensed by the Arizona State Board for Private Postsecondary Education ranging from small specialized schools in areas such as massage therapy and polygraph science, to large universities such as Grand Canyon University.


One factor that may dissuade otherwise college-ready high school graduates from pursuing a post-secondary education is the increasing cost of tuition. Figure 35 compares the average annual





cost of tuition for students receiving the in-state tuition rates through one of the three Arizona public universities (main campuses).<sup>56,57</sup>

**Figure 35: 10-Year Historical Cost of Attending an Arizona Public University (fiscal years 2010 - 2019)**



As the chart indicates, tuition costs have increased between 58 percent and 82 percent for the three public universities. Arizona’s public universities have taken steps to offset the increased cost of attendance by increasing need-based funding. Between 2012 and 2018, the average amount of need-based aid per student increased by 17.2 percent from \$8,066 to \$9,450.<sup>58</sup> Over the same period, the percentage of financial aid financed through the university system increased by five percentage points from 23.2 percent in 2012 to 28.3 percent in 2018.<sup>59</sup> According to the Arizona Board of Regents, however, the amount of financial need that is met through need-based funding for eligible students is only 63.9 percent, a rate that has remained relatively flat “as growth in the cost of attendance reduced the amount of need met despite more financial aid

56 Arizona Board of Regents. (2018). Arizona University System Undergraduate Base Tuition and Mandatory Fees. Retrieved from <https://www.azregents.edu/sites/default/files/public/Tuition%20and%20Fees%20Historical%202018.pdf>.

57 Maricopa County Community College District. (n.d.). Rates for 2018-2019 Academic Year. Retrieved from <https://www.maricopa.edu/become-a-student/tuition-paying/tuition-cost-chart>.

58 Arizona Board of Regents. (2018). 2018 Financial Aid Report. Retrieved from <https://public.azregents.edu/News%20Clips%20Docs/FinancialAidReport2018.pdf>.

59 Ibid.



dollars allocated.”<sup>60</sup> MCCCDCD’s tuition rates have grown at a much slower rate; increasing 23.2 percent, from \$69.00 per credit hour in fiscal year 2010 to \$85.00 in fiscal year 2019.<sup>61</sup>

### Community Perspectives

One quarter of the respondents in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey identified good schools among the top three strengths in their communities. Twelve percent of the respondents who had received services from a family services center reported using high school equivalency classes in the previous year.

Participants in the family services centers focus group identified education and job skills training among the services they needed but found difficult to access. Participants also reported that a lack of education was one of the main reasons families remain in poverty.

---

60 Ibid.

61 FY2010 source: MCCCDCD. (2018). CAFR, FY2018. Retrieved from [https://www.azauditor.gov/sites/default/files/MaricopaCountyCommunityCollegeDistrictJune30\\_2018FinancialReport.pdf](https://www.azauditor.gov/sites/default/files/MaricopaCountyCommunityCollegeDistrictJune30_2018FinancialReport.pdf)



## Domain 3: Employment

Steady employment has extensive value to individuals and families beyond the income it generates. Employment is linked to a number of positive outcomes, including improved physical and mental health; the ability to live in safer neighborhoods, afford quality child care and education for children, and buy more nutritious foods; and greater housing stability. In contrast, unemployed individuals face increased risks of stroke, heart attack, heart disease, and mental health issues such as depression.<sup>62</sup> According to the United Nations' Department of Economic and Social Affairs, unemployment lies "at the core of poverty," and as such, "job-creation should occupy a central place in national poverty reduction strategies."<sup>63</sup>

### Highlights

#### *Key Results and Figures*

- *Unemployment rates are under five percent.* The City's unemployment rate continues to improve since exceeding nine percent in June 2010, and has remained around 4.2 percent in recent months
- *The City's job market is growing.* Since 2015, the City added nearly 200,000 jobs, with the construction, education and health, and financial services sectors growing the fastest over this period. It is projected that Phoenix will add an additional 156,840 jobs by 2026
- *Publicly-funded employment assistance programs for adults and youth are important services to City residents.* Job placement and employment assistance programs, such as ARIZONA@WORK, and the youth employment and career exploration programs, such as Pathways to Success, are important supports to Phoenix residents who are unemployed or underemployed
- *Private organizations with employment assistance programs offer an important supplement to publicly funded programs.* Additional job placement and career coaching services offered through non-profit organizations like Goodwill of Central Arizona and Chicanos por la Causa are also important assets to City residents seeking employment

#### *Needs and Gaps*

- *Employment assistance programs may not be reaching some who need these services.* Nearly 10 percent of the participants in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey

---

62 The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. (March 12, 2013). How Does Employment, or Unemployment, Affect Health? Retrieved from <https://www.rwjf.org/en/library/research/2012/12/how-does-employment--or-unemployment--affect-health-.html>.

63 United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (n.d.). Employment and Decent Work. Retrieved from <https://www.un.org/development/desa/socialperspectiveondevelopment/issues/employment-and-decent-work.html>.




indicated employment assistance and job training were services they desired but had difficulty accessing, while 15 percent of the responding clients who had received services through ARIZONA@WORK identified a lack of good jobs in Phoenix amongst the three greatest challenges in their neighborhood

- *Transportation is a key barrier to accessing employment.* Participants in the family services centers focus group indicated that transportation was a key barrier toward obtaining or maintaining employment and that incremental solutions such as free or subsidized bus passes would help low-income residents access school or work

### Data and Indicators

The U.S. Congressional Budget Office (CBO) has estimated the ‘natural rate of unemployment’ at 4.6 percent since the fourth quarter of 2015.<sup>64</sup> The City’s unemployment rate has been declining since exceeding nine percent in June 2010, and has remained around 4.2 percent – lower than the natural rate of unemployment – in recent months. The trend over the past nine years is displayed in Figure 36.<sup>65</sup>

**Figure 36: Unemployment Rate, Jan. 2010 - Dec. 2018 (seasonally adjusted)**



While the unemployment rate is an efficient measure of understanding the health of the job market, it does not consider individuals who are no longer seeking employment due to

64 United States Congressional Budget Office. (January 2019). Supplemental Table 2. Potential GDP and Natural Rate of Unemployment (Quarterly). Retrieved from <https://www.cbo.gov/about/products/budget-economic-data>.

65 Arizona Office of Economic Opportunity. (2018). 2010 to 2018 Seasonally Adjusted LAUS Data for State & Metro Areas. Retrieved from <https://laborstats.az.gov/sites/default/files/documents/files/pr-la-10-10to20-sa.xls>.



discouragement or individuals who have achieved part-time employment but desire full-time employment. The labor force participation rate for all residents age 16 years and older within the City has improved since the 2016 Community Assessment, increasing 2.3 percentage points, from 59.3 percent to 61.6 percent. Among Phoenix residents older than 16 years and living in poverty, only 33.2 percent are working.

Phoenix's economy is large and diverse. The greater Phoenix area (defined as Maricopa and Pinal Counties) supports jobs across a variety of industries, as illustrated in Figure 37.<sup>66</sup> Using federal Bureau of Labor Statistics industry definitions, the greater Phoenix area's largest industry by employment is trade, transportation, and utilities (more than 60 percent of this industry is comprised of retail jobs and wholesale trade accounts for almost 20 percent), followed by professional and business services (with the largest share in administrative services), education and health services, and government.

Nearly 200,000 jobs have been added since 2015, with annual growth of between two and just more than three percent. The largest gain in employment during this period was in education and health services, which added more than 40,000 jobs. The construction industry grew at the fastest rate, but still accounted for only six percent of total jobs in the area.

**Figure 37: 2018 Employment by Industry in Phoenix MSA**


Industry	2015		2018		% Chg. 2015- 2018
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	
Natural Resources/ Mining	3,400	0.2%	3,200	0.2%	(5.9%)
Construction	101,400	5.3%	126,600	6.0%	24.9%
Manufacturing	117,100	6.1%	130,600	6.2%	11.5%
Trade, Transportation, Utilities	373,100	19.6%	401,400	19.1%	7.6%
Information	35,400	1.9%	37,300	1.8%	5.4%
Financial Activities	167,400	8.8%	190,100	9.0%	13.6%
Professional/ Business Svcs.	320,700	16.8%	356,300	17.0%	11.1%
Education/ Health Svcs.	279,600	14.7%	319,900	15.2%	14.4%
Leisure and Hospitality	205,300	10.8%	230,100	10.9%	12.1%
Other Services	68,200	3.6%	65,600	3.1%	(3.8%)
Government	234,300	12.3%	240,400	11.4%	2.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,905,900</b>		<b>2,101,500</b>		<b>10.3%</b>

66 Arizona Department of Administration, Office of Employment and Population Statistics. (n.d.). CES Data Query Tool. Retrieved from laborstats.az.gov.



The State’s Office of Economic Opportunity forecasts continued job growth in the greater Phoenix area between 2016 and 2026. Its most recent reporting estimates that the City will add 156,840 jobs by 2026.<sup>67</sup> Figure 38 presents this estimate by industry. As the chart illustrates, the largest additions are projected for healthcare practitioners and technical occupations (17,002 new jobs), office and administrative support occupations (15,363 new jobs), and food preparation and serving related occupations (14,145 new jobs).

**Figure 38: Projected Change in Number of Jobs in Phoenix by Major Industry, 2016-2026**




67 Arizona Office of Economic Opportunity. (August 2018 release) Long-Term Occupational Projections – 2016-2026 City of Phoenix Occupation Projections Tables. Retrieved from [https://laborstats.az.gov/sites/default/files/documents/files/Occ\\_Proj\\_055000\\_2016to2026.xlsx](https://laborstats.az.gov/sites/default/files/documents/files/Occ_Proj_055000_2016to2026.xlsx). The Office of Economic Opportunity also projects a job loss of 32 positions in the farming, fishing, and forestry occupations. This industry was excluded from the chart.



In addition, the State projects, based on current requirements, that nearly 43 percent of the jobs added by 2026 will require some training or a degree above a high school diploma, including 27.1 percent requiring at least a bachelor’s degree. The remaining 57 percent of jobs will require no formal educational credential or only a high school diploma.


**Figure 39: Total Jobs in Phoenix by Employer Size**



The Maricopa Association of

Governments (MAG) provides insight into the employers located within the City through its

**Figure 40: Jobs within Planning Villages**



Employer Database. The database includes information from employers with at least five employees. The 2017 database includes 21,682 employers located within the City of Phoenix that collectively employ more than 700,000 individuals, as illustrated in Figure 39.<sup>68</sup>

Based on the MAG Employer Database, Figure 39 also reports jobs by employer size. Although more than 75 percent of employers included in the database employ between 5 and 24 individuals (as noted, employers with fewer than five employees are excluded), larger firms are responsible for most Phoenix jobs. Firms with at least 25 employees represent less than 25 percent of Phoenix businesses, but are responsible for more than 77 percent of the jobs in the City.

The MAG employer database also illustrates where the major employment centers are within the City, as illustrated in Figure 40. The Central City village has the greatest number of jobs as it encompasses downtown

Phoenix and Sky Harbor Airport, and it has access from Interstates 10 and 17 and State Route

<sup>68</sup> Maricopa Association of Governments. (2017). Analysis of Data in the 2017 MAG Employer Database (unpublished data provided by the Maricopa Association of Governments).



51. The next largest numbers of jobs are in Camelback East (which includes the Biltmore Corridor), and Deer Valley (with major employers including American Express and Mayo Clinic).

As described in the Demographics Overview domain, transportation remains a key barrier for individuals without access to a reliable form of transportation in accessing a broader job market and better-paying jobs. Public transportation is a critical asset to individuals without a personal vehicle, but still limits job choice to locations along a public transportation route and within a reasonable commute time from their residence.

A number of programs funded through the City, State, and other local agencies and non-profits assist job seeking residents with job placement services. ARIZONA@WORK is a public and private partnership with 12 regional areas and 47 offices across the State that assists both employers and potential job candidates with job placement services at no charge. The Arizona Department of Economic Security (DES) is Arizona's lead workforce agency, administering the ARIZONA@WORK program in collaboration with program partners and grantees, such as HSD, across the State using Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) funding. HSD administers its ARIZONA@WORK program through three primary service sites in the north, south, and west parts of the City, and a fourth location where employers can partner with the program to develop job placement services.<sup>69</sup> Maricopa County offers similar services in one additional location in West Phoenix. The City has taken steps to extend opportunities to access the ARIZONA@WORK program by offering services at locations like the Phoenix public libraries and the Phoenix Municipal Court in an effort to bring services to alternative locations where residents may participate on a drop-in basis.<sup>70</sup> The City estimated that it will have served 65,000 individuals and trained 3,600 individuals by the end of fiscal year 2020 through its ARIZONA@WORK program.<sup>71</sup>

In addition to employment assistance programs offered to adults, the City offers a number of employment assistance options for youth between 16 and 24 years of age at no cost to participants, including:<sup>72</sup>

- The Pathways to Success program offers services to youth to get back into school, or to begin college or career preparation through a variety of services

---

69 ARIZONA@WORK. (n.d.). Local Area – City of Phoenix locations. Retrieved from <https://www.arizonaatwork.com/phoenix>.

70 Arizona Department of Economic Security. (2018). Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act 2017 Annual Report. Retrieved from <https://des.az.gov/file/16216/download>.

71 City of Phoenix. (2018). City of Phoenix Inventory of Programs. Retrieved from <https://www.phoenix.gov/budgetsite/budget-books/2018-20CitywideInventoryOfPrograms.pdf>.

72 City of Phoenix and ARIZONA@WORK. (n.d.). Youth Job Seekers (City of Phoenix). Retrieved from <https://arizonaatwork.com/locations/city-phoenix/youth-job-seekers>.





- The Youth Rise Program is a summer employment program that provides training and up to 200 hours of paid internship work
- The Jerome E. Miller Summer Leadership Academy is a week-long summer academy for incoming high school juniors and seniors with an interest in a career in public service that also provides one college credit

Several non-profits offer employment assistance programs to local residents. For example, Goodwill of Central Arizona provides career coaching, resume development, job training, and other job services in 8 career centers located in Phoenix, while Chicanos por la Causa (CPLC) provides workforce training and job placement to residents through its workforce solutions site in Phoenix.

### Community Perspectives

Nearly one-in-ten of all participants in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey indicated employment assistance and job training were services they desired but had not received in the 12 months leading up to the survey. Approximately a third of respondents who received services from family services centers or ARIZONA@WORK indicated employment assistance and job training were services that would be most helpful. Fifteen percent of respondents who received ARIZONA@WORK services indicated a lack of good jobs was among the three greatest challenges in their neighborhood, while 32 percent reported that employment assistance and job training services represented a service that would be most helpful to them or their families. Finally, survey participants that received services from ARIZONA@WORK rated the quality of services at a 4.1 out of 5.0, while rating the availability and quality of other support services at a 3.9 out of 5.0.

Participants in the family services centers focus group indicated transportation and a lack of affordable childcare were barriers toward obtaining or maintaining employment. Most participants agreed that subsidized or free bus passes would help many low-income residents in accessing school and work, and that job skills training and re-training are services they need but find difficult to access. Participants also expressed that additional apprenticeship programs would be beneficial in generating lasting employment, as a lack of work experience and insufficient job training were also barriers to finding good jobs.

Participants in the 2019 Provider and Partner Survey identified the availability of job training and wrap-around employment services among the most effective programs to help low-income individuals break free from poverty. Participants also agreed that successful job placement was predicated upon the workplace environment, and as such, job placement programs should ensure potential employers are pre-screened to maximize program success.



## Domain 4: Income Management and Self Sufficiency

Self-sufficiency means an individual or family can afford their basic necessities, including housing, food, healthcare, education, transportation, and other standard commodities without relying on any form of public assistance.

Each year, the United States Department of Health and Human Services updates the federal poverty level (FPL) guidelines that define poverty based on the number of people in a household. The 2019 guidelines are expressed in Figure 41. As discussed later in this domain, the FPL is used to determine eligibility for a number of public benefit programs, such as Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), the State’s cash assistance program, but is not a particularly good measure of an individual or family’s ability to afford all of their basic needs. For example, although the FPL is set at \$21,330 for a family of three, the annualized fair market rent for a 2-bedroom home in Phoenix in 2019 would require more than 60 percent of the household income, leaving little for healthcare, childcare, food, transportation, and other basic necessities.

**Figure 41: 2019 Federal Poverty Guidelines<sup>73</sup>**

Persons in Household	Household Income Threshold
1	\$12,490
2	\$16,910
3	\$21,330
4	\$25,750
5	\$30,170

As a result, lower-income individuals and families – including many that have incomes above the poverty level – often rely on public benefits to afford basic necessities.

### Highlights

#### Key Results and Figures

- *The State’s minimum wage is increasing.* Recent increases in the State’s minimum wage have helped many individuals and families with additional income
- *The distribution of incomes is moving in a positive direction.* Since the 2016 Community Assessment, there has been a four-percentage point decrease in the number of households living in the bottom three income brackets and an equal increase in the number of households in the top three brackets
- *Public assistance programs offer important income supplements to low-income residents.* Public assistance benefits, including TANF, Social Security, rental assistance, and LIHEAP are important benefits for low-income residents

73 U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. (2019). 2019 Poverty Guidelines. Retrieved from <https://aspe.hhs.gov/2019-poverty-guidelines>.



### *Needs and Gaps*

- *Purchasing power remains flat.* Despite large gains in the job market since the Great Recession, the purchasing power of the average hourly wage has not changed in nearly 30 years, meaning many individuals and families are economically immobile<sup>74</sup>
- *Individuals and families rely on public assistance to meet their basic needs.* Although there was more than a two-percentage point reduction in the number of individuals in the City living at or below the FPL, many more individuals and families in the City have incomes that are below a living wage and may still rely on some form of public assistance to afford their basic necessities
- *Arizona has imposed one of the most restrictive lifetime limit for recipients of TANF cash assistance in the country.* In 2016, the State legislature approved a lifetime limit for TANF beneficiaries of just 12 months – the most restrictive time limit in the country. More recently, the law was amended to extend benefits by 12 additional months for families that are in full compliance with all work activity and school attendance requirements of the program.
- *Low income residents need financial education classes and improved case management strategies.* Participants in the family services centers focus group identified financial education classes among the services they need but were unable to access, while participants in the community and partner focus group felt case management and the availability of wrap-around services were key to assisting residents and clients reach higher levels of self-sufficiency

### Data and Indicators

A total of 95,931 households across the City are considered to be in poverty (17.4 percent of all Phoenix households), a rate that ranges widely from village to village. Fewer than five percent of households in the Desert View, North Gateway, and Rio Vista planning villages are living in poverty, while more than 25 percent of the households in Alhambra, Central City, and Maryvale are.

Children are most likely to live in poverty and are most susceptible to the lasting consequences of being raised in an impoverished home. According to research conducted by the National Center for Children in Poverty, the material hardships associated with living in poverty cause anxiety, depression, and constant stress for children, which can later affect earnings potential and

---


74 Pew Research Center. (August 7, 2018). For Most U.S. Workers, Real Wages Have Barely Budged in Decades). Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2018/08/07/for-most-us-workers-real-wages-have-barely-budged-for-decades/>.



health outcome as adults.<sup>75</sup> Of the City’s 426,329 children under 18 years-old, more than 30 percent live in poverty.

The proportion of households living at various income levels in the City show more similarities than differences to the State. Figure 42 illustrates these similarities while highlighting that a slightly higher proportion of families in Phoenix have incomes in the two lowest income brackets compared to the State, while a slightly lower proportion of families have incomes in the two highest brackets.

**Figure 42: Household Incomes in Phoenix and the State**




Since the 2016 Community Assessment, there has been a four-percentage point decrease in the number of Phoenix households living in the bottom three income brackets, and an equal increase in the number of households living in the top three income brackets.

There is considerable variation in poverty rates when measured by race and ethnicity, as demonstrated in Figure 43.

75 National Center for Children in Poverty. (n.d.). America’s Child Poverty Rate Remains Stubbornly High Despite Important Progress, According to NCCP Report on Poverty and Demographics. Retrieved from [http://www.nccp.org/media/releases/release\\_200.html](http://www.nccp.org/media/releases/release_200.html).



**Figure 43: Percent of Residents Living in Poverty by Race/  
Ethnicity**



As the figure illustrates, more than a quarter of the City’s Hispanic, Black/ African American, and American Indian residents live in poverty.

While the FPL provides a useful benchmark for measuring poverty and is used for determining eligibility for a host of public benefit programs, it does not capture the actual cost of living, and because it is a uniform standard for the entire country, it ignores the variability in cost of living from one region to another.<sup>76</sup> In response, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) developed a living wage calculator that uses market-based research to estimate the income level that would be necessary to meet basic needs, including food, childcare, health insurance, housing, transportation, and other basic necessities such as clothing and personal care items.<sup>77</sup> The living wage calculator also differs from the FPL thresholds by estimating the living wage for varying family compositions (whereas the FPL is only measured by number of people in a household), such as one adult with one, two, or three dependent children, two working adults with up to three dependent children, and two adults, one of whom works and the other whom does not with up to three dependent children. In comparison, the FPL is determined based solely on household size, without regard to adults or children. The table in Figure 44 compares MIT’s current annual living wage estimate for the Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale metropolitan statistical area (MSA) to MIT’s living wage estimate presented in the 2016 Community Assessment.

76 The FPL is not intended to capture the cost of living; rather, it is a measure of “pre-tax cash income against a threshold that is set to three times the cost of a minimum food diet in 1963 and adjusted for family size” Source: Institute for Research on Poverty. (n.d.). How is Poverty Measured? Retrieved from <https://www.irp.wisc.edu/resources/how-is-poverty-measured/>.

77 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. (n.d.). Introduction to the Living Wage Model. Retrieved from <http://livingwage.mit.edu/pages/about>.



**Figure 44: Current Living Wage Estimates Compared to 2016 Community Assessment<sup>78</sup>**

Household Composition	Current Living Wage*	Living Wage – 2016 Needs Assessment*	Percent Change since 2016
1 Adult	\$24,752	\$22,194	11.5%
1 Adult, 1 Child	\$51,854	\$47,216	9.8%
1 Adult, 2 Children	\$63,669	\$58,947	8.0%
1 Adult, 3 Children	\$83,034	\$78,125	6.3%
2 Adults (1 works)	\$39,686	\$36,920	7.5%
2 Adults (1 works), 1 Child	\$48,797	\$44,595	9.4%
2 Adults (1 works), 2 Children	\$54,413	\$50,107	8.6%

\*Required annual income before taxes.

The table indicates that the living wage in the City has increased by between 6 and 12 percent in the past three years, based on household composition.

Recent increases in Arizona’s minimum wage have assisted many individuals and families in

**Figure 45: Arizona Minimum Wage Increases, 2017-2020<sup>79</sup>**

	Min. Wage
Pre-Proposition 2016	\$8.05
January 1, 2017	\$10.00
January 1, 2018	\$10.50
January 1, 2019	\$11.00
January 1, 2020*	\$12.00

\*Beginning January 1, 2021 and every year thereafter, min. wage will adjust by the change in the consumer price index for all urban consumers, U.S. city average for all items.

Phoenix and across the State. Proposition 206 was approved by voters in the 2016 general election, and provided for an increase in the minimum wage from \$8.05 per hour to \$10.00 on January 1, 2017, followed by incremental increases in the minimum wage in subsequent years, as illustrated in Figure 45.

Today, the minimum wage of \$11.00 per hour (\$22,880 per year assuming a 2,080-hour work year) translates to only 35.9 percent of the before tax living wage for an adult with two children. While minimum wage changes are a

general improvement for residents working in low wage jobs, supplemental supports are still needed to fill the gap between income and the cost of living in Phoenix for many individuals and families. For example, a single adult working in a full-time, minimum wage job who has just one

78 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. (n.d.). Living Wage Calculation for Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, AZ. Retrieved from <http://livingwage.mit.edu/metros/38060>.

79 A.R.S. § 23-363.



child will only earn 44.1 percent – less than half – of the living wage needed to meet their basic needs, including the cost of childcare.

A variety of public benefit programs exist to help low-income individuals and families meet their basic needs. The primary programs include cash benefit programs, such as Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), Social Security, and unemployment insurance, and non-cash programs, such as the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP, formerly known as food stamps), Women, Infants and Children (WIC), child care subsidies, Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP), and rental assistance. Cash assistance is described below while discussion of the other programs appears in the relevant section of this report (for example, child care subsidies were described in the early learning domain).

The TANF cash assistance program provides a cash stipend to low-income families in order to help them meet their living expenses. To qualify, families must have dependent children, have countable income that does not exceed 130 percent of the current FPL, and cooperate with the Jobs employment program and child support enforcement.<sup>80</sup> In 2016, the State legislature approved a lifetime limit for TANF beneficiaries of just 12 months – the most restrictive time limit in the country.<sup>81</sup> More recently, the law was amended to extend benefits by 12 additional months for families that are in full compliance with all work activity and school attendance requirements of the program.<sup>82</sup> Due to the program's restrictive eligibility, only a small proportion of the City's low-income households receive benefits. Arizona's maximum benefit for a single-parent family of three is \$278 per month,<sup>83</sup> the eighth lowest in the country when measured as a percent of the FPL for a single-parent family of three, and ninth lowest when measured as a percentage of fair market rent.<sup>84</sup>

Since 2015, there has been a 43.5 percent reduction in the number of Phoenix households receiving cash assistance. Only 1,807 households received the benefit as of June 2018 (of these, 845 were households with at least one child under six years-old), which amounts to only 3.9 percent of the households with children living in poverty in the City.<sup>85</sup>

---

80 With the exception of 'hardship' exemptions, most TANF recipients in Arizona are limited to one year of benefits in their lifetime. In addition, cases where only children are receiving the TANF cash assistance benefit are not subject to these time limits.

81 A.R.S. § 46-294.

82 Ibid.

83 Arizona Department of Economic Security. (n.d.). Cash Assistance (CA) Income Eligibility Guidelines. Retrieved from <https://des.az.gov/services/child-and-family/cash-assistance/income-eligibility-guidelines>.

84 Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. (January 22, 2019). Policy Brief: Despite Recent Increases in Some States, TANF Cash Benefits Are Still Too Low. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/family-income-support/policy-brief-despite-recent-increases-in-some-states-tanf-cash>.

85 Based on a comparison of the number of households receiving the TANF benefit to the number of households in poverty with at least one child using table B17012 (Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months of Families by



As discussed in the Services for Seniors domain, the Old-Age, Survivors and Disability Insurance Program (OASDI), more commonly referred to as Social Security, is another form of financial assistance for eligible residents. Since the 2016 Community Assessment, there has been a 12 percent increase in the number of residents using the benefit, from 181,173 (2014) to 202,000 in 2017.

### Community Perspectives

Forty percent of the participants in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey indicated they or somebody in their household used some form of cash or non-cash supplemental income support, including SNAP, TANF, Social Security, Unemployment Insurance, WIC, or subsidized child care. Five percent of survey participants indicated a need for financial education services to better manage incomes and budgets.

Participants in the family services centers focus group listed financial education classes among the services they need but were unable to access. Participants in the community partners focus group felt that public assistance benefit programs, such as TANF and SNAP were helpful to low-income residents, but the efficacy of such programs in reducing poverty depended largely upon the quality of case management (including goal setting) and the availability of wrap-around services. In addition, they noted that, although the increase in the State's minimum wage has helped many Phoenix residents, in certain cases it may render them ineligible for supports such as AHCCCS when their income becomes too high to meet eligibility requirements.





## Domain 5: Housing

According to research from Princeton University's Eviction Lab, the poorest renters in the country spend at least half of their monthly income on rent and utilities, while a quarter of poor families spend as much as 70 percent of their income on rent and utilities.<sup>86</sup> While several programs are in place to assist low-income renters with rental subsidies and other rental assistance, funding for such programs reaches only a fraction of eligible individuals and families.

Housing plays a critical role in providing stability for low-income families. Conversely, eviction can have enduring effects on a family's ability to obtain basic necessities such as food, clothing, and medicine, can cause depression among mothers, and a strong body of evidence links inadequate housing and homelessness to child abuse and neglect. For lower-income families with limited savings, a sudden job loss or unexpected expense can compromise their ability to pay rent, which may lead to eviction. For children, housing instability can lead to frequent school moves, high rates of absenteeism, and low-test scores.<sup>87</sup>

### Highlights

#### *Key Results and Figures*

- *The City's public housing programs are a critical support to beneficiaries.* The City's Housing Department manages almost 5,000 City-owned units of public and affordable housing, as well as almost 6,700 housing choice vouchers which can be used to address the housing needs of low-income residents

#### *Needs and Gaps*

- *Home ownership for low-income residents in the City is increasingly out of reach.* Since 2010, the median home sales price in Phoenix has risen 160 percent, making it difficult for many low-income families to become homeowners
- *Low-income villages have the highest percentage of rentals.* In five villages – Alhambra, Camelback East, Central City, Encanto, and Maryvale – more than half of residents live in a rental property. Living in a rental property can lead to a more transient population, contributing to unstable living conditions for young children and increased homelessness
- *There is an insufficient supply of affordable housing in the City.* Phoenix's rental housing supply has only 21 affordable units for every 100 low-income households, while more than 5,000 families remain on the City's waiting list for a housing choice voucher

---

86 Princeton University – Eviction Lab. (n.d.). Questions & Answers About Eviction. Retrieved from <https://evictionlab.org/why-eviction-matters/#affordable-housing-crisis>.

87 Cunningham, M.K. The Urban Institute. (June 26, 2016). Reduce Poverty by Improving Housing Stability. Retrieved from <https://www.urban.org/urban-wire/reduce-poverty-improving-housing-stability>.




- *The cost of rentals in the City has increased significantly.* The current fair market rent for a two-bedroom home in Phoenix is \$1,073, representing a 17.4 percent increase since 2016; a family of four with income at the federal poverty level would have to use 50 percent of their income for housing costs
- *Eviction prevention programs assist some, but more assistance is needed.* Although a variety of eviction prevention programs exist to assist individuals and families facing evictions, they were insufficient to alleviate the evictions of more than 22,000 individuals and families that occurred in 2018 in the City

### Data and Indicators

The City has significant stocks of both rental and owner-occupied housing. American Community Survey estimates indicate 54.6 percent of Phoenix residents live in owner-occupied housing.<sup>88</sup> In five villages – Alhambra, Camelback East, Central City, Encanto, and Maryvale – more than half of residents live in rental property.

Figure 46 notes the number of single-family home sales in Phoenix since 2009. Sales have been fairly steady with the number of single-family home sales ranging between almost 27,000 to just under 34,000. However, there was a reduction of almost 20 percent from 2017 to 2018.


**Figure 46: Single Family Home Sales in Phoenix, 2009 - 2018**



<sup>88</sup> See Appendix A for discussion of the American Community Survey. Appendix E includes owner-occupied and renter-occupied housing for each village.



**Figure 47: Median Single Family Home Sale Prices in Phoenix, 2009 - 2018**




In 2018, the Phoenix housing prices completed a recovery from the great recession. From 2010 to 2018, median sales prices steadily increased from \$84,900 to \$221,000, as detailed in Figure 47.<sup>89</sup>

While the increased median sales price demonstrates a strong

recovery for the Phoenix housing market, it has exacerbated the issue of affordable housing for low-income Phoenix residents. The Phoenix area’s rental housing supply has only 21 affordable units for every 100 low-income households.<sup>90</sup> This compares to the national average of 37 units and ranks Phoenix as having the eighth-most severe shortage of available rental homes of the nation’s 50 largest metropolitan areas (along with Austin, Texas and Tampa, Florida).

**Figure 48: Housing Opportunity Index Phoenix MSA, 2008 - 2018**



The Housing Opportunity Index (HOI) measures the share of homes sold in an area that are affordable for a family earning the local

median income, based on standard mortgage underwriting criteria.<sup>91</sup> As demonstrated in Figure 48, since 2011, when over 83 percent of the homes were affordable for families earning the City’s median income, the index has steadily declined. In 2018, only 56 percent of the available

89 Zillow.com. (Accessed March 21, 2019). Phoenix Home Prices & Values. Retrieved from <https://www.zillow.com/phoenix-az/home-values> for December.

91 Wells Fargo and the National Association of Home Builders. Housing Opportunity Index (4th Quarter Reports – 2018). Retrieved from <https://www.nahb.org/en/research/housing-economics/housing-indexes/housing-opportunity-index.aspx>.



housing was affordable for families earning the City’s median income could, a decline of one-third since 2011.

**Figure 49: Fair Market Rent for a Two-Bedroom Home in Phoenix MSA, 2000 - 2019**



In addition to a less affordable purchasing market, the cost of rental properties continues to rise. From 2016 to 2019 rental prices for a two-bedroom housing unit increased by more than \$100 per month, or 17.4 percent. The fair market rental (FMR) cost for a two-person home in the greater Phoenix area is

displayed in Figure 49.<sup>92</sup> As the chart illustrates, the FMR, which includes utilities, is \$1,073 in 2019. FMR is currently set at the 40<sup>th</sup> percentile, which is the dollar amount below which 40 percent of the standard-quality rental housing units are rented. Monthly income for a family of four living at the poverty level is \$2,146, which means that family would have to use 50 percent of their income to afford housing at the FMR rate. This is defined by HUD as a “severe rent burden” and significantly exceeds the HUD’s standard of a maximum of 30 percent of income that should go toward housing.<sup>93</sup>

As rents in the City continue to rise, the risk of eviction also rises. In both 2017 and 2018, more than 22,000 Phoenix individuals and families received eviction notices, representing nearly four percent of the total number of Phoenix households.<sup>94</sup> The risk of evictions in the City threaten the housing stability of those affected, and as recently as 2017, Phoenix was ranked second among major U.S. metropolitan areas in apartment evictions.<sup>95</sup>

92 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. (2019). Fair Market Rents. Retrieved from <http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/fmr.html>.

93 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. (n.d.). Affordable Housing – Who Needs Affordable Housing? Retrieved from [https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/comm\\_planning/affordablehousing/](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/affordablehousing/).

94 Woods, Alden. The Arizona Republic. (February 18, 2019). 44,000 Phoenix-area households evicted last year as epidemic grow. Retrieved from <https://www.azcentral.com/story/news/local/phoenix/2019/02/18/maricopa-county-evictions-up-2018-and-help-slow-arriving/2845095002/>.

95 Reagor, Catherine. The Arizona Republic. (October 30, 2017). Valley has 2<sup>nd</sup>-highest eviction rate among apartment dwellers in U.S., study finds. Retrieved from <https://www.azcentral.com/story/money/real-estate/catherine-reagor/2017/10/30/phoenix-eviction-rate-second-highest-us-apartment-list-study/804319001/>.



To assist lower-income families to access affordable housing, the City's Housing Department manages almost 5,000 City-owned units of public and affordable housing, as well as almost 6,700 housing choice vouchers. The Housing Department reports these programs provide homes for more than 35,000 residents.<sup>96</sup> Community partners, such as Chicanos por la Causa, St. Vincent de Paul, and others also offer eviction prevention programs including rental assistance. While such supports are of critical importance to beneficiaries, the demand for housing assistance greatly exceeds the supply. For example, there were more than 5,200 individuals on waiting lists for the city's housing choice vouchers as of December 2018.

### Community Perspectives

Nearly one-in-five respondents to the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey and one-in-four participants in the 2019 Provider and Partner survey participants identified affordable housing as one of the greatest challenges they faced in their neighborhoods.

Family services center focus group participants identified affordable housing as an area of need and most of the participants indicated they have had difficulty in the last year making rent and utility payments.

---

<sup>96</sup> City of Phoenix Human Services Department (unpublished data).



## Domain 6: Nutrition

Food insecurity is the lack of access to sufficient affordable and nutritious foods and is associated with a host of physical and mental health risks. Children suffering from food insecurity face increased behavioral and social-emotional problems, developmental risk, iron deficiency and other health issues. Adults suffering from food insecurity are at increased risk of arthritis, diabetes, depression, stroke, and mental distress.<sup>97</sup> Nationally, food insecurity rates among seniors aged 60 and older has increased significantly – by nearly 50 percent – since 2001.<sup>98</sup> Low income families who are food insecure are more likely to engage in cost-savings measures that are harmful to them or their children, including diluting infant formula, purchasing non-nutritious but energy-dense foods, postponing or forgoing preventive medical care, and similar behaviors.<sup>99</sup>

A nutritious diet is at the foundation to a healthy lifestyle, contributing to long-term positive health outcomes and reduced risks for a host of chronic diseases, such as heart disease, diabetes, osteoporosis, and some forms of cancer.<sup>100</sup> Obesity is among the emerging health epidemics that continue to plague the State and nation. Not only does obesity in adults and children contribute to the increased risk of chronic disease, but it is also associated with poorer mental health outcomes and an overall reduced quality of life.<sup>101</sup> Obesity reaches beyond individual health risks into societal and economic risks, including increased medical expenditures and lost worker productivity due to absenteeism and decreased productivity while at work.<sup>102</sup> Further, there is evidence that children who live in poverty by the age of two are 1.66 times more likely to be obese by the time they reach 15 compared to children who did not live in poverty.<sup>103</sup>

---

97 Food Research & Action Center. (December 2017). The Impact of Poverty, Food Insecurity, and Poor Nutrition on Health and Well-Being. Retrieved from <http://frac.org/wp-content/uploads/hunger-health-impact-poverty-food-insecurity-health-well-being.pdf>

98 Feeding America. (n.d.). Senior Hunger Poses Unique Challenges. Retrieved from <https://www.feedingamerica.org/hunger-in-america/senior-hunger-facts>.

99 Ibid.

100 U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. (n.d.). Importance of Good Nutrition. Retrieved from <https://www.hhs.gov/fitness/eat-healthy/importance-of-good-nutrition/index.html>.

101 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (n.d.). Adult Obesity Causes & Consequences. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/adult/causes.html>.

102 Ibid.

103 Food Research & Action Center. (n.d.). Relationship Between Poverty and Obesity. Retrieved from <http://frac.org/obesity-health/relationship-poverty-obesity>.



## Highlights

### *Key Results and Figures*

- *Public nutrition assistance programs are available.* Food assistance and support programs such as SNAP, WIC, and free and reduced meals for students remain important assets to Phoenix residents
- *Food banks and similar outlets provide a lifeline to hungry residents.* Across Phoenix, there are 40 food banks, food pantries, soup kitchens, and emergency food box distributors, which are clustered in higher poverty areas such that residents may more easily access this important source of nutritional support
- *Senior centers offer an important nutritional value to seniors.* The City's 15 senior centers offer nutritious meals for the nearly 1,100 seniors attending each day<sup>104</sup>
- *Public and private partnerships are collaborating to fight hunger.* Groups such as the Arizona Hunger Advisory Council of the Department of Economic Security collaborate with businesses, faith-based organizations, and non-profit groups to develop strategies for reducing food insecurity and hunger in Phoenix and across the State
- *Home delivered meal recipients in the City are very satisfied with the service.* Residents receiving home delivered meals through HSD value its services, providing satisfaction ratings between 4.6 and 4.8 across all areas assessed in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey

### *Needs and Gaps*

- *Many City residents live in a food desert.* Nearly one third of Phoenix residents live more than one mile from nutritious food outlets; more than five percent of the residents age 16 and older in Central City, Alhambra, Encanto, Camelback East, and South Mountain villages are without access to a personal vehicle, which may place additional barriers in accessing nutritious foods within reasonable proximity
- *Some residents face food insecurity.* Although food insecurity rates are not measured at the City level, more than one-in-eight residents across Maricopa County were considered food insecure in the most recently published data
- *Obesity rates are climbing.* Since 2011, the obesity rate in Arizona grew by nearly five percentage points
- *Food assistance programs do not reach all who need them.* 12 percent of the respondents in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey indicated that additional food assistance was among their greatest needs, while more than 70 percent of the recipients of homeless services indicated food assistance was among their greatest needs

---

<sup>104</sup> Figures represent the average daily attendance from October 2018.



## Data and Indicators

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) defines ‘food security’ as access at all times to enough food for an active, healthy life.<sup>105</sup> Across Maricopa County, food *insecurity* rates for all residents was 14.3 percent in 2016<sup>106</sup> compared to 15.9 percent in 2013, and 21.1 percent for children compared to 25.4 percent in 2013.<sup>107,108</sup>

Access to quality foods for some low-income families is as much a function of income as proximity to nutritious food outlets. More than a third of Phoenix residents live in a one-mile food desert, defined as an area that does not have access to fresh fruits and vegetables, whole grains, and other nutritious foods. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, studies have shown that food deserts can negatively affect health outcomes and are most prominent in rural and low-income areas that tend to have a higher number of convenience stores instead of large retail grocery stores.<sup>109</sup> For residents without access to reliable transportation, the barrier to accessing nutritious food outlets is more pronounced. More than five percent of the residents age 16 and older in the Central City, Alhambra, Encanto, Camelback East, and South Mountain planning villages do not have access to a personal vehicle and are reliant on public transportation to acquire foods for themselves and their families.

Figure 50 illustrates the percentage of total population by village living in a one-mile food desert.<sup>110</sup>

---

105 United States Department of Agriculture. (n.d.). Food Security in the U.S. – Overview. Retrieved from <https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/food-nutrition-assistance/food-security-in-the-us/>.

106 Most recently published data for Maricopa County as of March 2019.

107 2016 Figures: Feeding America. (2018). Map the Meal Gap 2018: Child Food Insecurity in Arizona by County in 2016. Retrieved from [https://www.feedingamerica.org/sites/default/files/research/map-the-meal-gap/2016/child/AZ\\_AllCounties\\_CDs\\_CFI\\_2016.pdf](https://www.feedingamerica.org/sites/default/files/research/map-the-meal-gap/2016/child/AZ_AllCounties_CDs_CFI_2016.pdf).

108 2013 Figures: Feeding America. (2015). Map the Meal Gap 2015: Highlights of Findings for Overall and Child Food Insecurity. Retrieved from <https://www.feedingamerica.org/sites/default/files/research/map-the-meal-gap/2013/map-the-meal-gap-2013-exec-sum.pdf>.


109 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (n.d.). Food Desert. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/healthcommunication/toolstemplates/entertainment/tips/FoodDesert.html>.

110 Food Desert data is extracted from the USDA’s Food Access Research Atlas Data Download (last updated May 18, 2017 and retrieved from <https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/food-access-research-atlas/download-the-data.aspx>) while population numbers are from American Fact Finder (2013-2017 estimates), Table B01001: Sex by Age, retrieved from <https://factfinder.census.gov>.





**Figure 50: Percent of Residents Living in a One-Mile Food Desert**



Due to both access and cost, many low-income residents struggle to maintain a quality diet, sacrificing healthier foods, such as high-quality proteins and vegetables, in exchange for lower cost but energy rich starches and fats to satisfy hunger needs.<sup>111</sup> Between 2011 and 2017, Arizona’s adult obesity rate increased from 25.1 percent to 29.5 percent, while the percentage of obese adolescents (students in grades 9 – 12) grew more modestly from 10.9 percent in 2011 to 12.3 percent in 2017.<sup>112</sup>

The Arizona Hunger Advisory Council of the Department of Economic Security collaborates with local businesses, faith-based organizations, and nonprofit and community groups to develop strategies for reducing food insecurity and hunger across the State. In 2017, the Council developed nine strategies to achieve this goal. Among the strategies was the cultivation of a strong regional food system and taking steps to ensure governments and communities support local hunger and poverty prevention programs.<sup>113</sup>

111 Drewnowski, A., and Eichelsdoerfer, P., The National Center for Biotechnology Information. (November 2010). Can Low-Income Americans Afford a Healthy Diet? Retrieved from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2847733/#>.

112 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (n.d.). Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Obesity: Data, Trends and Maps (query Arizona). Retrieved from [https://nccd.cdc.gov/dnpao\\_dtm/rdPage.aspx?rdReport=DNPAO\\_DTM.ExploreByLocation&rdRequestForwarding=Form](https://nccd.cdc.gov/dnpao_dtm/rdPage.aspx?rdReport=DNPAO_DTM.ExploreByLocation&rdRequestForwarding=Form).

113 Arizona Department of Economic Security. (2017). Arizonans Preventing Hunger Action Plan 2017: Nine Strategies to Increase Food Security. Retrieved from <https://des.az.gov/sites/default/files/media/Arizonans-Preventing-Hunger-Action-Plan-July-2017.pdf>.




Food supports for households in Phoenix include public benefit programs like free and reduced price meals for school-aged children, the Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program (SNAP), the Women, Infants and Children (WIC) program, as well as government and community-based food outlets such as food banks and pantries, the meals provided at the City’s senior centers.

The free and reduced priced lunch program is available to students from low-income families. For the 2018-19 school, free meals were available to students from households with incomes below 130 percent of the federal poverty level, while reduced price meals were available to students from households with incomes between 130 and 185 percent of the FPL.<sup>114</sup> Figure 51

details the percentage of children attending district and charter school students within Phoenix boundaries receiving free and reduced price meals during the 2017-18 school year compared to the data presented in the 2016 Community Assessment. As the figure details, there was little change in the percentage of charter

**Figure 51: Percent of Students in Phoenix Traditional and Charter Schools Receiving Free and Reduced Price Meals**



school students receiving free and reduced-price meals between the 2014-15 school year and 2017-18 school year, while there was a 5.5 percentage point increase for district school students.


SNAP provides funds to low-income households to purchase food. Households generally qualify for SNAP benefits if their gross monthly incomes are below 130 percent of the federal poverty level, or if their net monthly incomes are at 100 percent of the federal poverty level.<sup>115</sup> Figure 52 details the percentage of households by planning village receiving SNAP benefits in 2018.

114 Federal Register, Volume 83, No. 89. (May 8, 2018). Department of Agriculture FNS Child Nutrition Programs: Income Eligibility Guidelines. Retrieved from <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2018-05-08/pdf/2018-09679.pdf>.

115 United States Department of Agriculture. (2018). Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) Fiscal Year 2019 Income Eligibility Standards. Retrieved from <https://fns-prod.azureedge.net/sites/default/files/snap/FY19-Income-Eligibility-Standards.pdf>.



**Figure 52: Percent of Households Receiving SNAP Benefits by Village**



Across the City, SNAP caseloads have seen steady decreases as the economy has improved. Since 2015, the number of households in Phoenix receiving SNAP benefits declined by 18.8 percent from 122,695 to 99,597. Some of this reduction is due to the expiration of the able-bodied adults without dependents (ABAWD) exemption, which previously waived the time limit for ABAWDs for years following the Great Recession. The exemption expired in December 2015, and the three-month time limit (in a 36-month period) was reinstated.<sup>116</sup> As a percentage of total Phoenix households, 18.0 percent received SNAP benefits in fiscal year 2018 compared to 23.2 percent of households in fiscal year 2015.

SNAP benefit amounts are authorized based on a formula that considers gross household income, allowable deductions (for housing costs, for example) and the number of eligible household members. The average monthly benefit per household in Arizona was \$247.90 in February 2019,<sup>117</sup> while the estimated low-cost food plan published by the United States Department of Agriculture ranged from \$476.80 for a family of two to \$852.70 for a family of four.<sup>118</sup> WIC provides vouchers to pregnant, breastfeeding, and mothers of infants and children under five years of age to allow them to purchase certain nutritional foods. The program also provides nutrition education and referrals to health and social services. Eligible women and children must

116 Arizona Department of Economic Security. (December 8, 2015). Policy Change Alert #15-037F – ABAWD Waiver Expiration. Retrieved from [https://dbmefapolicy.azdes.gov/FAA6/baggage/PCA%2015-037F%20\(12-08-15\)%20-%20ABAWD%20Waiver%20Expiration%20\(Amended\).pdf](https://dbmefapolicy.azdes.gov/FAA6/baggage/PCA%2015-037F%20(12-08-15)%20-%20ABAWD%20Waiver%20Expiration%20(Amended).pdf).

117 Arizona Department of Economic Security. (February 2019). Family Assistance Administration Statistical Bulletin February 2019. Retrieved from <https://des.az.gov/file/16224/download>.


118 United States Department of Agriculture. (February 2019). Official USDA Food Plans: Cost of Food at Home at Four Levels, U.S. Average, February 2019. Retrieved from <https://www.cnpp.usda.gov/sites/default/files/CostofFoodFeb2019.pdf>.



have gross household incomes below 185 percent of the federal poverty level, and must also meet a state residency requirement and be individually determined to be at “nutritional risk” by a health professional.<sup>119</sup> Generally, WIC provides for infant cereal and iron-fortified infant formula for non-breastfeeding mothers, as well as fruit and vegetable juice, eggs, milk, cheese, peanut butter, and other nutritional food items. Statewide, WIC participation has declined from 173,020 in fiscal year 2014 to 149,513 in fiscal year 2018.<sup>120,121</sup>

In addition to public benefit programs, Phoenix residents in need of additional food supports have a variety of options. According to the Association of Arizona Food Banks, there are 40 food banks, food pantries, soup kitchens and emergency food box distributors located within the City.<sup>122</sup> Figure 53 highlights the locations of the food assistance sites in relation to the planning villages. As the map shows, the majority of the sites are located in the southern and central parts of the City, home of the highest concentrations of residents living in poverty.

**Figure 53: Food Banks and Pantries Located in City Boundaries**



119 United States Department of Agriculture. (n.d.). Women, Infants and Children (WIC) Frequently Asked Questions About WIC. Retrieved from <https://www.fns.usda.gov/wic/frequently-asked-questions-about-wic>.

120 United States Department of Agriculture. (2019). Annual State Level Data FY2014-2018 – Total Participation. Retrieved from <https://www.fns.usda.gov/sites/default/files/pd/26wifypart.xls>.

121 Previous Community Assessment reports have included village-level caseloads for WIC programs. However, this report does not include this detail as the data was not made available by the Arizona Department of Health Services.

122 Figure excludes HSD Senior Centers. Association of Arizona Food Banks. (n.d.). Food Bank Search (query Phoenix). Retrieved from <http://www.azfoodbanks.org/index.php/foodbank/results/2fd84b6a1bddf7e82720a7a93adb0b8f/>.



Most sites serve clients on a walk-in basis and a referral is not required. The Arizona Department of Economic Security uses federal funding to supplement contracted food banks with healthful foods through The Emergency Food Assistance Program (TEFAP). The program provides low-income residents, including the elderly, with emergency food assistance at no cost. Five organizations are contracted by the State to distribute food purchased by the State to individuals and charitable organizations.

HSD's 15 senior centers serve meals to residents aged 60 years and older at no cost to participants, reaching an average of nearly 1,100 participants daily. HSD also operates a home delivered meals program to low-income residents aged 60 years and older, and to disabled adults. The City estimates that it will have served 212,000 congregate meals at senior centers in fiscal year 2019, as well as 290,000 home delivered meals.<sup>123</sup>

### Community Perspectives

Participants in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey who indicated they had received home delivered meal services through HSD reported high levels of satisfaction with the program, including the quality of services, the availability and quality of other support services, the professionalism of staff, and several additional factors. One quarter of the participants in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey indicated they or someone in their household had used a food bank service in the 12 months leading up to the survey. Survey respondents who indicated they had received homeless services, Opportunities for Youth Reengagement Center services, and ARIZONA@WORK Job Center services utilized food banks at the highest rates for all services in the survey – 71 percent, 60 percent, and 49 percent, respectively. Additionally, 12 percent of survey respondents indicated they needed additional food assistance.

Participants in the family services centers focus group indicated additional food assistance was needed to further supplement SNAP benefits, which can be as low as \$15 per month. Additionally, participants reported sometimes struggling to pay for food along with other living expenses.

Participants in the senior centers focus group cited the meals that are provided at the senior centers among the top reasons they attend.

---

<sup>123</sup> City of Phoenix. (2018). Inventory of Programs. Retrieved from <https://www.phoenix.gov/budgetsite/budget-books/2018-20CitywideInventoryOfPrograms.pdf>.



## Domain 7: Health

A healthy population is an important community asset as healthy residents live longer and are more economically productive.<sup>124</sup> According to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, low-income individuals who need medical care are often unable to afford medical fees, premiums, and copayments, a problem that is amplified for families living in the deepest states of poverty.<sup>125</sup> Poverty and health often have a reciprocal relationship – a cyclical loop wherein poverty may deepen as health problems worsen.<sup>126</sup>

Poor health for children raised in poverty not only has negative effects on cognitive development, particularly in early childhood, but has been correlated with poor adult health and lower earnings potential.<sup>127</sup> Adults in poor health may be unable to attend work for extended periods, which can result in lost wages and even lost employment. Additionally, rates of mental illness are highest among adults living below the federal poverty level, although individuals living in poverty “are rarely successfully connected with the mental health services they need.”<sup>128</sup> Children living in extreme poverty have been found to be significantly more likely to exhibit disruptive behavior disorder, while individuals living in extreme poverty are “on average, 40% more likely to have clinically significant levels of depressive symptoms.”<sup>129</sup>

## Highlights

### *Key Results and Figures*

- *Employment laws have improved – sick leave is now a benefit for all.* Recent changes to Arizona laws requiring employers to pay sick leave for all employees provides an important protection for low-income residents who may have otherwise foregone wages or even lost their jobs while dealing with sickness

---

124 World Health Organization. (n.d.). Health and Development. Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/hdp/en/>.

125 United States Department of Health & Human Services. (July 16, 2015). Financial Condition and Health Care Burdens of People in Deep Poverty. Retrieved from <https://aspe.hhs.gov/basic-report/financial-condition-and-health-care-burdens-people-deep-poverty>.

126 Jackson, M. Institute for Research on Poverty – Focus, Vol. 33, No. 2. (Spring/Summer 2017). Effects of Poverty and Health on Children’s Cognitive Development. Retrieved from <https://www.irp.wisc.edu/publications/focus/pdfs/foc332f2.pdf>.

127 Aizer, A. Institute for Research on Poverty – Focus, Vol. 33, No. 2. (Spring/Summer 2017). Poverty and Childhood Health. Retrieved from

128 New York University McSilver Institute for Poverty Policy and Research. (n.d.) Mental Health and Poverty. Retrieved from [http://mcsilver.nyu.edu/sites/default/files/reports/Mental\\_Health\\_and\\_Poverty\\_one-sheet.pdf](http://mcsilver.nyu.edu/sites/default/files/reports/Mental_Health_and_Poverty_one-sheet.pdf)

129 Acri, M., et al., National Center for Biotechnology Information. (June 29, 2017). The Intersection of Extreme Poverty and Familial Mental Health in the United States. Retrieved from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5880535/>.



- *Health insurance participation rates continue to rise.* The percentage of Phoenix residents with health insurance has improved from 82 percent in 2014 to 87 percent in 2017. Almost 95 percent of children in the City have health insurance. Public health insurance programs such as AHCCCS are an important support to Phoenix, as more than a third of insured residents have public insurance
- *Birth rates for teen mothers have decreased.* Of the City's 24,239 births in 2016, 7.4 percent were to teen mothers, an improvement since 2013 when 9.5 percent of births in Phoenix were to teen mothers
- *There is a broad inventory of health care providers across the City.* Phoenix is home to a broad range of healthcare service providers and centers to meet the physical and behavioral health needs of Phoenix residents, including 29 hospitals, nearly 350 assisted living centers, and 169 residential behavioral health centers
- *Free dental care is a welcome service to Head Start and Early Head Start service recipients.* Participants in the Head Start and Early Head Start focus groups reported a high degree of satisfaction with case managers in assisting families with referrals to free dental cleanings
- *Most Phoenix residents feel healthy.* 82 percent of the Phoenix-area participants in the Arizona Department of Health Services' Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System telephone survey reported having good, very good, or excellent health in the most recent survey

#### *Needs and Gaps*

- *Most villages are considered medically underserved.* Despite the broad inventory of health care providers across the City, ten of the 15 planning villages are designated by ADHS as Arizona Medically Underserved Areas
- *Additional primary care providers are needed in Central City.* Central City has the second highest Primary Care Area (PCA) score in Maricopa County, indicating relatively low access to primary care physicians
- *Despite improved health insurance participation rates, many cannot access needed services with existing coverage.* Although the rate of insured residents continues to show improvement, nearly a third of the participants in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey indicated there was a healthcare, dental, or mental health service they needed but could not access, and half of these individuals attributed the lack of access to a lack of sufficient health insurance
- *Seniors need access to low cost transportation to access healthcare.* Participants in the senior center focus group indicated a need for access to low cost transportation to access doctor appointments, as well as a desire for more and better exercise equipment within the Phoenix senior centers, which is consistent with the potential need for reliable




transportation for many of the City’s low-income residents to access healthcare and related services

- *The cost of care is a barrier to some who seek medical assistance.* More than one out of every five participants in the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System telephone survey reported that they were unable to see a physician in the 12 months prior to the survey due to the cost of care
- *Arizona ranks poorly for mental supports for children.* As a State, Arizona ranked 42<sup>nd</sup> for mental health and related supports for youth
- *Homeless populations need mental health assistance.* Unsheltered homeless populations in the City and across Maricopa County cite substance abuse and mental health issues as the primary barriers to holding a job or living in stable housing

### Data and Indicators

A primary determinant of health care affordability is the financial support provided through health insurance when medical services are needed. Since the implementation of the Affordable

**Figure 54: Percent of Phoenix and Arizona Residents Insured, 2015-2017**



Care Act in January 2014, the percentage of Phoenix residents with health insurance has improved from 82 percent to almost 87 percent, while statewide insurance rates have tapered, as detailed in Figure 54. This represents an approximate 10 percentage-point increase compared to the period between 2010 and 2013, when

the City’s insurance rate ranged from 76 to 78 percent. As the figure shows, children under six years of age in the City are generally insured at even higher rates – almost 95 percent in 2017. This is notable because health insurance coverage for children has been correlated with “improved educational outcomes and long-term health and economic gains.”<sup>130</sup>

130 Georgetown University Health Policy Institute Center for Children and Families. (November 21, 2018). Nation’s Progress on Children’s Health Coverage Reverses Course. Retrieved from <https://ccf.georgetown.edu/2018/11/21/nations-progress-on-childrens-health-coverage-reverses-course/>.





Public insurance, such as AHCCCS, provides coverage to 53.0 percent of insured children under six years of age, and 38.7 percent of all residents in Phoenix, while private insurance accounts for 47.0 percent of the coverage for insured children under six years and 61.3 percent of the coverage for all Phoenix residents. In addition to relatively high proportions of Phoenix residents having health insurance, more than 43 percent of the residents in Phoenix acquire at least part of their health insurance through their employer. Arizona has also taken recent steps to support the health needs of working residents. Beginning July 1, 2017, Arizona employers were required to allow employees to accrue sick leave: 24 hours per year for employers with fewer than 15 employees, and 40 hours per year for employers with more than 15 employees.<sup>131</sup>

A variety of demographic factors are correlated with insured rates. For example, household income is a key driver of insured rates in Phoenix, as only 76.8 percent of individuals in household with incomes under \$25,000 are insured, while 93.4 percent of individuals in households earning over \$100,000 per year are insured.<sup>132</sup> Similarly, lower levels of educational attainment are correlated with lower insured rates. For Phoenix residents over 25 years of age who have only completed high school, the insured rate is 78.5 percent, compared to the 94.8 percent rate for Phoenix residents with at least a bachelor’s degree.<sup>133</sup> Racial and ethnic insured rate disparities also exist. For example, fewer than 75 percent of all Hispanic residents in Phoenix are insured compared to more than 91 percent of White residents.

**Figure 55: Healthcare Service Centers Located in Phoenix<sup>134</sup>**

Type	Count of Locations	Type	Count of Locations
Assisted Living Center and Homes	346	Hospice	34
Audiologists	70	Hospitals	29
Behavioral Health and Counseling	60	Midwives	16
Behavioral Health Residential	169	Outpatient Surgery and Treatment Centers	430
Federally Qualified Health Centers	29	Skilled Nursing Facilities	24
Group Homes for Developmentally Disabled	245	Speech Language Pathologists and Assistants	963

<sup>131</sup> A.R.S. § 23-372.

<sup>132</sup> American Community Survey. (2013-2017 5-Year Estimates). Table S2701: Selected Characteristics of Health Insurance Coverage in the United States.

<sup>133</sup> Ibid.


<sup>134</sup> Arizona Department of Health Services. Provider & Facility Databases as of March 6, 2019. Retrieved from <https://azdhs.gov/licensing/>.



Access to health care extends beyond health insurance, as the availability of healthcare providers, such as physician offices, clinics, and hospitals, may also impede access. Phoenix is home to a broad range of healthcare service locations to meet the physical and behavioral health needs of Phoenix residents, as illustrated in Figure 55. Despite the number of providers, residents without access to reliable transportation may find it difficult to access healthcare appointments, or miss scheduled appointments if public transportation does not arrive on time.

Even with the number of medical providers in the City, several planning villages in the City are categorized as a medically underserved areas (MUAs), meaning the area has some combination of too few primary care providers, a high infant mortality rate, high poverty, or a high elderly population. The Arizona Department of Health Services (ADHS) publishes a report on underserved areas wherein regions, including planning villages, are categorized as Arizona MUAs and provided with a primary care area (PCA) score, where higher scores signify a greater medical underservice.<sup>135</sup> The map in Figure 56 identifies the planning villages considered to be Arizona MUAs as well as the PCA score for each village.<sup>136</sup> As the figure illustrates, 10 of the 15 planning villages are classified as Arizona MUAs, while four villages have PCA scores above 50 – Maryvale, Alhambra, Central City, and South Mountain. Across all regions in

**Figure 56: Villages Designated as MUAs and PCA Scores**



<sup>135</sup> Arizona Department of Health Services. (January 2019). Data Documentation: Sources and Field Descriptions to Accompany Primary Care Area or Special Area Statistical Profiles. Retrieved from <https://www.azdhs.gov/documents/prevention/health-systems-development/data-reports-maps/reports/datadocu.pdf>.

<sup>136</sup> Arizona Department of Health Services. (January 2019). Arizona Underserved Areas (HPSA, FedMUA, AzMUA) and Special Tax Districts by County. Retrieved from <https://azdhs.gov/documents/prevention/health-systems-development/data-reports-maps/reports/undersvc.pdf>.



Maricopa County, Central City has the second highest PCA score, behind only the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community.

Existing research has shown that prenatal health and related interventions have a marked impact on cognitive ability, educational outcomes, and adult health.<sup>137</sup> ADHS tracks various statistics related to Arizona births.<sup>138</sup> In 2016, there were 24,239 births in Phoenix, a 1.4 percent increase over the 23,905 Phoenix births in 2013.<sup>139</sup> Of the 2016 births:

- 1.7 percent did not receive prenatal care, compared to 1.0 percent in 2013
- 7.6 percent were considered low birth-weight, compared to 7.2 percent in 2013
- 7.4 percent were to teen mothers, compared to 9.5 percent in 2013
- 61.7 percent of births were paid by a public payer (generally, AHCCCS), compared to 64.1 percent in 2013

The Arizona Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) is a telephone survey administered by ADHS and partially funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The 2017 BRFSS included more than 3,100 respondents living in Phoenix. Key takeaways from the survey are included in Figure 57.

**Figure 57: BRFSS Survey – Key Results<sup>140</sup>**

Survey Measure	% of Respondents
% reporting good, very good, or excellent health	82.0%
% with health care coverage	89.4%
% visiting a doctor for a routine checkup in the previous 2 years	85.5%
% reporting no alcohol use in the previous 30 days	42.3%
% reporting participation in physical activities/ exercise in previous month	75.3%
% reporting receiving a flu shot or vaccine in the previous 12 months	41.3%

Additionally, BRFSS survey results varied considerably between planning villages. For example, 93.0 percent of the participants living in the North Gateway village reported having good, very good, or excellent health, compared to only 69.7 percent of the residents in Maryvale.

137 Cohodes, S., et. Al. National Bureau of Economic Research. (May 2014). The Effect of Child Health Insurance Access on Schooling; Evidence from Public Insurance Expansions. Retrieved from <https://www.nber.org/papers/w20178.pdf>.

138 Arizona Department of Health Services. Retrieved from <https://pub.azdhs.gov/health-stats>.

139 Most recent data available through ADHS as of March 2019.

140 Arizona Department of Health Services. Provider & Facility Databases as of March 6, 2019. Retrieved from <https://azdhs.gov/licensing/>.



Mental health and substance use disorders are a growing problem across the country, and affect low-income populations at higher rates than higher-income populations. Mental Health America, a community-based non-profit group, ranks states in mental health services for adults and youth, measuring the prevalence of mental health illnesses as well as mental health supports available to residents of each state. Rankings are based on a combination of factors, including number of individuals with substance use disorders, number of individuals with any mental illness who did not receive treatment or who are uninsured, and other metrics. Figure 58 illustrates the State’s 2018 ranking compared to all states across the key measurement areas.

**Figure 58: Arizona’s Mental Health Rankings for Adults and Youth<sup>141</sup>**

	<b>Arizona Ranking</b>
<b>Adult Measurements</b>	
<i>Overall ranking</i>	30 <sup>th</sup>
Prevalence of mental illness	32 <sup>nd</sup>
Substance use disorder in past year	18 <sup>th</sup>
Serious thoughts of suicide in past year	22 <sup>nd</sup>
Have a mental illness but are uninsured	22 <sup>nd</sup>
Have a mental illness but did not receive treatment	49 <sup>th</sup>
Have a mental illness but report an unmet need	31 <sup>st</sup>
Have a mental illness but could not see a doctor due to cost	40 <sup>th</sup>
<b>Youth Measurements</b>	
<i>Overall ranking</i>	43 <sup>rd</sup>
Sever major depressive episode	39 <sup>th</sup>
Substance use disorder in past year	42 <sup>nd</sup>
Major depressive episode in past year but did not receive mental health services	36 <sup>th</sup>
Have private insurance that does not cover mental or emotional problems	43 <sup>rd</sup>
Students identified with emotional disturbance for an individualized education plan	27 <sup>th</sup>

As the chart indicates, Arizona as a State fares poorly in mental health services for youth, and slightly below average in mental health instances and services for adults.

141 Mental Health America. (2018). The State of Mental Health in America 2018. Retrieved from <http://www.mentalhealthamerica.net/download-2019-state-mental-health-america-report>.



In a 2016 needs assessment report conducted by the Phoenix Mayor’s Commission on Disability Issues, researchers reported the most significant needs for mental health services from the perspective of Phoenix Police and community members with mental health issues in the following areas:<sup>142</sup>

- Additional mobile response teams are needed, as some are either not responsive or not available on weekends, holidays, or third shifts
- Individuals are not accessing the treatment they need as evidenced by Phoenix Police encountering the same individuals repeatedly
- Phoenix Police are spending too much time transporting non-violent individuals to and from mental health facilities when they feel this is the task of mental health agencies who are trained to perform this function

Poor mental health, including substance use disorders, is also a primary cause of homelessness. In the 2018 point-in-time homeless report, mental health issues were the second most often reported barrier reported by unsheltered homeless individuals to holding a job or finding stable housing. Substance use disorder, such as drug or alcohol abuse, was the most often cited barrier in holding a job or finding stable housing.<sup>143</sup>

According to American Community Survey data, there are 164,425 residents in Phoenix with a disability, including disabilities related to hearing, vision, cognitive, ambulatory, self-care, and independent living disabilities. Services for disabled residents are offered through a variety of public and private forums. For example, the Arizona Department of Economic Security provides services such as physical, occupational, and speech therapies, through its Arizona Early Intervention Program (AZEIP) to infants and toddlers with developmental delays and disabilities, while children and adults with intellectual and developmental disabilities may receive residential, personal care, day service, and other needed supports through services administered by the Division of Developmental Disabilities. Between fiscal years 2015 and 2018, AZEIP caseloads for infants and toddlers in Phoenix remained mostly flat, decreasing by 1.7 percent. Over the same period, DDD’s caseload for Phoenix residents of all ages increased by 22.6 percent, from 8,132 in fiscal year 2015 to 9,968 in fiscal year 2018.

---

142 Phoenix Mayor’s Commission on Disability Issues. (September 2016). Phoenix Community Needs Assessment on Crisis Response for People with Mental Illness. Retrieved from <https://www.phoenix.gov/eodsite/Documents/Phx%20Comm%20Needs%20Assess%20on%20Crisis%20Response.pdf>.

143 Maricopa Association of Governments. (2018). Point-in-Time Homeless Count Analysis 2018. Retrieved from [https://www.azmag.gov/Portals/0/Documents/MagContent/2018-08\\_PIT-Report.pdf?ver=2018-08-29-094248-853](https://www.azmag.gov/Portals/0/Documents/MagContent/2018-08_PIT-Report.pdf?ver=2018-08-29-094248-853).



## Community Perspectives

Only eight percent of the participants in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey ranked a lack of accessible medical, dental, and/ or mental health services among the three greatest challenges they faced. However, 28 percent of all respondents reported that there was a healthcare, dental, or mental health service they or somebody in their home needed but did not get in the year leading up to the survey. Of these, 42 percent reported this was due to a lack of health insurance, while 35 percent indicated they could not afford copayments. Thirty percent of all respondents indicated dental care was a service that would be most helpful to them and their families, a rate that was double the second-most cited need.

As it relates to health, key takeaways from the focus groups conducted as part of the Community Needs Assessment included:

- Head Start and Early Head Start participants reported satisfaction with the programs and caseworkers in referring them for health-related services, including free dental cleanings; they also reported being concerned about the cost of healthcare and the difficulty in getting appointments at some clinics during certain times of the year.
- Senior center participants reported a need for additional information related to health insurance, but indicated it could take several weeks to get an appointment with senior center case managers; some participants need additional access to low cost transportation to get to doctor appointments (and other activities); participants also reported a desire for more and better exercise equipment in a dedicated area at the senior centers.
- Family services centers focus group participants reported a need for mental health and substance abuse services, and counseling supports for children of domestic abuse; additionally, participants listed mental health and substance abuse among the key factors contributing to ongoing poverty for individuals and families.



## Domain 8: Safety

All Phoenix residents value and deserve to live in safe neighborhoods. Residents living in poverty are more likely to be victims of crime, especially a violent crime, than residents who do not live in poverty.<sup>144</sup> Although crime is not immobile – it can happen anywhere – it is more likely to happen near the victim’s home. According to the Bureau of Justice Statistics, about one-in-three violent crimes occur in or near a victim’s home, but although multiple sources track the locations of crimes on a general basis.<sup>145</sup>

### Highlights

#### *Key Results and Figures*

- *Property crime rates are decreasing.* Property crime rates in Phoenix have decreased by nearly 30 percent in the past 10 years
- *Fire and rescue are valuable services to Phoenix residents.* The Phoenix Fire Department also provides an important service for the City’s residents, responding to more than 200,000 calls for service in the City, including more than 185,000 calls for emergency medical services in 2017

#### *Needs and Gaps*

- *Violent crime rates are increasing.* Violent crime rates in Phoenix increased by 33 percent since 2014 and is at a 10-year high, a fact that may be tempered by a change in how violent crimes had been categorized and tracked prior to 2014
- *Despite decreases in property crime rates, Phoenix is among the worst large City for property crime in the country.* Phoenix ranks third amongst the 10 largest cities for property crimes, such as burglary and theft
- *Low-income residents may not feel safe in their neighborhoods.* Participants in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey rated drug use as the greatest challenge and property crimes as the second greatest challenge they face, and a lack of police presence as the fifth greatest challenge

---

144 United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Policy Development and Research. (July 20, 2016). Creating Safe and Health Living Environments for Low-Income Families. Retrieved from <https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/poverty/reports/2016/07/20/141324/creating-safe-and-healthy-living-environments-for-low-income-families/>.

145 United States Department of Justice Bureau of Justice Statistics. (n.d.). Location. Retrieved from <https://www.bjs.gov/index.cfm?ty=tp&tid=44#pubs>.



## Data and Indicators

The Federal Bureau of Investigation’s (FBI) Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Statistics provide City-level crime reporting across a long historical timeframe. The data track various forms of violent and property crimes that are reported by local law enforcement agencies – including the Phoenix Police Department – to the FBI. Violent crimes include murder and non-negligent manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault. Property crimes include burglary, larceny/ theft, and motor vehicle theft. Figure 59 details the change in violent and property crime rates per 100,000 residents over the 10-year period between 2008 and 2017 for the City, State and U.S.

**Figure 59: Violent and Property Crime per 100,000 Residents in the City, State, and Nation (2008 – 2017)<sup>146</sup>**

	Violent Crime			Property Crime		
	Phoenix	Arizona	U.S.	Phoenix	Arizona	U.S.
2008	660	447	459	5,214	4,291	3,215
2017	761	508	383	3,671	2,915	2,362
% Change	15.3%	13.6%	(16.5%)	(29.6%)	(32.1%)	(26.5%)

Violent crime per 100,000 residents in the City is at a 10-year high. Between 2008 and 2017, violent crime in Phoenix increased by 15.3 percent, compared to an increase over the same time period of 13.6 percent in the State and a 16.5 percent decrease across the U.S. Property crime rates in Phoenix decreased by 29.6 percent between 2008 and 2017, similar to the decrease in the Statewide property crime rate of 32.1 percent and the national decrease in property crime rate of 26.5 percent.

Figure 60 compares violent and property crime rates per 100,000 people for the nation’s 10 largest cities. As the figure shows, Phoenix ranks sixth highest for violent crime, and third highest for property crime. These rankings are unchanged since the 2014.

<sup>146</sup> Arizona Department of Health Services. Provider & Facility Databases as of March 6, 2019. Retrieved from <https://azdhs.gov/licensing/>.





**Figure 60: Comparison of Violent and Property Crime Rates per 100,000 for the 10 Largest Cities<sup>147</sup>**

	<b>Violent Crime</b>	<b>Rank (high to low)</b>	<b>Property Crime</b>	<b>Rank (high to low)</b>
New York	539	8	1,449	10
Los Angeles	761	5	2,536	7
Chicago	1,099	1	3,264	4
Houston	1,095	2	4,128	2
<b>Phoenix</b>	<b>761</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3,671</b>	<b>3</b>
Philadelphia	948	3	3,063	6
San Antonio	707	7	4,845	1
San Diego	367	10	1,843	9
Dallas	775	4	3,185	5
San Jose	404	9	2,441	8

Despite the apparent increases in violent crime, one explanation offered by Phoenix Police Department representatives relates to a change in what crimes were classified as rape in 2014 and aggravated assault in 2016, which “expanded the range of crimes that would be included as UCR rape or UCR aggravated assault.”<sup>148</sup>

The City of Phoenix Police Department publishes data regarding calls for service across the City. Figure 61 illustrates the top 10 calls for service that resulted in a report for 2016 through 2018.<sup>149</sup> As the figure shows, calls for trespassing were the most frequent type of call for Phoenix Police in 2018, with calls for theft and assault as the second and third most frequent call types.


147 Federal Bureau of Investigation. (Published September 2018). Crime in the United States – Tables 8 (Offenses Known by Law Enforcement by City, 2017). Retrieved from <https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2017/crime-in-the-u.s.-2017/tables/table-8/table-8.xls/view>.

148 Barry, J. AZFamily.com. (October 1, 2018). FBI Crime Stats Show Increase in Violent Crime in AZ. Retrieved from [https://www.azfamily.com/fbi-crime-stats-show-increase-in-violent-crime-in-az/article\\_7ef16d78-c5db-11e8-91df-775e30c6b765.html](https://www.azfamily.com/fbi-crime-stats-show-increase-in-violent-crime-in-az/article_7ef16d78-c5db-11e8-91df-775e30c6b765.html). It is noted that the national crime rate decreased during the same period despite being subject to the same expansion in violent crime definition.

149 City of Phoenix Police Department. 2016-2018. Calls for Service (2016, 2017, 2018). Retrieved from <https://www.phoenixopendata.com/dataset/calls-for-service>.



**Figure 61: 2018 Top 10 Calls for Service by Phoenix PD Resulting in Department Report Compared to 2016 and 2017**



Amongst the 10 largest cities in 2016, Phoenix employed the sixth highest number of police on a per capita basis at 17.4 per 10,000 residents, compared to Chicago, which had the most police (43.9), and San Jose, which had the fewest police (9.0).<sup>150</sup> The national average number of police per 10,000 residents is 24.3 for jurisdictions with more than 500,000 residents, placing Phoenix far below the national average.<sup>151</sup>

Reported crime rates represent just a fraction of actual crimes. According to the Pew Research Center, in 2017, only 45 percent of violent crimes in the U.S. were reported to police, while only 36 percent of property crimes were reported.<sup>152</sup> Of the crimes that are reported, fewer than half

150 Governing Magazine. (n.d.) Police Employment, Officers per Capita Rates for U.S. Cities. Retrieved from <https://www.governing.com/gov-data/safety-justice/police-officers-per-capita-rates-employment-for-city-departments.html>.

151 Ibid.

152 Pew Research Center. (January 3, 2019). 5 Facts About Crime in the U.S. Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2019/01/03/5-facts-about-crime-in-the-u-s/>.



of violent crimes are solved by police, and fewer than 20 percent of property crimes are solved.<sup>153</sup>


The Phoenix Police Department's 2017-2019 Strategic Plan includes five primary goals the Department has adopted to advance public safety in the City:<sup>154</sup>

- Crime Suppression and Prevention
- Community Engagement and Outreach
- Hiring, Training and Retention
- Employee Well-Being
- Increase Legitimacy

Another critical public safety service in the City are the fire and rescue services offered by the City of Phoenix Fire Department. In 2017, there were 58 fire stations across the City, 6 airport rescue and firefighting units, and 4 crisis response units.<sup>155</sup> Figure 62 illustrates the locations of all fire stations located in the City.<sup>156</sup>

According to Phoenix Fire Department reports, the Department received a total of 215,178 calls in 2017, including 186,133 calls for emergency medical service, 21,730 calls for fires, and 7,315

**Figure 62: Phoenix Fire Stations**



<sup>153</sup> Ibid.

<sup>154</sup> Phoenix Police Department. (n.d.). Phoenix Police Department Strategic Plan (2017-2019). Retrieved from [https://www.phoenix.gov/policesite/Documents/police\\_stratigic\\_plan\\_2017-2019.pdf](https://www.phoenix.gov/policesite/Documents/police_stratigic_plan_2017-2019.pdf).

<sup>155</sup> Phoenix Fire Department. (December 2017). Phoenix Fire Department Fact Sheets - 2017. Retrieved from <https://www.phoenix.gov/firesite/Documents/Fire%20Facts%20FY%202017-18.pdf>.

<sup>156</sup> The number of fire stations in the map totals 54, which differs from the 58 reported in the previous footnote. Source of stations in map: Phoenix Fire Department. (n.d.). Fire Station Locations and Apparatus. Retrieved from <https://www.phoenix.gov/fire/about-us/locations-and-apparatus>.



calls for all other reasons (including special operations).<sup>157</sup> Call data published by the Phoenix Fire Department for 2018 further illustrates the importance of the fire and rescue operations provided by the Department, which responded to more than 600 calls for gunshot wounds, 5,990 calls related to assaults, and nearly 5,000 calls related to overdose victims.<sup>158</sup>

## Community Perspectives

Safety is a high priority for the City's residents. When asked about the greatest challenges facing their neighborhoods, participants in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey rated drug use as the greatest challenge, and property crimes (such as theft) as the second greatest challenge. A lack of police presence was the fifth greatest reported challenge. Only sixteen percent of respondents indicated a positive police presence was among the greatest strengths of the neighborhood.

Only 12 percent of the Participants in the 2019 Provider and Partner Survey reported that a positive police presence was among the strengths in the neighborhoods served by their organizations, while drug use was rated the greatest challenge faced by neighborhoods served by their organizations.

---

<sup>157</sup> Ibid.

<sup>158</sup> Analysis of 2018 Calls for Service data published by the City of Phoenix. Retrieved from <https://www.phoenixopen.com/dataset/calls-for-service-fire/resource/fd71de06-a495-4731-b318-9b3d19a640b2>.



## Domain 9: Emergency Services

Emergency assistance services funded through programs such as the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program, Community Services Block Grant, and Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) are targeted to keep families out of poverty. Beginning in 2011, the Census Bureau began publishing the Supplemental Poverty Measure (SPM), which extends the official poverty measure by taking account of many of the government programs designed to assist low-income families and individuals that are not included in the official poverty measure. Census data show that economic security programs lifted 36 million people nationwide above the poverty line in 2017, including nearly 7 million children.<sup>159</sup>

### Highlights

#### *Key Results and Figures*

- *The City is collaborating with community partners to improve emergency services.* The City has strong partnerships with community and faith-based organizations which allows it to leverage additional resources

#### *Needs and Gaps*

- *LIHEAP funding is insufficient to meet the demand.* The City of Phoenix Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) funding serves less than 3 percent of eligible residents
- *Unsheltered homeless populations are increasing.* According to the 2018 homeless street count, there were 2,618 homeless individuals living on the streets in Maricopa County on January 22, 2018.<sup>160</sup> This continues a multi-year increase in the number of unsheltered individuals, growing almost 150 percent from 2014
- *Wrap-around services and case management are desired.* Both clients and community partners indicate services and outcomes could be improved through coordinated/ wrap-around services versus a single crisis response such as a one-time utility assistance
- *Consolidated resource directories would be beneficial for low-income residents.* Focus group participants indicated that a consolidated list of resources – preferably online – would be a very useful tool to assist them with accessing services

---

<sup>159</sup> Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. (September 14, 2018). Economic Security Programs Cut Poverty Nearly in Half Over Last 50 Years, New Data Show. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/poverty-and-inequality/economic-security-programs-cut-poverty-nearly-in-half-over-last-50>.

<sup>160</sup> Maricopa Association of Governments. (January 2018). 2018 Point-in-Time Summary for AZ-502 Phoenix, Mesa/ Maricopa County CoC. Retrieved from <http://www.azmag.gov/Portals/0/Documents/MagContent/2018-Point-in-Time-Count-for-AZ-502.pdf?ver=2018-05-22-094422-013>.



## Data and Indicators

More than 330,000 Phoenix residents, 21 percent of the City's population, live in poverty. There are a variety of government programs (e.g. TANF, SNAP, and LIHEAP) that provide assistance to low-income individuals and families. Responsibility for these programs is divided amongst the federal, State, and City governments. Many of these programs, however, reach only a fraction of residents living in poverty. For example, residents with income less than 150 percent of the federal poverty level are eligible for Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) assistance. An estimated 620,799 Phoenix residents are under 150 percent of the FPL; however, with available funding the City of Phoenix can only serve approximately 16,000 residents, less than 3 percent of eligible residents.<sup>161</sup>

The City provides emergency assistance supports, including rental assistance and LIHEAP, to low-income families through three family services centers: the John F. Long Center at 51<sup>st</sup> Avenue and Osborn Road, the Travis L. Williams center at Central Avenue and Broadway Road, and the Sunnyslope center at 9<sup>th</sup> Avenue and Hatcher Road. In fiscal year 2018, it was estimated that these centers provided emergency services to over 16,500 individuals. More than 18,000 individuals are expected to receive services in fiscal year 2020.<sup>162</sup>

Emergency services offered through the State's Department of Child Safety (DCS) are critically important in protecting the welfare of children suffering neglect and abuse. DCS investigates reports of neglect and abuse. After years of increases in reports to DCS, the number decreased 7.2 percent between fiscal years 2015 and 2018, from 51,784 to 48,046.<sup>163</sup> DCS reports in Phoenix had a more dramatic reduction, falling 16.1 percent from 14,515 to 12,181. It is rare for any household to have contact with DCS, but the villages with the highest rates of investigations were Alhambra, Central City, Encanto, and South Mountain.

---

161 American Community Survey. (2013-2017 5-Year Estimates). Table C17002: Ratio of Income to Poverty Level in the Past 12 Months.


162 City of Phoenix. (n.d.). Citywide Inventory of Programs 2018-19 Adopted Budget. Retrieved from <https://www.phoenix.gov/budgetsite/budget-books/Inventory-of-Programs-2017-18.pdf>.

163 Source: Department of Child Safety



When DCS does not believe a child can remain safely in their home, they remove the child and place him or her in foster care. Between July 2015 and July 2018, the number of Arizona

**Figure 63: Phoenix Children in Adoptions and Guardianships, 2012 - 2018 (Jul.)**



children in foster care decreased 19.7 percent to 14,433.<sup>164</sup> The number of children placed in foster care in Phoenix decreased more quickly, by 27.2 percent, to 3,422, during this period.

When a child cannot be returned home, CPS seeks a permanent placement, usually guardianship – in which natural parents’ parental rights have not been severed – or

adoption. Between 2012 and 2018, the number of children in adoptions and guardianships from foster care in Phoenix grew 78 percent and 80 percent, respectively.<sup>165</sup> Figure 63 presents the totals. Statewide, 1,371 children are waiting to be adopted with an average length in out-of-home care prior to adoption of just over two years.<sup>166</sup>

Information regarding individuals experiencing homelessness who seek shelter is collected through the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development’s (HUD) Homeless Management Information System (HMIS). HUD publishes annual homeless assessment reports for various areas, including the City of Phoenix/Mesa region, that summarize data relating to individuals who received shelter and estimates for unsheltered.<sup>167</sup> The report provides both an estimate of the number of individuals who received shelter during a one-year period as well as details regarding those in shelter at specific points-in-time.

In January 2018, almost 6,300 individuals were identified as experiencing homelessness. Of this total, 27.9 percent were individuals in families and 72.1 percent were single individuals. Males represented 63.9 percent of the total homeless population. By race, 62.7 percent were White and 26.2 percent were Black/African American.

<sup>164</sup> Ibid.

<sup>165</sup> Ibid.

<sup>166</sup> Department of Child Safety. (June 30, 2018). Semi-Annual Child Welfare Report. Retrieved from <https://dcs.az.gov/DCS-Dashboard>.

<sup>167</sup> U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. (November 13, 2018). HUD 2018 Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance Programs Homeless Populations and Subpopulations. Retrieved from [https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/reportmanagement/published/CoC\\_PopSub\\_CoC\\_AZ-502-2018\\_AZ\\_2018.pdf](https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/reportmanagement/published/CoC_PopSub_CoC_AZ-502-2018_AZ_2018.pdf).



Less is known about individuals experiencing homelessness who do not seek shelter services. An annual point-in-time street count is coordinated by the Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG). It is conducted by volunteers who attempt to count the number of individuals who are homeless and not in a shelter on a given night. According to the 2018 Homeless Street Count, there were 2,618 homeless individuals living on the streets in Maricopa County on January 22, 2018.<sup>168</sup> This continues a multi-year increase in the number of unsheltered individuals, growing almost 150 percent from the 1,053 counted in 2014. The City expects to provide emergency shelter services to 1,500 families with children, 1,000 women and 5,000 men in fiscal year 2020.<sup>169</sup>

HSD's homeless services provide a variety of supports to homeless individuals directly and in collaboration with community partners. Interventions for the homeless population include temporary emergency shelter, rapid-rehousing, street outreach and other supportive services.<sup>170</sup> Among these interventions, temporary emergency shelter is the most commonly used service.<sup>171</sup> The City estimated that it will have provided emergency sheltering services to 230 families and children by the end of fiscal year 2019, and expects to experience a six-fold increase in the number of families and children it will provide such services to in fiscal year 2020.<sup>172</sup> Additionally, the City estimated it will provide rental and utility deposits to move 50 chronically homeless individuals (non-veterans) into permanent housing in fiscal year 2019, while providing outreach services to 1,500 additional individuals and 134 homeless veterans.<sup>173</sup>

In addition to the City's services, there are a number of public and private entities offering a range of services for homeless residents in the City, and in most cases, doing so through collaborative partnerships. As reported in the Health Domain, individuals experiencing homelessness most often point to substance abuse or mental health issues as the primary barriers to holding employment or living in stable housing. In addition to temporary housing, addressing these factors is key in reducing homelessness in the City.

---

168 Maricopa Association of Governments. (January 2018). 2018 Point-in-Time Summary for AZ-502 Phoenix, Mesa/ Maricopa County CoC. Retrieved from <http://www.azmag.gov/Portals/0/Documents/MagContent/2018-Point-in-Time-Count-for-AZ-502.pdf?ver=2018-05-22-094422-013>.

169 City of Phoenix. (n.d.). Citywide Inventory of Programs 2018-19. Retrieved from <https://www.phoenix.gov/budgetsite/budget-books/2018-20CitywideInventoryOfPrograms.pdf>.

170 City of Phoenix. (n.d.). Homeless Services. Retrieved from <https://www.phoenix.gov/humanservices/programs/homeless>.

171 Arizona Department of Economic Security. (December 31, 2018). Homelessness in Arizona Annual Report. Retrieved from <https://des.az.gov/file/15637/download>.

172 City of Phoenix. (2018). City of Phoenix Inventory of Programs. Retrieved from <https://www.phoenix.gov/budgetsite/budget-books/2018-20CitywideInventoryOfPrograms.pdf>.

173 Ibid.





## Community Perspectives

As detailed in the Figure 64 survey respondents generally reported high satisfaction with services provided at the family services centers with score ranging between 4.3 and 4.5 on a five-point scale.

**Figure 64: Family Services Centers Satisfaction Ratings**

	Rating
Convenience of Location	4.4
Hours of Operation	4.4
Quality of instruction/ Services	4.5
Availability and quality of other support services	4.3
Treated fairly, respectfully, and courteously	4.5
Professionalism of staff	4.5
Provided with helpful information and resources	4.4

The three most utilized services for this group were food stamps (71 percent), rent and utility assistance (56 percent) and food banks (42 percent). These are the same three services identified in the 2016 survey.

For health care, 93 percent of respondents indicated they have health insurance which is an increase of 11 percent points from the 82 percent reported in 2016 survey. However, as in the previous survey, dental care continues to be identified as the service that was not received but would be the most helpful.

A focus group of family services center participants identified rent/ utility assistance, food stamps, and transportation services as the assistance most necessary to meet their basic daily needs. Participants indicated they seek and receive services from other community-based organizations such as St. Vincent De Paul, Community Bridges, Chicanos por la Causa, and St. Mary's food bank. Participants expressed similar experiences with difficulty finding and accessing services. In most cases they found information by word of mouth and in some cases through HSD case management staff. Participants agreed that a consolidated list of resources would be a very useful tool to assist them with accessing services.

Community partners expressed similar concerns about barriers faced by clients, including a lack of awareness of services and lack of advocates (including HSD case workers) to assist with navigating the social services system. They felt a key to successful transition from poverty was connection to the community and peer supports that could come from neighbors, churches, and



community-based organizations. They suggested a need for more comprehensive (or wrap-around) services instead of one-time crisis intervention.



## Domain 10: Services for Seniors

For many older adults, aging in place allows them to continue to live their lives as they have for decades. Many older adults have a strong desire to maintain connections to their communities and their friends, with whom they may lose contact if they were to relocate. Remaining in their communities enables older adults to make social interactions part of their daily lives. Since having an active social life can help older adults prevent dementia, maintaining community connections and important friendships can contribute to better health and higher quality of life.<sup>174</sup> Services such as personal care, meal delivery, help with household chores, and transportation along with social and recreational activities help seniors to age in place. The National Institute on Aging reports that providing these types of services to maintain seniors in their homes is less costly than care and services provided in an institutional setting.<sup>175</sup>

### Highlights

#### *Key Results and Figures*

- *Senior centers offer multiple benefits.* Senior centers play a vital role in the socialization, recreation, and nutritional needs of Phoenix's seniors and survey results indicate participants are highly satisfied with services provided at the senior centers
- *Seniors have more financial resources than other age groups.* As a group, the City's seniors are more affluent than other age groups. The senior poverty rate of 12.2 percent is substantially less than the City's overall 21 percent poverty rate
- *Most seniors in the City have health insurance.* Senior survey respondents indicated 97 percent have health insurance

#### *Needs and Gaps*

- Participants in the senior center focus group did note several issues that they believe should be addressed, including:
  - *Additional afternoon programming is needed in senior centers.* A lack of programs and activities in the afternoon that results in many seniors leaving after lunch
  - *Additional focus on exercise is desired in senior centers.* A need to improve exercise activities by adding gym equipment and exercise classes

---

174 University of Southern California Leonard Davis School of Gerontology. (n.d.). The Value of Aging in Place. Retrieved from. <https://gerontology.usc.edu/resources/articles/the-value-of-aging-in-place/>.

175 National Institute on Aging. (n.d.) Aging in Place: Growing Old at Home. Retrieved from <https://www.nia.nih.gov/health/aging-place-growing-old-home>.



- *Improved public awareness about senior centers may increase participation.* A lack of a marketing plan to make seniors in Phoenix aware of senior center locations and services
- *Some seniors have a disability.* 3.6 percent of Phoenix residents age 65 and older have a disability, with the greatest share of disabilities attributed to an ambulatory disability

## Data and Indicators

There are 240,673 individuals in the City of Phoenix who are 60 years of age or older, 15.1 percent of all residents. This is a significantly smaller proportion than the State as a whole, in which 22.1 percent of residents are 60 years or older.

Thirty (30) percent of the 60 and older population is currently employed. As would be expected, employment decreases as age increases. The employment rate is 52.8 percent for individuals between 60 and 64 years and falls to 26.3 percent and 6.5 percent among those between 65 and 74 years and older than 75 years of age, respectively.

As a group, older individuals are more affluent than other age groups. The senior poverty rate of 12.2 percent is substantially less than the City's overall 21.0 percent poverty rate. The senior poverty rate in Central City is 34.9 percent, which is significantly higher than the 20.7 percent in the second-highest village. In contrast, the senior poverty rate in Desert View and North Gateway is less than five percent.


Phoenix has 165,806 households with at least one resident over the age of 60 years. Almost 67,000 of these are seniors living alone.

There are more than 45,000 Phoenix households in which a grandchild is living with one or more grandparents of any age. In the majority of these families, the grandparents are not financially responsible for the grandchild(ren), leaving 15,437 grandparents who do shoulder that financial responsibility. In most of these homes, a parent is present, but apparently unable to provide financially for the child. There are 3,380 households in which no parent is present in the home, including 1,728 in which the grandparents are older than 60 years of age.



There are 11,459 households in which grandparents and grandchildren reside together in Maryvale, which is more than one-in-six of all households in this village. Estrella, Laveen, and South Mountain have similarly high proportions of these households. These are also the villages that have some of the highest poverty rates in the City, which may explain the number of multigenerational homes.

**Figure 65: Race/Ethnicity of Phoenix Residents 60 Years of Age and Older**



The racial/ethnic composition of older Phoenix residents is much different than the City overall, as illustrated by Figure 65. Whereas less than half of the overall population is White, 69.5 percent of the older population over the age of 60 years is White.

Conversely, individuals of Hispanic descent comprise more than 40 percent of the total population, but only 20 percent of the older age

groups. Seniors of non-Caucasian descent are more than twice as likely to live in poverty as older White residents.

The American Community Survey captures information about the population of residents with a disability aged 65 and older, including the nature of the disability. Across the City, there are more than 56,000 residents in this age group with a disability, approximately 3.6 percent of the overall population in this age group.

**Figure 66: Percent of Phoenix Residents Age 65 and Older with a Disability by Disability Type**

Type of Disability	% of Residents
All Disabilities	3.6%
Hearing Difficulty	1.5%
Vision Difficulty	0.8%
Cognitive Difficulty	1.0%
Ambulatory Difficulty	2.3%
Self-care Difficulty	0.8%
Independent Living Difficulty	1.5%

Figure 66 describes the nature of disabilities residents in this age group have within the City, and the percentage of residents in the overall age cohort with the disability.


Probably the best-known support for older individuals is Social Security. The income support program provides monthly payments for, to use its acronym, old age, survivors, and disability

insurance (OASDI). As of December 2017, over 1.3 million Arizonans were receiving Social Security benefits, an increase of 8.6 percent compared to 1.2 million recipients in December



2014.<sup>176</sup> In 2017, over 1,000,000 individuals were retirees (and their families), 110,000 were survivors, and 180,000 were individuals with disabilities. Aggregate monthly benefits for all recipients totaled \$1.74 billion.

Figure 67 compares the number of Social Security recipients in Phoenix in December 2011, 2014, and 2017. Between 2014 and 2017, the number of Social Security recipients in Phoenix increased 12 percent, to 202,999. As a percent of total Social Security recipients, Desert View, North Gateway, Ahwatukee Foothills, Rio Vista, and Paradise Valley had the highest proportions of retirees, while Central City, Maryvale, Alhambra, Encanto, and South Mountain had the highest rates of individuals with disabilities.



The Area Agency on Aging – Region 1 provides an array of supportive services for seniors in Maricopa County including legal assistance, employment, housing, independent living supports, and nutrition. The Area Agency on Aging received over 45,000 calls for assistance, provided over 300 classes for senior health promotion, and provided emotional health training and services to almost 1,500 older adults.<sup>177</sup>

The City’s 15 senior centers provide a number of services to seniors, including nutrition programs, educational opportunities, recreation and socialization programs, and transportation. The senior centers receive approximately 120,000 volunteer hours annually. The centers offer morning snacks and lunch five days per week, and daily programming that includes exercise activities, games, day trips, crafting, and other engaging activities. The Human Services Department provided a total of 502,000 congregate and home-delivered meals to seniors in fiscal year 2019.<sup>178</sup>

<sup>176</sup> Social Security Administration. (2017). Monthly Statistical Snapshot. Retrieved from [http://www.ssa.gov/policy/data\\_date.html](http://www.ssa.gov/policy/data_date.html).

<sup>177</sup> Area Agency on Aging (Region One). Building for the Future Annual Report 2017. Retrieved from <https://aaaphx.org/wp-content/uploads/2017AnnualReport.pdf>.

<sup>178</sup> City of Phoenix. (2018). Inventory of Programs. Retrieved from <https://www.phoenix.gov/budgetsite/budget-books/2018-20CitywideInventoryOfPrograms.pdf>.



## Community Perspectives

The 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey demonstrated that respondents are highly satisfied with the services provided at the City's fifteen senior centers as illustrated in Figure 68.

**Figure 68: Senior Center Program Satisfaction Ratings – 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey**

Satisfaction Measure	Rating
Convenience of Location	4.7
Hours of Operation	4.6
Availability and quality of other support svcs.	4.5
Quality of programs and activities	4.4
Treated fairly, respectfully, and courteously	4.6
Professionalism of staff	4.6
Provided with helpful info. and resources	4.6

In addition to access to senior centers, senior completing the survey identified dental care, home repair, and transportation as their three highest areas of need.

One very positive area for seniors is 97 percent reported they have health insurance with the majority receiving Medicare (61 percent) followed by 27 percent receiving health care through AHCCCS.

Focus group results from senior center participants indicated the following reasons for program participation:

- Provides balance to their lives
- Instills a sense of community
- Fosters friendship and socialization
- Provides interesting/ fun activities
- Promotes healthy living through exercise such as Yoga and Tai-Chi
- Provides opportunities for volunteerism/ enhanced meaning in day-to-day life

Focus group respondents suggested the following areas of potential improvement for senior centers:

- Increase programming for afternoon hours; the lack of programs and activities result in many seniors leaving after lunch



- Improvement in exercise activities by adding gym equipment and exercise classes (such as bone builders)
- Develop and implement a marketing plan to make seniors in Phoenix aware of senior center locations and services
- Ensure adequate funds are available for necessary facility maintenance (e.g. painting, furniture, and air conditioning)
- Provide annual funding to each center to allow for the necessary supplies (e.g. art and crafting supplies)





## Domain 11: Services for Youth

Structured out-of-school activities, including sports and recreation, clubs, volunteerism, work, and similar activities, are associated with more positive and healthful outcomes for children. Research has found that youth who participate in organized activities have higher academic achievement, fewer behavioral problems, and improved self-confidence.<sup>179</sup> Conversely, youth who spend out-of-school time without structured and supervised activities are at an increased risk of dropping out of school, substance abuse, and delinquency.<sup>180</sup> Children from low-income families are as much as 27 percent less likely to participate in an out-of-school activity than children who are not from low-income families.<sup>181</sup>

### Highlights

#### *Key Results and Figures*

- *The City offers a host of diverse activities and resources for youth.* The City is home to a variety of recreational sites where youth can engage in a number of athletic, artistic, and educational pursuits outside of school hours, including more than 40 Phoenix Afterschool Center sites, 181 parks, 29 public pools, seven museums, and five sports complexes
- *Private organizations in the City are important assets for youth.* Non-profit and charitable organizations such as the YMCA and Boys and Girls Club offer additional out-of-school activities to supplement many of the services offered through the City and private providers

#### *Needs and Gaps*

- *Youth in lower-income areas may not have sufficient access to quality after school activities.* Participants in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey listed a lack of after school activities as the third greatest challenge facing their neighborhoods, while participants receiving Early Head Start and Head Start services ranked a lack of after school activities as the first and second greatest challenge facing their neighborhoods, respectively

---

179 Massoni, Erin. (2011). ESSAI: Vol. 9, Article 27. Positive Effects of Extra Curricular Activities on Students. Retrieved from <https://dc.cod.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1370&context=essai>.

180 Hiley-Sharp, E. The University of New Hampshire Carsey Institute. (Winter 2010). Out-of-School Time Matters: Activity Involvement and Positive Development among Coos County Youth. Retrieved from <https://scholars.unh.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?referer=https://www.google.com/&httpsredir=1&article=1091&context=carsey>.

181 Anderson-Moore, K., Murphey, D., Bandy, T., and Cooper, P.M. Child Trends. (2014). Research Brief: Participation in Out-of-School Time Activities and Programs. Retrieved from <https://www.childtrends.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/2014-13OutofSchoolActivities1.pdf>.



## Data and Indicators


The City of Phoenix offers a host of services benefiting youth. The map in Figure 69 highlights the amenities and locations, which include services and activities appealing to the broad interests of the youth living in Phoenix.<sup>182</sup>

- 181 parks
- 13 community centers
- 15 recreation centers
- 1 specialty learning center
- 29 public pools
- 11 dog parks
- 7 skate parks and plazas
- 5 sports complexes
- 1 sport park and municipal stadium
- 7 urban fishing lakes
- 8 parks allowing radio-controlled aircraft
- 7 golf courses
- 17 public libraries<sup>183</sup>
- 7 museums
- 1 children's chorus
- 1 youth theater

**Figure 69: Location of City Amenities for Youth and Families**

### City Resources

- Phx\_Villages
- Points\_Of\_Pride
- ⌂ Golf\_Courses
- ⚽ Recreation\_Centers
- 🏊 Swimming\_Pools
- 📍 Career\_Centers
- 📖 Libraries
- 🌲 Parks
- Park\_Trails
- 🌵 Desert\_Parks
- 🏔 Mountain\_Preserves



182 City of Phoenix. (n.d.). Interactive Parks Department Maps. Retrieved from <https://www.phoenix.gov/parks/parks/interactive-parks-map>.

183 Phoenix Public Library. (n.d.). Locations and Hours. Retrieved from <https://www.phoenixpubliclibrary.org/locations-hours>



Additionally, the Phoenix Afterschool Center (PAC) is an important resource for families in need of structured after school care for children between six and 13 years old, or students enrolled in 1<sup>st</sup> through 8<sup>th</sup> grades. The program is an affordable afterschool recreation and enrichment program through the Phoenix Parks and Recreation Department, offering sports, games, educational enrichment, arts and cultural activities, and civic community involvement.<sup>184</sup> PAC programs are operated out of more than 40 district and charter school sites across the City.

In addition to services and recreational outlets funded through City services, a number of non-profit and community-based organizations also provide youth services. Through its five Phoenix locations, the YMCA offers athletic programs, swim lessons, fitness, day and overnight camps, and other services for youth through its five valley locations. Older children may benefit from the YMCA's specialty programs, such as its Model United Nations and Y-Achievers, which provides additional assistance with education, internship opportunities, and similar supports to help older youth achieve educational and employment goals.

There are six Boys and Girls Club locations in the City, offering a variety of after school programs such as homework assistance, financial literacy courses for teens, theater and book clubs, leadership development, and sports programs. The organization hosts summer programs for youth and teens, including field trips, socialization, sports and recreation, arts, reading, and other activities.

Each City-administered site and program, non-profit and community-based program, and the numerous youth sports, arts, and educational programs offered by a number of additional organizations are important assets to the City and its youth.

### Community Perspectives

Participants in the 2019 Resident and Client Community Survey listed a lack of after school activities as the third greatest challenge facing their neighborhoods. Participants who indicated they received Early Head Start or Head Start services ranked a lack of after school activities as the greatest and second greatest challenge facing their neighborhoods, respectively.

The City's partners and providers also recognize the relative importance of after school activities to Phoenix residents, ranking a lack of after school activities as the fourth greatest challenge facing the City's neighborhoods.

---

<sup>184</sup> City of Phoenix Parks and Recreation Department. (n.d.). PAC Out of School Time Recreation Program. Retrieved from [https://www.phoenix.gov/parksite/Documents/PKS\\_PAC/PKS\\_PAC\\_Brochure.pdf](https://www.phoenix.gov/parksite/Documents/PKS_PAC/PKS_PAC_Brochure.pdf).

## **Appendix A: Census and Caseload Data Allocation Methodology**

<b>Appendix Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
Appendix A-I: 2019 Community Assessment Demographic Data Methodology and Overview	A-2
Appendix A-II: Zip Code Allocations by Village	A-8
Appendix A-III: Zip Code Allocations by Early Head Start/Head Start Delegate Agency Service Areas	A-10
Appendix A-IV: Census Tract Allocations by Village	A-12
Appendix A-V: Census Tract Allocations by Early Head Start/Head Start Delegate Agency Service Areas	A-22

## Appendix A-I: Community Assessment Demographic Data Overview

Demographic data included in the City of Phoenix Human Services Department's 2019 Community Assessment has been adapted from the Census Bureau's American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates for 2013 through 2017, representing the most recent ACS data available as of report publication. This Appendix provides a brief overview of the ACS, lists the specific tables used in the 2019 Community Assessment, and outlines the methodologies used to map the data and combine race and ethnicity data.

### Background

The American Community Survey differs from the decennial Census in several important ways:

- The ACS is an ongoing survey that provides data every year, compared to the Census, which is conducted once per decade
- The ACS collects much more detailed demographic data than the Census, which only gathers data regarding gender, age, race/ethnicity, and home ownership
- The ACS is an estimate based on a sample – about one percent of households are surveyed every year – compared to the Census, which aims to collect data from every household

The first two bullets highlight the primary benefits of the ACS and the reasons it was used in the Community Assessment: its timeliness and the depth of information it provides.

The ACS makes available two different estimate timeframes: one-year and five-year. The one-year estimates are the timeliest because they are based entirely on data collected over the past year compared to the five-year figures for which 20 percent of the estimate is based on data from five years ago, 20 percent is derived from four-year-old data, etc. The five-year estimates are derived from larger samples (that is, five years of surveys) so they will generally have a lower potential sampling error. Most importantly, data for individual census tracts are included only in the five-year estimates. In order to provide analyses at a sub-city level (i.e., across planning villages and Head Start service areas), the Community Assessment generally uses the five-year estimates.

### ACS Tables Used

ACS data is made available online at [factfinder.census.gov](https://factfinder.census.gov). The Census Bureau has constructed a number of tables to summarize the data collected in the ACS. Figure A-1 lists the ACS data included in the Community Assessment and the table from which each was adapted.

**Figure A-1: Sources of Demographic Data Included in 2019 Community Assessment**

<b>Demographic Data</b>	<b>American Community Survey (ACS) Tables Used</b>
Age ranges (total and households living in poverty)	B01001: Sex by Age B17001: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months by Sex by Age S0101: Age and Sex
Household composition (total and households living in poverty)	B17010: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months of Families by Family Type by Presence of Related Children Under 18 Years by Age of Related Children S1101: Households and Families
Race/ ethnicity (total and individuals living in poverty)	B03002: Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race B17020A: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months by Age (White Alone) B17020B: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months by Age (Black or African American Alone) B17020C: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months by Age (American Indian and Alaska Native Alone) B17020D: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months by Age (Asian Alone) B17020E: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months by Age (Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone) B17020F: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months by Age (Some Other Race Alone) B17020G: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months by Age (Two or More Races) B17020H: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months by Age (White Alone, Not Hispanic or Latino) B17020I: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months by Age (Hispanic or Latino)
Educational attainment	B17003: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months of Individuals by Sex by Educational Attainment
Population of residents 60 years and older	B01001: Sex by Age S1701: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months
Employment status of residents 60 years and older	B23001: Sex by Age by Employment Status for the Population 16 Years and Over
Household composition with residents 60 years and older	B11006: Households by Presence of People 60 Years and Over by Household Type

<b>Demographic Data</b>	<b>American Community Survey (ACS) Tables Used</b>
Grandparents living with grandchildren	B10051: Grandparents Living with Own Grandchildren Under 18 Years by Responsibility for Own Grandchildren by Presence of Parent of Grandchildren and Age of Grandparent
Employment and income of households and residents	S1903: Median Income in the Past 12 Months (in 2017 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars) B19326: Median Income in the Past 12 Months (in 2017 Inflation Adjusted Dollars) by Sex by Work Experience in the Past 12 Months for the Population 15 Years and Over with Income DP03: Selected Economic Characteristics B17005: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months of Individuals by Sex by Employment Status
Percent of three and four year-olds enrolled in school	S1401: School Enrollment
Citizenship (total and individuals living in poverty)	B05003: Sex by Age by Nativity and Citizenship Status B17025: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months by Nativity
Languages spoken at home/linguistic isolation	C16002: Household Language by Household Limited English-Speaking Status
Residents by disability type	S1810: Disability Characteristics
Health insurance coverage	S2703: Private Health Insurance Coverage by Type and Selected Characteristics S2704: Public Health Insurance Coverage by Type and Selected Characteristics
Health insurance coverage by age	B27010: Types of Health Insurance Coverage by Age

**Summarizing Data by Village/Head Start Service Area**

Given the geographic size and diversity of the City, the Community Assessment summarizes demographic and caseload data at sub-City levels. Specifically, this data was reported for the City’s planning villages and Head Start service areas. Allocation methodologies were developed to provide estimates for these smaller geographic areas.

Dividing the City into smaller areas allows service planning to take into consideration the unique characteristics of the City’s neighborhoods. To facilitate this planning and taking advantage of already-defined regions, the Community Assessment details demographic and caseload data within each of the City’s 15 planning villages. The villages – and the planning committee within each – exist to assist the City in updating its General Plan; defining the future function, density, and character of subareas within the village; and commenting on proposals

for new zoning or land use districts. A map outlining the boundaries of these villages is included as Figure 2 in the Community Assessment.

Data was similarly detailed for Early Head Start and Head Start service areas. In most cases, these services areas correspond to the boundaries of a school district or group of school districts.<sup>1</sup>

Allocating information provided for census tracts and zip codes required the development of ‘keys’ to apportion the data. In brief, this process involved:

- Collecting ‘shape files’ that outline the location boundaries of the geographic areas for analysis (that is, the planning villages and Head Start service areas)<sup>2</sup>
- Overlaying these shape files with City boundary,<sup>3</sup> census tract, and zip code shape files
- Further overlaying these files with census block<sup>4</sup> and city boundary shape files
- Calculating the total population of each zip code or census tract by adding the populations of the census blocks within each zip code or census tract
- Calculating the percentage of the total population of each census tract or zip code that is within the City limits by comparing the populations of the census blocks within the City to the total determined in the previous step
- Calculating the percentage of the City population that is within each planning village or Head Start service area by comparing the populations of the census blocks within the zip code or census tract to the City total determined in the previous step
- Applying these percentages to the census tract and zip code data to allocate the data to planning village and Head Start service areas

An example can make the methodology clearer. In this example, an estimate of the number of service users – based on caseload estimates by zip code – is developed for Example Unified School District (EUSD):

- An overlay analysis shows that all of zip code 12345 is within the city limits
- Another overlay shows a portion, but not all, of zip code 12345 lies within EUSD
- The 12345 zip code is composed of three census blocks
- These census blocks have populations of 30, 40, and 50 individuals, for a total of 120

<sup>1</sup> Two different Head Start delegate agencies – Booker T. Washington and the Greater Phoenix Urban League – serve portions of the Phoenix Elementary School District. The City’s most recent assignment of Head Start locations creates a potentially overlapping boundary for some schools. For example, the City’s assigns Bethune Elementary to Booker T. Washington, which falls west of the Central Avenue boundary into the Greater Phoenix Urban League boundary (assignment file retrieved March 15, 2019 from <https://www.phoenix.gov/humanservicessite/Documents/Head%20Start%20Preschool%20Sites%20Web.pdf>).

<sup>2</sup> The ‘shape files’ for the planning villages and Early Head Start service area were provided by the City. The school district ‘shapes’ were gathered from the Census Bureau. Phoenix Elementary School had to be split at Central Avenue to apportion its area to the two agencies that serve it.

<sup>3</sup> If a school district extended outside of the Phoenix, the analysis included only the portion within the City.

<sup>4</sup> The smallest Census geographic area is the census block. A collection of these blocks roll-up to form a census block group and a collection of census blocks groups form a census tract.



- Only one of these census blocks – the one with a population of 30 – is in EUSD; thus, 25 percent (30 divided by 120) of any data for zip code 12345 is allocated to EUSD
- There is a total of 20 service users in zip code 12345 so an estimated 5 (20 multiplied by 25 percent) are in the EUSD boundaries

The allocation keys that were created are attached as Appendices A-II through A-V.

The Community Assessment also includes ‘buffer analyses’ to determine the proximity of service locations to eligible individuals. Specifically, these analyses consider the locations of senior centers, family service centers, and Head Start sites and measure the percentage of eligible individuals within one mile and three miles of a location.

For Family Services Centers and senior centers, the service location was plotted and one-mile radius circles were drawn around each. The population that was within a covered radius was tallied by census tract. If a census tract was split by the border of the coverage radius, the census tract population was prorated by geographical area, which assumes a constant population density within a census tract. For example, if a census tract had 25 percent of its total land area within the coverage radius, 25 percent of the census tract population was included. This was repeated using a three-mile radius from the service location.

The Head Start analysis was similar, but also had to account for delegate agency boundaries. Children may only attend Head Start delivered by the delegate agency serving that child’s school district. Thus, if a child resides in School District 1, they can only attend Head Start programs delivered by the delegate agency for School District 1, even if they live nearer to a Head Start site in School District 2. To account for that requirement, the analysis was conducted separately for each of the nine delegate agencies, calculating the proportion of children within a one-mile and three-mile radius of a Head Start site within the school district in which they reside.

## Race/Ethnicity

Many ACS tables can be filtered by racial group, allowing for analysis of demographic differences across races (for example, developing the racial distribution for children under five years of age living in poverty). However, for the purposes of the Census, ‘Hispanic/Latino’ is not considered a race. Rather, it is considered an ethnicity and individuals must choose another race, typically ‘White/Caucasian’ or ‘some other’ race. In order to develop estimates of racial populations that consider Hispanic/Latino on par with other races, a methodology was developed to adjust the race totals to account for individuals of Hispanic descent.

In brief, the methodology included the following steps:

- Query the demographic variable (e.g., age group) to establish a ‘control total’
- Query the same demographic variable with filters for each race, total individuals of Hispanic descent, and individuals of White/Caucasian descent who do not also identify as Hispanic
- Treat the total count of individuals of Hispanic descent as its own race category

- Add the total for each racial group (including Hispanic)
- If the total across racial groups is greater than the overall control (indicating that some individuals are being counted as both Hispanic and another race), adjust the number of individuals in the ‘other’ category such that the resulting total equals the control total
- If the ‘other’ category is reduced to zero and the sum of the racial groups still exceed the control total, prorate the remaining difference across the remaining races based on their proportional representation within the census tract

This calculation was performed at the census tract level in order to facilitate the detailed geographic analyses included in the Community Assessment.

This methodology provides a more complete portrayal of the racial/ethnic composition of the City and its neighborhoods than separately reporting race excluding those of Hispanic descent and the count of individuals of Hispanic descent. However, it is imprecise because the ACS separately records race and Hispanic ethnicity requiring assumptions regarding how to assign individuals to a single group. As the methodological description outlines, the analysis effectively counted anyone of Hispanic origin as ‘Hispanic’ rather than whatever race they selected. Given that 95 percent of individuals of Hispanic descent in Phoenix described themselves as White or some other race (and another five percent selected multiple races), this assumption appears reasonable and does not significantly undercount other races.

**Appendix A-II: Zip Code Allocations by Village**

Zip Codes	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
85003				76.9%			23.1%								
85004				77.8%			22.2%								
85006				49.6%			50.4%								
85007				84.3%			15.7%								
85008			75.1%	20.7%			4.2%								
85009				7.1%			3.1%	58.3%		31.4%					
85012		57.6%					42.4%								
85013		55.1%					44.9%								
85014		1.1%	64.9%				34.1%								
85015		75.7%					24.3%								
85016			90.7%				9.3%								
85017		98.2%								1.8%					
85018			100.0%												
85019		74.8%								25.2%					
85020		4.9%	25.7%									69.3%			
85021		35.8%										64.2%			
85022					33.8%							26.5%	39.8%		
85023					66.7%							33.3%			
85024					41.2%	28.8%							30.0%		
85027					100.0%										
85028													100.0%		
85029												100.0%			
85031										100.0%					
85032													100.0%		
85033										100.0%					
85034				100.0%											
85035										100.0%					
85037										96.7%					
85040															100.0%
85041									27.9%						72.1%
85042															100.0%
85043								95.9%		4.1%					
85044	96.4%														3.6%
85045	100.0%														

**Appendix A-II: Zip Code Allocations by Village**

	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
<b>Zip Codes (cont.)</b>															
85048	100.0%														
85050						49.8%							50.2%		
85051		33.3%										66.7%			
85053					57.5%							42.5%			
85054						100.0%									
85083					100.0%										
85085					37.3%	7.3%					51.9%				
85086											15.0%			4.5%	
85087														32.1%	
85251			3.6%												
85253			0.8%												
85254													7.7%		
85255													93.9%		
85304						0.0%									
85305										9.7%		24.0%			
85306					17.2%							14.1%			
85307										32.4%					
85308					36.7%										
85310					69.2%										
85331						66.0%									
85339															
85353															72.5%

**Appendix A-III: Zip Code Allocations by Early Head Start/Head Start Delegate Agency Service Areas**

Zip Codes	Early Head Start	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Phoenix Urban League (GPU)	Phoenix Elem.	River-side	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
85003	0.0%	0.0%	11.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	85.3%	0.0%	85.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85004	0.0%	0.0%	96.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85006	0.0%	0.0%	59.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%
85007	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	96.5%	0.0%	96.5%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85008	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%
85009	59.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	58.2%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	1.5%	67.4%	32.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85012	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85013	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
85014	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85015	66.3%	46.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.3%	0.0%	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%
85016	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85017	97.7%	69.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	28.1%	0.0%
85018	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85019	100.0%	85.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85020	56.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	56.2%	0.0%
85021	95.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	95.6%	0.0%
85022	15.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.2%	0.0%
85023	91.5%	0.0%	0.0%	36.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	55.3%	0.0%
85024	8.5%	0.0%	0.0%	8.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85027	92.2%	0.0%	0.0%	92.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85028	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85029	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
85031	100.0%	15.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	82.3%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	84.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85032	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85033	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	99.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85034	0.0%	0.0%	84.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.8%
85035	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.6%	67.2%	17.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	84.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85037	77.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	77.7%	0.0%	0.0%	77.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85040	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	82.9%	0.0%	0.0%
85041	17.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.4%	0.0%	82.6%	0.0%	0.0%

**Appendix A-III: Zip Code Allocations by Early Head Start/Head Start Delegate Agency Service Areas**

	Early Head Start	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Phoenix Urban League (GPU)	Phoenix Elem.	River-side	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
85042	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	75.6%	0.0%	0.0%
85043	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	73.2%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	19.5%	26.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85044	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85045	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85048	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85050	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85051	100.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	98.9%	0.0%
85053	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	26.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	73.7%	0.0%
85054	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85083	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85085	96.6%	0.0%	0.0%	96.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85086	19.6%	0.0%	0.0%	19.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85087	32.1%	0.0%	0.0%	32.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85251	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85253	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85254	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85255	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85304	24.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	24.0%	0.0%
85305	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85306	31.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	31.4%	0.0%
85307	32.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	32.4%	0.0%	0.0%	32.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85308	36.7%	0.0%	0.0%	36.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
85310	69.2%	0.0%	0.0%	69.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85331	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
85339	88.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	88.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	88.2%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
85353	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Appendix A-IV: Census Tract Allocations by Village**

<i>Census Tract ID</i>	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
04013082002	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082007	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082008	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082009	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082010	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082012	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082016	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082018	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082028	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082203	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082204	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082205	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082206	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082207	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013092719	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103205	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103206	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103207	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103208	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103209	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103210	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103211	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103212	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103214	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103215	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103216	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103217	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103219	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103220	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103302	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103303	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103304	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103305	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Appendix A-IV: Census Tract Allocations by Village**

<i>Census Tract ID (cont.)</i>	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
04013103306	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103501	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103502	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103604	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103605	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103606	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103607	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.7%	90.3%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103608	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103609	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103611	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	82.8%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103612	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103614	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103615	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103701	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.9%	71.1%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103702	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104202	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	94.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104203	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104204	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104205	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104206	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104207	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104212	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104218	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	86.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104219	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104225	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104226	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104301	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104302	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104401	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104402	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104501	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104502	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%



**Appendix A-IV: Census Tract Allocations by Village**

<i>Census Tract ID (cont.)</i>	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
04013104600	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104701	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104702	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104801	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.1%	77.9%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104802	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013105101	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013105102	0.0%	0.0%	98.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013105200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013105300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013105400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013105501	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013105502	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013105503	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013105601	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013105602	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013105701	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013105702	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013105800	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013105900	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106001	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106002	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106003	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106100	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106200	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106300	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106400	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106501	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106502	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106600	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106701	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106702	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106703	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106801	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106802	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106900	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Appendix A-IV: Census Tract Allocations by Village**

<i>Census Tract ID (cont.)</i>	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
04013107000	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107101	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107102	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107201	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107202	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107300	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107400	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107500	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107601	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107602	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107700	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107800	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107900	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108000	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108100	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108200	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108301	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108302	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108400	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108501	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108502	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108601	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108602	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108802	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108901	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108902	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109001	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109002	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109003	0.0%	89.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109101	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109102	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109200	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109500	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109601	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Appendix A-IV: Census Tract Allocations by Village**

	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
<b>Census Tract ID (cont.)</b>															
04013109602	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109603	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109604	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109701	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109702	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109703	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109704	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109705	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109801	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109802	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110001	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110002	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110501	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110502	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110600	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110701	0.0%	0.0%	10.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	89.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110702	0.0%	0.0%	91.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110801	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110802	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110901	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110902	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111000	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111100	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111201	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111202	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111203	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111204	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111300	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111401	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111402	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111501	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111502	0.0%	0.0%	98.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111601	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Appendix A-IV: Census Tract Allocations by Village**

<i>Census Tract ID (cont.)</i>	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
04013111602	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111700	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111800	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112201	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112202	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112301	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112302	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112401	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112402	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112502	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112503	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112504	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112505	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	55.0%	0.0%	45.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112507	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112508	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112509	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112510	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112511	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112512	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112513	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112514	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	45.7%	54.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112601	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112602	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112700	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	90.7%	0.0%	9.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113201	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113202	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113203	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113501	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113502	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Appendix A-IV: Census Tract Allocations by Village**

<i>Census Tract ID (cont.)</i>	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
04013113601	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113602	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113700	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113801	0.0%	0.0%	44.0%	56.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113802	0.0%	0.0%	11.8%	35.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	52.9%
04013113900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114301	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114302	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114401	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114402	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114500	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114600	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114703	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114800	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	84.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.8%
04013114900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013115200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013115300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013115400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013115500	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013115600	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013115700	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013115801	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013115802	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013115900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013116000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013116100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013116202	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013116203	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013116204	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013116205	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013116300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013116400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013116500	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

**Appendix A-IV: Census Tract Allocations by Village**

	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
<b>Census Tract ID (cont.)</b>															
04013116602	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013116603	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116604	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116605	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116606	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116607	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	98.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
04013116608	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116609	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116610	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116611	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116612	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116613	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013116702	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013116703	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013116704	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
04013116707	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116708	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116709	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116710	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116711	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116712	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116713	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116714	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116715	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116717	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116718	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116719	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116720	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116721	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116725	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116727	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116728	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116729	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116730	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116731	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116732	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	97.4%

**Appendix A-IV: Census Tract Allocations by Village**

<i>Census Tract ID (cont.)</i>	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
04013116733	81.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.8%
04013116800	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	32.6%	0.0%	0.0%	62.2%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116900	0.0%	83.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013117000	0.0%	13.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	86.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013117100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013117200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013117300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	31.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.2%
04013610000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	27.2%	0.0%	0.0%	72.8%	0.0%
04013610600	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013610800	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013611300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013611400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013611500	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013611600	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013611700	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013611800	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013611900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013612000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	24.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	75.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013612200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	19.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013612300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013612400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013612500	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013612600	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013612700	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013612800	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013612900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013613000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013613300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013614300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013614400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013614500	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013614600	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013614700	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013614800	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013614900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	57.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	43.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013615000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Appendix A-IV: Census Tract Allocations by Village**

<i>Census Tract ID (cont.)</i>	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
04013615100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013615200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013616100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013616200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013616300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013616400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013616500	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013616600	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013616700	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013616800	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013616900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013617000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013617100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	97.6%	0.0%	0.0%
04013617200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013617300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	95.9%	0.0%	0.0%
04013618100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013618200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013618300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013618400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013618500	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013618600	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013618700	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013618800	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013618900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619500	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619600	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619700	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619800	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	98.6%	0.0%	0.0%



**Appendix A-V: Census Tract Allocations by Early Head Start/Head Start Delegate Agency Service Areas**

<i>Census Tract ID</i>	Early Head Start	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Urban Phoenix League (GPU)	Phoenix Elem.	River-side	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
04013082002	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082007	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082008	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082009	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082010	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082012	74.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	74.3%	0.0%	0.0%	74.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082016	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082018	99.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	99.6%	0.0%	0.0%	99.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082028	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082203	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082204	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082205	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082206	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013082207	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013092719	99.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	99.9%	0.0%	0.0%	99.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103205	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103206	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103207	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103208	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103209	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103210	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103211	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103212	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103214	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103215	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103216	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103217	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103219	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103220	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Appendix A-V: Census Tract Allocations by Early Head Start/Head Start Delegate Agency Service Areas**

	Early Head Start	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Urban Phoenix League (GPU)	Phoenix Elem.	River-side	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
			Phoenix Elem.						Pender-gast							
04013103302	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103303	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103304	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103305	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103306	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103501	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103502	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103604	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013103605	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013103606	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103607	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103608	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013103609	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013103611	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103612	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013103614	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013103615	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013103701	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013103702	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%
04013103900	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104000	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104100	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104202	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104203	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104204	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104205	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104206	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104207	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104212	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104218	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%

**Appendix A-V: Census Tract Allocations by Early Head Start/Head Start Delegate Agency Service Areas**

	Early Head Start	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Urban Phoenix League (GPU)	Phoenix Elem.	River-side	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
<b>Census Tract ID (cont.)</b>			Phoenix Elem.						Pender-gast							
04013104219	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104225	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104226	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104301	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104302	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104401	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104402	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104501	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104502	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104600	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104701	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104702	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013104801	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104802	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013104900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013105101	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013105102	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013105200	90.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	90.7%	0.0%
04013105300	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013105400	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013105501	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013105502	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013105503	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013105601	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013105602	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013105701	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013105702	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013105800	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013105900	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013106001	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013106002	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%

**Appendix A-V: Census Tract Allocations by Early Head Start/Head Start Delegate Agency Service Areas**

	Early Head Start	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Urban Phoenix League (GPU)	Phoenix Elem.	River-side	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
<b>Census Tract ID (cont.)</b>																
04013106003	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013106100	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013106200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106501	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106502	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106600	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013106701	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013106702	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013106703	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013106801	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013106802	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013106900	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
04013107000	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107101	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107102	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107201	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107202	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107300	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107500	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107601	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107602	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107700	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107800	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013107900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108301	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Appendix A-V: Census Tract Allocations by Early Head Start/Head Start Delegate Agency Service Areas**

	Early Head Start	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Urban Phoenix League (GPU)	Phoenix Elem.	River-side	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
04013108302	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108501	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108502	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108601	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108602	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108802	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108901	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013108902	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109001	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109002	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109003	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109101	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109102	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109200	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109300	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109400	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109500	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109601	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109602	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109603	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109604	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109701	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109702	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109703	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109704	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109705	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109801	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109802	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013109900	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110001	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Appendix A-V: Census Tract Allocations by Early Head Start/Head Start Delegate Agency Service Areas**

Census Tract ID	Early Head Start	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Urban Phoenix League (GPU)	Phoenix Elem.	River-side	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
04013110002	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110100	100.0%	44.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	55.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	55.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110501	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110502	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110600	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110701	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110702	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110801	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110802	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110901	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013110902	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111201	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111202	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111203	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111204	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111401	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111402	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111501	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111502	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111601	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111602	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111700	0.0%	0.0%	90.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111800	0.0%	0.0%	44.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	35.8%	0.0%	35.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013111900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	85.2%	0.0%	85.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112100	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112201	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112202	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Appendix A-V: Census Tract Allocations by Early Head Start/Head Start Delegate Agency Service Areas**

	Early Head Start	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Urban Phoenix League (GPU)	Phoenix Elem.	River-side	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
			Phoenix Elem.						Pender-gast							
04013112301	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112302	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	37.8%	62.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112401	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112402	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112502	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112503	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112504	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112505	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112507	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	99.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112508	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112509	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	58.6%	41.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	41.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112510	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112511	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112512	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	21.8%	78.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	78.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112513	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	47.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	52.9%	52.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112514	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	54.3%	0.0%	0.0%	45.7%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112601	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112602	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112700	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013112900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113000	0.0%	0.0%	56.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	43.6%	0.0%	43.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113100	0.0%	0.0%	61.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	39.0%	0.0%	39.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113201	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113202	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113203	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113300	0.0%	0.0%	50.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.8%
04013113400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	96.6%
04013113501	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113502	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.5%
04013113601	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113602	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Appendix A-V: Census Tract Allocations by Early Head Start/Head Start Delegate Agency Service Areas**

	Early Head Start	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Urban Phoenix League (GPU)	Phoenix Elem.	River-side	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
			Phoenix Elem.						Pender-gast							
04013113700	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013113801	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	46.7%
04013113802	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.5%
04013113900	0.0%	0.0%	93.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.4%
04013114000	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114100	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	93.1%	0.0%	93.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114200	0.0%	0.0%	41.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	58.6%	0.0%	58.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114301	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114302	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114401	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.6%	0.0%	18.6%	81.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114402	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	54.1%	0.0%	54.1%	45.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114500	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114600	41.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	41.2%	41.2%	58.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114703	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114800	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	79.4%	0.0%	79.4%	4.7%	15.8%	0.0%	0.0%
04013114900	0.0%	0.0%	28.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	71.6%	0.0%	71.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013115200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	35.6%	0.0%	0.0%
04013115300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013115400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013115500	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013115600	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013115700	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013115801	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013115802	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013115900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116202	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116203	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116204	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116205	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%



**Appendix A-V: Census Tract Allocations by Early Head Start/Head Start Delegate Agency Service Areas**

	Early Head Start	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Urban Phoenix League (GPU)	Phoenix Elem.	River-side	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
04013116300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116500	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116602	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116603	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116604	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116605	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116606	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116607	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116608	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116609	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116610	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116611	95.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	95.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	95.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116612	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	95.4%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116613	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116702	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116703	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116704	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	75.1%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116707	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116708	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116709	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116710	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116711	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116712	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116713	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116714	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116715	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116717	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116718	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116719	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116720	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Appendix A-V: Census Tract Allocations by Early Head Start/Head Start Delegate Agency Service Areas**

	Early Head Start	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Urban Phoenix League (GPU)	Phoenix Elem.	River-side	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
04013116721	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116725	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116727	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116728	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116729	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116730	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116731	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116732	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.1%	0.0%	91.9%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116733	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116800	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013116900	62.1%	62.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	37.9%	0.0%	37.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013117000	52.2%	52.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	47.8%	0.0%	47.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013117100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013117200	0.0%	0.0%	95.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%
04013117300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	82.6%	17.4%	0.0%	0.0%
04013610000	69.0%	0.0%	0.0%	69.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013610600	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013610800	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013611300	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013611400	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013611500	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013611600	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013611700	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013611800	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013611900	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013612000	77.8%	0.0%	0.0%	77.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013612200	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013612300	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013612400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013612500	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013612600	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Appendix A-V: Census Tract Allocations by Early Head Start/Head Start Delegate Agency Service Areas**

	Early Head Start	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Urban Phoenix League (GPU)	Phoenix Elem.	River-side	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
04013612700	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013612800	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013612900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013613000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013613300	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013614300	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013614400	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013614500	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013614600	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013614700	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013614800	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013614900	55.8%	0.0%	0.0%	55.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013615000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013615100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013615200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013616100	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013616200	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013616300	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013616400	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013616500	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013616600	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013616700	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013616800	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013616900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013617000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013617100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013617200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013617300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013618100	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013618200	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013618300	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Appendix A-V: Census Tract Allocations by Early Head Start/Head Start Delegate Agency Service Areas**

Early Head Start	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Phoenix Urban League (GPU)	Phoenix Elem.	River-side	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
------------------	----------	----------------------	-------------	--------	------------	-------	--------	------------------------------------	---------------	------------	-------	--------	-----------	------------	--------

**Census Tract ID (cont.)**

04013618400	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013618500	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013618600	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013618700	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013618800	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013618900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619100	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619300	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619400	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619500	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619600	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619700	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619800	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
04013619900	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Appendix B: Additional Information Relating to Demographics**

<b>Appendix Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
Appendix B-I: Select Demographics of Phoenix Residents by Village	B-2
Appendix B-II: Select Demographics of Phoenix Residents Living in Poverty by Village	B-6
Appendix B-III: Calculated Poverty Rates for Select Demographics by Village	B-9
Appendix B-IV: Select Demographics of Phoenix Residents 60 Years of Age and Older by Village	B-11
Appendix B-V: Projected Population Growth by Village, 2010 - 2050	B-13

**Appendix B-I: Select Demographics of Phoenix Residents by Village**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain	
<b>Total Residents</b>	6,809,946	1,597,866	81,454	137,691	143,920	57,569	179,683	55,901	56,713	92,593	59,517	236,578	19,964	170,001	176,400	5,898	123,986	
<b>Total Households</b>	2,482,311	552,178	31,792	46,850	61,974	19,358	68,523	21,356	24,030	22,533	16,053	60,559	7,308	64,086	69,580	1,644	36,533	
<b>Age</b>																		
Under 5 years	434,757	119,922	4,357	11,179	8,836	4,259	12,345	3,615	3,722	8,249	5,833	22,344	1,580	12,200	9,772	399	11,232	
% of Total	6.4%	7.5%	5.3%	8.1%	6.1%	7.4%	6.9%	6.5%	6.6%	8.9%	9.8%	9.4%	7.9%	7.2%	5.5%	6.8%	9.1%	
5 to 14 years	913,178	238,294	10,876	21,659	16,806	9,000	23,183	8,358	5,925	17,731	11,177	46,155	3,234	22,439	20,261	651	20,841	
% of Total	13.4%	14.9%	13.4%	15.7%	11.7%	15.6%	12.9%	15.0%	10.4%	19.1%	18.8%	19.5%	16.2%	13.2%	11.5%	11.0%	16.8%	
15 to 17 years	274,491	68,113	3,738	6,183	5,121	2,326	6,443	2,428	1,797	4,894	3,180	12,990	525	5,777	6,821	240	5,650	
% of Total	4.0%	4.3%	4.6%	4.5%	3.6%	4.0%	3.6%	4.3%	3.2%	5.3%	5.3%	5.5%	2.6%	3.4%	3.9%	4.1%	4.6%	
18 to 24 years	671,345	160,159	6,282	15,480	13,580	7,646	15,895	2,765	4,798	11,155	5,091	31,331	1,079	16,986	13,678	419	13,974	
% of Total	9.9%	10.0%	7.7%	11.2%	9.4%	13.3%	8.8%	4.9%	8.5%	12.0%	8.6%	13.2%	5.4%	10.0%	7.8%	7.1%	11.3%	
25 to 34 years	917,814	250,197	11,288	20,062	26,312	10,249	29,231	6,246	10,970	16,816	9,643	34,654	3,111	25,828	24,812	882	20,093	
% of Total	13.5%	15.7%	13.9%	14.6%	18.3%	17.8%	16.3%	11.2%	19.3%	18.2%	16.2%	14.6%	15.6%	15.2%	14.1%	15.0%	16.2%	
35 to 44 years	841,712	221,230	11,244	18,912	20,169	7,955	24,493	8,372	9,435	13,819	8,998	31,078	3,505	22,069	23,771	880	16,529	
% of Total	12.4%	13.8%	13.8%	13.7%	14.0%	13.8%	13.6%	15.0%	16.6%	14.9%	15.1%	13.1%	17.6%	13.0%	13.5%	14.9%	13.3%	
45 to 54 years	840,910	208,299	13,368	16,847	20,183	6,501	25,525	9,186	7,389	9,126	7,038	26,727	2,729	22,921	25,960	942	13,857	
% of Total	12.3%	13.0%	16.4%	12.2%	14.0%	11.3%	14.2%	16.4%	13.0%	9.9%	11.8%	11.3%	13.7%	13.5%	14.7%	16.0%	11.2%	
55 to 64 years	809,377	170,182	11,668	13,128	15,682	4,867	22,505	7,115	6,906	6,694	4,920	17,517	2,181	20,440	24,050	733	11,778	
% of Total	11.9%	10.7%	14.3%	9.5%	10.9%	8.5%	12.5%	12.7%	12.2%	7.2%	8.3%	7.4%	10.9%	12.0%	13.6%	12.4%	9.5%	
65 to 74 years	644,932	98,829	5,297	8,198	9,543	2,929	13,202	4,377	3,781	2,700	2,501	8,637	1,391	12,949	16,257	461	6,607	
% of Total	9.5%	6.2%	6.5%	6.0%	6.6%	5.1%	7.3%	7.8%	6.7%	2.9%	4.2%	3.7%	7.0%	7.6%	9.2%	7.8%	5.3%	
75 years and over	461,430	62,641	3,336	6,043	7,688	1,838	6,861	3,439	1,992	1,408	1,134	5,145	628	8,393	11,017	290	3,426	
% of Total	6.8%	3.9%	4.1%	4.4%	5.3%	3.2%	3.8%	6.2%	3.5%	1.5%	1.9%	2.2%	3.1%	4.9%	6.2%	4.9%	2.8%	
<b>Household Composition</b>																		
Family: Married couple	1,180,957	231,433	16,215	16,368	18,739	4,785	32,679	12,973	6,659	11,185	8,686	26,873	4,180	23,905	32,733	1,103	14,351	
% of Total	47.6%	41.9%	51.0%	34.9%	30.2%	24.7%	47.7%	60.7%	27.7%	49.6%	54.1%	44.4%	57.2%	37.3%	47.0%	67.1%	39.3%	
Family: Male HH'er; no wife	134,890	37,905	1,457	3,622	3,238	1,340	4,066	664	1,455	2,250	1,495	6,333	387	4,653	3,397	72	3,474	
% of Total	5.4%	6.9%	4.6%	7.7%	5.2%	6.9%	5.9%	3.1%	6.1%	10.0%	9.3%	10.5%	5.3%	7.3%	4.9%	4.4%	9.5%	
Fam: Female HH'er; no husband	306,768	82,686	3,373	8,774	8,072	3,882	7,339	1,536	2,374	4,844	3,064	13,942	605	9,594	7,270	189	7,828	
% of Total	12.4%	15.0%	10.6%	18.7%	13.0%	20.1%	10.7%	7.2%	9.9%	21.5%	19.1%	23.0%	8.3%	15.0%	10.4%	11.5%	21.4%	
Non-Family: Male householder	418,488	97,449	5,581	7,836	15,390	4,118	12,404	3,502	6,204	1,890	1,291	6,299	921	12,929	14,035	116	4,933	
% of Total	16.9%	17.6%	17.6%	16.7%	24.8%	21.3%	18.1%	16.4%	25.8%	8.4%	8.0%	10.4%	12.6%	20.2%	20.2%	7.0%	13.5%	
Non-Family: Female householder	441,208	102,705	5,166	10,250	16,536	5,232	12,035	2,682	7,337	2,364	1,516	7,112	1,214	13,005	12,146	164	5,946	
% of Total	17.8%	18.6%	16.2%	21.9%	26.7%	27.0%	17.6%	12.6%	30.5%	10.5%	9.4%	11.7%	16.6%	20.3%	17.5%	10.0%	16.3%	

**Appendix B-I: Select Demographics of Phoenix Residents by Village**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
<b>Total Residents</b>	6,809,946	1,597,866	81,454	137,691	143,920	57,569	179,683	55,901	56,713	92,593	59,517	236,578	19,964	170,001	176,400	5,898	123,986
<b>Total Households</b>	2,482,311	552,178	31,792	46,850	61,974	19,358	68,523	21,356	24,030	22,533	16,053	60,559	7,308	64,086	69,580	1,644	36,533

**Race/Ethnicity**

American Indian/Alaska Native	266,680	29,442	1,592	3,638	4,302	1,428	2,733	219	1,703	1,294	790	3,956	27	3,126	1,248	0	3,387
% of Total	3.9%	1.8%	2.0%	2.6%	3.0%	2.5%	1.5%	0.4%	3.0%	1.4%	1.3%	1.7%	0.1%	1.8%	0.7%	0.0%	2.7%
Asian	207,330	58,974	5,274	6,091	3,637	676	10,551	3,491	1,974	1,303	3,334	2,803	1,313	5,830	10,476	400	1,821
% of Total	3.0%	3.7%	6.5%	4.4%	2.5%	1.2%	5.9%	6.2%	3.5%	1.4%	5.6%	1.2%	6.6%	3.4%	5.9%	6.8%	1.5%
Black/African American	276,146	102,287	5,411	11,470	8,742	5,542	6,140	535	3,607	5,687	10,844	12,577	604	10,067	4,392	135	16,534
% of Total	4.1%	6.4%	6.6%	8.3%	6.1%	9.6%	3.4%	1.0%	6.4%	6.1%	18.2%	5.3%	3.0%	5.9%	2.5%	2.3%	13.3%
Hispanic	2,103,523	675,595	12,081	66,384	48,046	35,541	31,719	4,346	24,420	71,169	28,482	184,756	1,544	55,032	33,028	492	78,555
% of Total	30.9%	42.3%	14.8%	48.2%	33.4%	61.7%	17.7%	7.8%	43.1%	76.9%	47.9%	78.1%	7.7%	32.4%	18.7%	8.3%	63.4%
Native Hawaiian/Other Islander	12,009	3,164	132	59	439	25	550	34	23	514	133	332	0	379	146	0	397
% of Total	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%
White/Caucasian	3,786,418	698,194	54,980	46,887	76,053	13,233	124,726	46,580	23,888	11,185	13,503	29,242	16,164	92,631	124,346	4,795	19,982
% of Total	55.6%	43.7%	67.5%	34.1%	52.8%	23.0%	69.4%	83.3%	42.1%	12.1%	22.7%	12.4%	81.0%	54.5%	70.5%	81.3%	16.1%
Two or More Races	149,244	27,824	1,834	2,893	2,491	1,027	3,033	645	1,010	1,330	2,240	2,653	287	2,701	2,584	70	3,027
% of Total	2.2%	1.7%	2.3%	2.1%	1.7%	1.8%	1.7%	1.2%	1.8%	1.4%	3.8%	1.1%	1.4%	1.6%	1.5%	1.2%	2.4%
Other	8,596	2,386	149	270	210	97	231	51	89	112	191	259	24	235	180	6	282
% of Total	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%

**Citizenship**

Citizen	6,273,238	1,386,897	77,323	114,317	127,693	46,350	168,285	54,067	49,030	75,356	53,953	183,440	19,148	149,680	159,278	5,529	103,449
% of Total	92.1%	86.8%	94.9%	83.0%	88.7%	80.5%	93.7%	96.7%	86.5%	81.4%	90.7%	77.5%	95.9%	88.0%	90.3%	93.8%	83.4%
Non-Citizen	536,708	210,969	4,131	23,374	16,227	11,219	11,398	1,834	7,683	17,237	5,564	53,138	816	20,321	17,122	368	20,537
% of Total	7.9%	13.2%	5.1%	17.0%	11.3%	19.5%	6.3%	3.3%	13.5%	18.6%	9.3%	22.5%	4.1%	12.0%	9.7%	6.2%	16.6%

**Language at Home (Households)**

English Only	1,800,438	359,278	24,520	26,799	45,098	9,646	54,667	18,443	16,329	7,597	9,043	20,118	6,187	45,435	54,544	1,433	19,417
% of Total	72.5%	65.1%	77.1%	57.2%	72.8%	49.8%	79.8%	86.4%	68.0%	33.7%	56.3%	33.2%	84.7%	70.9%	78.4%	87.2%	53.1%
Sometimes/Always Spanish	488,121	149,168	3,569	15,600	12,574	8,558	6,885	1,219	6,107	14,198	5,219	38,204	349	13,108	8,181	72	15,326
% of Total	19.7%	27.0%	11.2%	33.3%	20.3%	44.2%	10.0%	5.7%	25.4%	63.0%	32.5%	63.1%	4.8%	20.5%	11.8%	4.4%	42.0%
Sometimes/Always Other Lang.	193,752	43,732	3,703	4,452	4,302	1,154	6,970	1,694	1,594	738	1,790	2,236	771	5,544	6,856	138	1,790
% of Total	7.8%	7.9%	11.6%	9.5%	6.9%	6.0%	10.2%	7.9%	6.6%	3.3%	11.2%	3.7%	10.6%	8.7%	9.9%	8.4%	4.9%

**Appendix B-I: Select Demographics of Phoenix Residents by Village**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
<b>Total Residents</b>	6,809,946	1,597,866	81,454	137,691	143,920	57,569	179,683	55,901	56,713	92,593	59,517	236,578	19,964	170,001	176,400	5,898	123,986
<b>Total Households</b>	2,482,311	552,178	31,792	46,850	61,974	19,358	68,523	21,356	24,030	22,533	16,053	60,559	7,308	64,086	69,580	1,644	36,533
<b>Linguistic Isolation (Households)</b>																	
Spanish Only - Ling. Isolated	79,520	28,273	238	3,296	2,414	2,192	1,047	6	1,291	2,426	471	7,602	21	2,342	1,681	0	3,246
% of Total	3.2%	5.1%	0.7%	7.0%	3.9%	11.3%	1.5%	0.0%	5.4%	10.8%	2.9%	12.6%	0.3%	3.7%	2.4%	0.0%	8.9%
Other Lang. Only - Ling. Iso.	28,613	7,294	390	1,332	702	372	923	52	281	56	176	594	85	1,123	939	0	269
% of Total	1.2%	1.3%	1.2%	2.8%	1.1%	1.9%	1.3%	0.2%	1.2%	0.3%	1.1%	1.0%	1.2%	1.8%	1.3%	0.0%	0.7%
<b>Educational Attainment (Age 25+*)</b>																	
Less than high school graduation	601,558	188,841	1,948	20,764	14,033	12,494	10,653	1,227	7,711	16,621	5,940	49,956	365	15,766	10,735	146	20,483
% of Total	13.3%	18.7%	3.5%	25.0%	14.1%	36.4%	8.7%	3.2%	19.1%	32.9%	17.3%	40.4%	2.7%	14.0%	8.5%	3.5%	28.3%
High School graduates	1,088,467	236,157	7,519	21,997	19,297	7,891	28,113	4,468	7,293	16,146	8,638	37,330	2,168	30,249	23,466	943	20,638
% of Total	24.1%	23.3%	13.4%	26.4%	19.4%	23.0%	23.1%	11.5%	18.0%	31.9%	25.2%	30.2%	16.0%	26.9%	18.6%	22.5%	28.5%
Some college, associates degree	1,532,831	302,439	18,542	23,570	28,177	7,813	45,088	10,978	11,831	12,531	11,208	28,020	4,598	38,178	40,779	1,767	19,359
% of Total	33.9%	29.9%	33.0%	28.3%	28.3%	22.8%	37.0%	28.3%	29.2%	24.8%	32.7%	22.6%	33.9%	33.9%	32.4%	42.2%	26.8%
Bachelor's degree or higher	1,293,318	283,941	28,192	16,859	38,071	6,141	37,963	22,063	13,637	5,265	8,450	8,450	6,415	28,406	50,888	1,333	11,808
% of Total	28.6%	28.1%	50.2%	20.3%	38.2%	17.9%	31.2%	57.0%	33.7%	10.4%	24.7%	6.8%	47.4%	25.2%	40.4%	31.8%	16.3%
<b>Employment (Age 16+)</b>																	
Employed	2,976,533	749,370	45,336	58,689	77,046	22,672	92,773	28,117	30,101	37,138	26,379	94,257	10,023	79,938	92,756	2,123	52,022
% of Total	55.4%	61.6%	69.7%	57.0%	66.0%	52.0%	65.4%	65.3%	64.7%	57.0%	63.9%	57.5%	67.1%	59.8%	64.4%	45.1%	58.0%
Not Employed	2,394,808	467,756	19,666	44,185	39,615	20,941	49,155	14,925	16,410	27,995	14,915	69,795	4,905	53,689	51,282	2,589	37,689
% of Total	44.6%	38.4%	30.3%	43.0%	34.0%	48.0%	34.6%	34.7%	35.3%	43.0%	36.1%	42.5%	32.9%	40.2%	35.6%	54.9%	42.0%



**Appendix B-I: Select Demographics of Phoenix Residents by Village**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
<b>Total Residents</b>	6,809,946	1,597,866	81,454	137,691	143,920	57,569	179,683	55,901	56,713	92,593	59,517	236,578	19,964	170,001	176,400	5,898	123,986
<b>Total Households</b>	2,482,311	552,178	31,792	46,850	61,974	19,358	68,523	21,356	24,030	22,533	16,053	60,559	7,308	64,086	69,580	1,644	36,533
<b>Income (Households)</b>																	
Less than \$15,000	297,060	68,700	1,465	9,159	7,926	5,934	5,112	824	3,607	3,257	1,164	10,007	228	8,846	5,349	83	5,740
% of Total	12.0%	12.4%	4.6%	19.5%	12.8%	30.7%	7.5%	3.9%	15.0%	14.5%	7.3%	16.5%	3.1%	13.8%	7.7%	5.0%	15.7%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	254,978	57,204	1,433	7,124	6,391	3,297	5,893	560	2,774	2,195	856	8,136	194	7,972	5,907	68	4,402
% of Total	10.3%	10.4%	4.5%	15.2%	10.3%	17.0%	8.6%	2.6%	11.5%	9.7%	5.3%	13.4%	2.7%	12.4%	8.5%	4.2%	12.0%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	255,311	57,201	1,829	5,543	6,797	2,652	6,416	810	2,605	2,454	1,348	8,209	525	7,356	6,219	97	4,342
% of Total	10.3%	10.4%	5.8%	11.8%	11.0%	13.7%	9.4%	3.8%	10.8%	10.9%	8.4%	13.6%	7.2%	11.5%	8.9%	5.9%	11.9%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	351,779	80,140	3,041	7,073	9,791	2,554	9,285	2,150	3,910	3,616	2,124	10,991	866	10,123	8,825	100	5,688
% of Total	14.2%	14.5%	9.6%	15.1%	15.8%	13.2%	13.6%	10.1%	16.3%	16.0%	13.2%	18.1%	11.9%	15.8%	12.7%	6.1%	15.6%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	462,612	102,337	5,669	7,692	10,866	2,370	13,686	3,179	4,455	5,062	3,516	12,112	1,246	12,074	13,135	494	6,781
% of Total	18.6%	18.5%	17.8%	16.4%	17.5%	12.2%	20.0%	14.9%	18.5%	22.5%	21.9%	20.0%	17.0%	18.8%	18.9%	30.1%	18.6%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	303,811	63,291	4,203	3,682	6,224	1,010	9,887	2,675	2,410	2,924	2,866	5,973	1,130	7,035	9,380	124	3,767
% of Total	12.2%	11.5%	13.2%	7.9%	10.0%	5.2%	14.4%	12.5%	10.0%	13.0%	17.9%	9.9%	15.5%	11.0%	13.5%	7.5%	10.3%
\$100,000 or more	556,760	123,305	14,150	6,577	13,979	1,541	18,244	11,158	4,269	3,025	4,179	5,130	3,119	10,680	20,764	677	5,812
% of Total	22.4%	22.3%	44.5%	14.0%	22.6%	8.0%	26.6%	52.2%	17.8%	13.4%	26.0%	8.5%	42.7%	16.7%	29.8%	41.2%	15.9%

**Appendix B-II: Select Demographics of Phoenix Residents Living in Poverty by Village**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
<b>Total Residents</b>	1,197,365	336,294	3,937	42,587	27,809	25,147	19,907	2,545	13,526	25,030	9,920	74,130	905	36,519	20,234	565	33,535
<b>Total Households</b>	372,559	95,931	1,712	12,289	10,191	7,304	6,696	969	4,944	5,296	2,054	17,291	303	11,714	6,689	71	8,408

**Age**

Under 5 years	116,872	38,472	309	4,899	2,812	2,360	1,826	211	1,505	2,830	1,601	9,339	15	4,186	1,737	13	4,828
% of Total	9.8%	11.4%	7.8%	11.5%	10.1%	9.4%	9.2%	8.3%	11.1%	11.3%	16.1%	12.6%	1.6%	11.5%	8.6%	2.3%	14.4%
5 to 14 years	218,678	73,131	528	9,384	5,088	5,551	3,413	502	2,045	6,023	2,929	19,402	182	6,994	3,143	39	7,908
% of Total	18.3%	21.7%	13.4%	22.0%	18.3%	22.1%	17.1%	19.7%	15.1%	24.1%	29.5%	26.2%	20.1%	19.2%	15.5%	6.9%	23.6%
15 to 17 years	59,436	18,666	153	2,335	1,450	1,532	1,149	114	491	1,458	565	4,901	0	1,597	1,013	0	1,909
% of Total	5.0%	5.6%	3.9%	5.5%	5.2%	6.1%	5.8%	4.5%	3.6%	5.8%	5.7%	6.6%	0.0%	4.4%	5.0%	0.0%	5.7%
18 to 24 years	191,968	41,984	489	5,838	3,162	3,364	3,186	156	1,414	3,633	832	8,630	155	4,892	2,512	53	3,669
% of Total	16.0%	12.5%	12.4%	13.7%	11.4%	13.4%	16.0%	6.1%	10.5%	14.5%	8.4%	11.6%	17.2%	13.4%	12.4%	9.3%	10.9%
25 to 34 years	163,033	46,867	712	5,644	4,505	3,007	2,854	323	2,044	3,679	1,416	9,714	162	5,338	2,381	113	4,977
% of Total	13.6%	13.9%	18.1%	13.3%	16.2%	12.0%	14.3%	12.7%	15.1%	14.7%	14.3%	13.1%	17.9%	14.6%	11.8%	19.9%	14.8%
35 to 44 years	130,110	43,174	331	5,553	3,208	3,261	2,328	305	2,174	3,670	1,210	9,530	144	4,456	2,805	245	3,954
% of Total	10.9%	12.8%	8.4%	13.0%	11.5%	13.0%	11.7%	12.0%	16.1%	14.7%	12.2%	12.9%	15.9%	12.2%	13.9%	43.3%	11.8%
45 to 54 years	109,303	30,927	400	3,945	3,326	2,547	1,989	320	1,296	1,610	644	6,051	85	3,976	2,403	27	2,307
% of Total	9.1%	9.2%	10.2%	9.3%	12.0%	10.1%	10.0%	12.6%	9.6%	6.4%	6.5%	8.2%	9.4%	10.9%	11.9%	4.8%	6.9%
55 to 64 years	105,570	24,587	571	2,790	2,238	1,945	1,909	288	1,465	1,345	488	4,037	36	3,129	2,014	40	2,293
% of Total	8.8%	7.3%	14.5%	6.6%	8.0%	7.7%	9.6%	11.3%	10.8%	5.4%	4.9%	5.4%	4.0%	8.6%	10.0%	7.2%	6.8%
65 to 74 years	56,988	11,068	150	1,489	1,027	1,018	782	177	714	498	173	1,601	51	1,213	1,125	23	1,028
% of Total	4.8%	3.3%	3.8%	3.5%	3.7%	4.0%	3.9%	7.0%	5.3%	2.0%	1.7%	2.2%	5.7%	3.3%	5.6%	4.0%	3.1%
75 years and over	45,409	7,418	295	710	993	561	469	148	379	286	63	926	75	738	1,100	13	661
% of Total	3.8%	2.2%	7.5%	1.7%	3.6%	2.2%	2.4%	5.8%	2.8%	1.1%	0.6%	1.2%	8.3%	2.0%	5.4%	2.3%	2.0%

**Household Composition**

Family: Married couple	84,533	22,134	215	2,709	1,709	1,473	1,297	287	775	1,631	593	5,490	20	2,420	1,546	0	1,969
% of Total	22.7%	23.1%	12.6%	22.0%	16.8%	20.2%	19.4%	29.6%	15.7%	30.8%	28.9%	31.7%	6.5%	20.7%	23.1%	0.0%	23.4%
Family: Male HH'er; no wife	24,401	7,520	96	944	464	474	496	38	332	520	402	1,445	0	938	561	0	810
% of Total	6.5%	7.8%	5.6%	7.7%	4.6%	6.5%	7.4%	3.9%	6.7%	9.8%	19.6%	8.4%	0.0%	8.0%	8.4%	0.0%	9.6%
Fam: Female HH'er; no husband	90,233	27,058	278	3,894	2,470	2,113	1,319	233	764	1,851	710	6,107	92	3,101	1,271	12	2,841
% of Total	24.2%	28.2%	16.2%	31.7%	24.2%	28.9%	19.7%	24.1%	15.5%	35.0%	34.6%	35.3%	30.4%	26.5%	19.0%	16.3%	33.8%
Non-Family: Female householder	80,209	20,180	790	2,187	2,860	1,476	1,808	329	1,545	642	224	2,607	122	2,428	1,947	37	1,178
% of Total	21.5%	21.0%	46.2%	17.8%	28.1%	20.2%	27.0%	33.9%	31.2%	12.1%	10.9%	15.1%	40.1%	20.7%	29.1%	52.0%	14.0%
Non-Family: Male householder	93,183	19,039	332	2,555	2,687	1,767	1,775	82	1,528	651	125	1,642	70	2,828	1,364	23	1,611
% of Total	25.0%	19.8%	19.4%	20.8%	26.4%	24.2%	26.5%	8.5%	30.9%	12.3%	6.1%	9.5%	23.0%	24.1%	20.4%	31.6%	19.2%

**Appendix B-II: Select Demographics of Phoenix Residents Living in Poverty by Village**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
<b>Total Residents</b>	1,197,365	336,294	3,937	42,587	27,809	25,147	19,907	2,545	13,526	25,030	9,920	74,130	905	36,519	20,234	565	33,535
<b>Total Households</b>	372,559	95,931	1,712	12,289	10,191	7,304	6,696	969	4,944	5,296	2,054	17,291	303	11,714	6,689	71	8,408

**Race/Ethnicity**

American Indian/Alaska Native	98,908	7,893	140	1,318	754	689	524	0	555	343	153	1,806	0	712	91	0	809
% of Total	8.3%	2.3%	3.6%	3.1%	2.7%	2.7%	2.6%	0.0%	4.1%	1.4%	1.5%	2.4%	0.0%	2.0%	0.4%	0.0%	2.4%
Asian	31,417	6,136	81	1,623	514	301	607	48	511	109	358	376	0	820	582	0	205
% of Total	2.6%	1.8%	2.1%	3.8%	1.8%	1.2%	3.0%	1.9%	3.8%	0.4%	3.6%	0.5%	0.0%	2.2%	2.9%	0.0%	0.6%
Black/African American	68,620	26,300	380	4,540	2,573	2,469	1,041	14	782	1,290	2,351	3,404	52	3,313	533	74	3,482
% of Total	5.7%	7.8%	9.7%	10.7%	9.3%	9.8%	5.2%	0.5%	5.8%	5.2%	23.7%	4.6%	5.7%	9.1%	2.6%	13.1%	10.4%
Hispanic	535,958	207,870	1,018	24,584	14,699	17,219	5,508	364	7,016	21,250	4,770	61,785	30	16,222	7,905	0	25,500
% of Total	44.8%	61.8%	25.9%	57.7%	52.9%	68.5%	27.7%	14.3%	51.9%	84.9%	48.1%	83.3%	3.3%	44.4%	39.1%	0.0%	76.0%
Native Hawaiian/Other Islander	2,695	637	0	18	150	0	4	0	12	0	28	128	0	264	1	0	33
% of Total	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
White/Caucasian	425,854	81,756	2,210	9,454	8,561	3,999	11,873	2,112	4,444	1,757	1,835	5,940	824	14,419	10,808	491	3,029
% of Total	35.6%	24.3%	56.1%	22.2%	30.8%	15.9%	59.6%	83.0%	32.9%	7.0%	18.5%	8.0%	91.0%	39.5%	53.4%	86.9%	9.0%
Two or More Races	32,056	5,245	103	957	516	426	340	7	187	260	392	611	0	716	308	0	421
% of Total	2.7%	1.6%	2.6%	2.2%	1.9%	1.7%	1.7%	0.3%	1.4%	1.0%	3.9%	0.8%	0.0%	2.0%	1.5%	0.0%	1.3%
Other	1,857	459	4	93	42	44	10	0	19	20	33	79	0	52	7	0	56
% of Total	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%

**Citizenship**

Citizen	1,034,693	266,687	3,759	32,809	22,053	19,791	18,029	2,428	10,877	18,642	8,974	55,018	869	30,085	16,441	565	26,347
% of Total	86.4%	79.3%	95.5%	77.0%	79.3%	78.7%	90.6%	95.4%	80.4%	74.5%	90.5%	74.2%	96.0%	82.4%	81.3%	100.0%	78.6%
Non-Citizen	162,660	69,607	178	9,778	5,756	5,356	1,878	116	2,648	6,389	946	19,111	36	6,434	3,792	0	7,187
% of Total	13.6%	20.7%	4.5%	23.0%	20.7%	21.3%	9.4%	4.6%	19.6%	25.5%	9.5%	25.8%	4.0%	17.6%	18.7%	0.0%	21.4%

**Educational Attainment (Age 25+)**

Less than high school graduation	182,317	63,463	303	7,891	5,209	6,210	2,439	103	2,753	5,672	1,337	16,696	22	5,137	2,650	0	7,039
% of Total	29.9%	38.7%	12.3%	39.2%	34.1%	50.3%	23.6%	6.6%	34.1%	51.2%	33.5%	52.4%	4.0%	27.3%	22.4%	0.0%	46.2%
High School graduates	183,878	46,015	540	5,955	4,005	3,040	2,616	324	1,897	2,869	1,146	9,313	189	6,443	2,897	85	4,697
% of Total	30.1%	28.1%	22.0%	29.6%	26.2%	24.6%	25.3%	20.7%	23.5%	25.9%	28.7%	29.2%	34.1%	34.2%	24.5%	18.4%	30.9%
Some college, associates degree	172,539	39,575	1,030	4,891	3,788	2,243	3,624	561	2,171	2,191	1,128	4,829	152	5,610	4,432	150	2,773
% of Total	28.3%	24.1%	41.9%	24.3%	24.8%	18.2%	35.1%	35.9%	26.9%	19.8%	28.3%	15.2%	27.5%	29.8%	37.5%	32.7%	18.2%
Bachelor's degree or higher	71,678	14,988	587	1,393	2,295	846	1,652	574	1,250	355	382	1,019	190	1,659	1,849	226	712
% of Total	11.7%	9.1%	23.9%	6.9%	15.0%	6.9%	16.0%	36.7%	15.5%	3.2%	9.6%	3.2%	34.4%	8.8%	15.6%	49.0%	4.7%

**Appendix B-II: Select Demographics of Phoenix Residents Living in Poverty by Village**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain	
<b>Total Residents</b>	1,197,365	336,294	3,937	42,587	27,809	25,147	19,907	2,545	13,526	25,030	9,920	74,130	905	36,519	20,234	565	33,535	
<b>Total Households</b>	372,559	95,931	1,712	12,289	10,191	7,304	6,696	969	4,944	5,296	2,054	17,291	303	11,714	6,689	71	8,408	
<b>Employment (Age 16+)</b>																		
Employed	283,935	75,572	1,323	9,261	7,628	5,152	5,406	587	3,479	4,727	1,674	15,765	312	8,321	5,342	23	6,572	
% of Total	32.3%	33.2%	37.9%	33.6%	37.2%	31.4%	35.1%	29.2%	34.3%	28.9%	31.5%	34.8%	39.7%	32.2%	33.2%	6.3%	29.8%	
Not Employed	594,132	152,100	2,167	18,318	12,856	11,248	9,975	1,427	6,671	11,637	3,634	29,539	476	17,526	10,762	346	15,517	
% of Total	67.7%	66.8%	62.1%	66.4%	62.8%	68.6%	64.9%	70.8%	65.7%	71.1%	68.5%	65.2%	60.3%	67.8%	66.8%	93.7%	70.2%	

**Appendix B-III: Calculated Poverty Rates for Select Demographics by Village**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain	
<b>Residents</b>	17.6%	21.0%	4.8%	30.9%	19.3%	43.7%	11.1%	4.6%	23.8%	27.0%	16.7%	31.3%	4.5%	21.5%	11.5%	9.6%	27.0%	
<b>Households</b>	15.0%	17.4%	5.4%	26.2%	16.4%	37.7%	9.8%	4.5%	20.6%	23.5%	12.8%	28.6%	4.1%	18.3%	9.6%	4.3%	23.0%	
<b>Age</b>																		
Under 5 years	26.9%	32.1%	7.1%	43.8%	31.8%	55.4%	14.8%	5.8%	40.4%	34.3%	27.4%	41.8%	0.9%	34.3%	17.8%	3.3%	43.0%	
5 to 14 years	23.9%	30.7%	4.9%	43.3%	30.3%	61.7%	14.7%	6.0%	34.5%	34.0%	26.2%	42.0%	5.6%	31.2%	15.5%	6.0%	37.9%	
15 to 17 years	21.7%	27.4%	4.1%	37.8%	28.3%	65.9%	17.8%	4.7%	27.3%	29.8%	17.8%	37.7%	0.0%	27.6%	14.9%	0.0%	33.8%	
18 to 24 years	28.6%	26.2%	7.8%	37.7%	23.3%	44.0%	20.0%	5.6%	29.5%	32.6%	16.3%	27.5%	14.4%	28.8%	18.4%	12.5%	26.3%	
25 to 34 years	17.8%	18.7%	6.3%	28.1%	17.1%	29.3%	9.8%	5.2%	18.6%	21.9%	14.7%	28.0%	5.2%	20.7%	9.6%	12.8%	24.8%	
35 to 44 years	15.5%	19.5%	2.9%	29.4%	15.9%	41.0%	9.5%	3.6%	23.0%	26.6%	13.4%	30.7%	4.1%	20.2%	11.8%	27.8%	23.9%	
45 to 54 years	13.0%	14.8%	3.0%	23.4%	16.5%	39.2%	7.8%	3.5%	17.5%	17.6%	9.1%	22.6%	3.1%	17.3%	9.3%	2.9%	16.6%	
55 to 64 years	13.0%	14.4%	4.9%	21.3%	14.3%	40.0%	8.5%	4.0%	21.2%	20.1%	9.9%	23.0%	1.7%	15.3%	8.4%	5.5%	19.5%	
65 to 74 years	8.8%	11.2%	2.8%	18.2%	10.8%	34.8%	5.9%	4.0%	18.9%	18.5%	6.9%	18.5%	3.7%	9.4%	6.9%	4.9%	15.6%	
75 years and over	9.8%	11.8%	8.9%	11.7%	12.9%	30.5%	6.8%	4.3%	19.0%	20.3%	5.5%	18.0%	11.9%	8.8%	10.0%	4.5%	19.3%	
<b>Household Composition</b>																		
Family: Married couple	7.2%	9.6%	1.3%	16.5%	9.1%	30.8%	4.0%	2.2%	11.6%	14.6%	6.8%	20.4%	0.5%	10.1%	4.7%	0.0%	13.7%	
Family: Male HH'er; no wife	18.1%	19.8%	6.6%	26.0%	14.3%	35.4%	12.2%	5.7%	22.8%	23.1%	26.9%	22.8%	0.0%	20.2%	16.5%	0.0%	23.3%	
Fam: Female HH'er; no husband	29.4%	32.7%	8.2%	44.4%	30.6%	54.4%	18.0%	15.2%	32.2%	38.2%	23.2%	43.8%	15.2%	32.3%	17.5%	6.2%	36.3%	
Non-Family: Female householder	19.2%	20.7%	14.2%	27.9%	18.6%	35.8%	14.6%	9.4%	24.9%	34.0%	17.4%	41.4%	13.2%	18.8%	13.9%	32.1%	23.9%	
Non-Family: Male householder	21.1%	18.5%	6.4%	24.9%	16.3%	33.8%	14.7%	3.1%	20.8%	27.5%	8.2%	23.1%	5.7%	21.7%	11.2%	13.8%	27.1%	
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>																		
American Indian/Alaska Native	37.1%	26.8%	8.8%	36.2%	17.5%	48.2%	19.2%	0.0%	32.6%	26.5%	19.3%	45.6%	0.0%	22.8%	7.3%	0.0%	23.9%	
Asian	15.2%	10.4%	1.5%	26.7%	14.1%	44.5%	5.8%	1.4%	25.9%	8.4%	10.7%	13.4%	0.0%	14.1%	5.6%	0.0%	11.3%	
Black/African American	24.8%	25.7%	7.0%	39.6%	29.4%	44.6%	17.0%	2.5%	21.7%	22.7%	21.7%	27.1%	8.6%	32.9%	12.1%	54.9%	21.1%	
Hispanic	25.5%	30.8%	8.4%	37.0%	30.6%	48.4%	17.4%	8.4%	28.7%	29.9%	16.7%	33.4%	1.9%	29.5%	23.9%	0.0%	32.5%	
Native Hawaiian/Other Islander	22.4%	20.1%	0.0%	29.7%	34.2%	0.0%	0.7%	0.1%	51.2%	0.0%	21.2%	38.5%	0.0%	69.7%	0.6%	0.0%	8.3%	
White/Caucasian	11.2%	11.7%	4.0%	20.2%	11.3%	30.2%	9.5%	4.5%	18.6%	15.7%	13.6%	20.3%	5.1%	15.6%	8.7%	10.2%	15.2%	
Two or More Races	21.5%	18.8%	5.6%	33.1%	20.7%	41.5%	11.2%	1.1%	18.6%	19.5%	17.5%	23.0%	0.0%	26.5%	11.9%	0.0%	13.9%	
Other	21.6%	19.2%	3.0%	34.6%	19.9%	44.9%	4.2%	0.0%	20.9%	18.1%	17.3%	30.6%	0.0%	22.2%	3.8%	0.0%	19.7%	
<b>Citizenship</b>																		
Citizen	16.5%	19.2%	4.9%	28.7%	17.3%	42.7%	10.7%	4.5%	22.2%	24.7%	16.6%	30.0%	4.5%	20.1%	10.3%	10.2%	25.5%	
Non-Citizen	30.3%	33.0%	4.3%	41.8%	35.5%	47.7%	16.5%	6.3%	34.5%	37.1%	17.0%	36.0%	4.4%	31.7%	22.1%	0.0%	35.0%	

**Appendix B-III: Calculated Poverty Rates for Select Demographics by Village**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain	
<b>Residents</b>	17.6%	21.0%	4.8%	30.9%	19.3%	43.7%	11.1%	4.6%	23.8%	27.0%	16.7%	31.3%	4.5%	21.5%	11.5%	9.6%	27.0%	
<b>Households</b>	15.0%	17.4%	5.4%	26.2%	16.4%	37.7%	9.8%	4.5%	20.6%	23.5%	12.8%	28.6%	4.1%	18.3%	9.6%	4.3%	23.0%	
<b>Educational Attainment (Age 25+)</b>																		
Less than high school graduation	30.3%	33.6%	15.5%	38.0%	37.1%	49.7%	22.9%	8.4%	35.7%	34.1%	22.5%	33.4%	6.0%	32.6%	24.7%	0.0%	34.4%	
High School graduates	16.9%	19.5%	7.2%	27.1%	20.8%	38.5%	9.3%	7.2%	26.0%	17.8%	13.3%	24.9%	8.7%	21.3%	12.3%	9.0%	22.8%	
Some college, associates degree	11.3%	13.1%	5.6%	20.8%	13.4%	28.7%	8.0%	5.1%	18.3%	17.5%	10.1%	17.2%	3.3%	14.7%	10.9%	8.5%	14.3%	
Bachelor's degree or higher	5.5%	5.3%	2.1%	8.3%	6.0%	13.8%	4.4%	2.6%	9.2%	6.7%	4.5%	12.1%	3.0%	5.8%	3.6%	16.9%	6.0%	
<b>Employment (Age 16+)</b>																		
Employed	9.5%	10.1%	2.9%	15.8%	9.9%	22.7%	5.8%	2.1%	11.6%	12.7%	6.3%	16.7%	3.1%	10.4%	5.8%	1.1%	12.6%	
Not Employed	24.8%	32.5%	11.0%	41.5%	32.5%	53.7%	20.3%	9.6%	40.7%	41.6%	24.4%	42.3%	9.7%	32.6%	21.0%	13.4%	41.2%	

**Appendix B-IV: Select Demographics of Phoenix Residents 60 Years of Age and Older by Village**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
<b>Total Residents</b>	1,502,688	240,673	13,763	20,660	24,432	6,991	30,596	10,947	9,106	7,136	5,772	22,553	3,106	30,465	38,954	1,137	15,054
<b>Total in Poverty</b>	150,701	29,439	773	3,573	2,924	2,439	2,269	475	1,744	1,274	417	4,668	143	3,087	3,087	61	2,503

**Race/Ethnicity**

American Indian/ Alaska Native	35,407	2,508	46	420	214	108	207	17	261	228	61	343	0	128	179	0	296
% of Total	2.4%	1.0%	0.3%	2.0%	0.9%	1.5%	0.7%	0.2%	2.9%	3.2%	1.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	2.0%
Asian	33,799	8,547	715	935	498	284	1,141	201	342	282	592	844	164	698	1,636	0	216
% of Total	2.2%	3.6%	5.2%	4.5%	2.0%	4.1%	3.7%	1.8%	3.8%	4.0%	10.2%	3.7%	5.3%	2.3%	4.2%	0.0%	1.4%
Black/ African American	36,774	12,196	537	1,286	650	946	568	104	353	585	803	1,586	65	860	363	13	3,478
% of Total	2.4%	5.1%	3.9%	6.2%	2.7%	13.5%	1.9%	0.9%	3.9%	8.2%	13.9%	7.0%	2.1%	2.8%	0.9%	1.1%	23.1%
Hispanic	201,615	48,130	1,190	4,325	3,509	3,124	2,665	299	2,086	4,067	1,706	11,761	89	3,926	2,743	13	6,627
% of Total	13.4%	20.0%	8.6%	20.9%	14.4%	44.7%	8.7%	2.7%	22.9%	57.0%	29.5%	52.1%	2.9%	12.9%	7.0%	1.1%	44.0%
Native Hawaiian/ Other Islander	1,487	280	10	0	22	0	39	18	0	46	10	33	0	21	44	0	37
% of Total	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%
White/ Caucasian	1,184,527	167,299	11,185	13,498	19,449	2,436	25,867	10,288	6,002	1,854	2,484	7,784	2,783	24,654	33,737	1,100	4,178
% of Total	78.8%	69.5%	81.3%	65.3%	79.6%	34.8%	84.5%	94.0%	65.9%	26.0%	43.0%	34.5%	89.6%	80.9%	86.6%	96.8%	27.8%
Two or More Races	8,369	1,530	77	176	80	79	100	20	54	60	107	172	4	165	244	12	179
% of Total	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%	1.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.6%	0.8%	1.8%	0.8%	0.1%	0.5%	0.6%	1.0%	1.2%
Other	712	183	3	20	10	15	8	0	7	13	11	31	1	12	9	0	43
% of Total	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%

**Race/Ethnicity of Individuals in Poverty**

American Indian/ Alaska Native	9,817	478	13	70	17	71	24	0	68	50	0	126	0	4	0	0	34
% of Total	6.5%	1.6%	1.7%	2.0%	0.6%	2.9%	1.1%	0.0%	3.9%	4.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%
Asian	3,682	1,258	28	268	58	200	65	1	125	51	82	114	0	66	151	0	47
% of Total	2.4%	4.3%	3.6%	7.5%	2.0%	8.2%	2.9%	0.2%	7.2%	4.0%	19.7%	2.4%	0.0%	2.2%	4.9%	0.0%	1.9%
Black/ African American	5,445	2,526	68	409	216	366	76	13	99	28	70	461	5	187	12	13	502
% of Total	3.6%	8.6%	8.8%	11.4%	7.4%	15.0%	3.4%	2.7%	5.7%	2.2%	16.7%	9.9%	3.3%	6.1%	0.4%	20.7%	20.1%
Hispanic	35,609	10,358	88	1,008	902	1,159	213	46	501	907	105	2,899	12	541	445	0	1,532
% of Total	23.6%	35.2%	11.4%	28.2%	30.9%	47.5%	9.4%	9.7%	28.7%	71.1%	25.2%	62.1%	8.4%	17.5%	14.4%	0.0%	61.2%
Native Hawaiian/ Other Islander	174	21	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
% of Total	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White/ Caucasian	94,542	14,438	565	1,779	1,700	606	1,889	415	942	227	160	988	126	2,214	2,403	48	376
% of Total	62.7%	49.0%	73.1%	49.8%	58.1%	24.8%	83.2%	87.3%	54.0%	17.8%	38.4%	21.2%	88.3%	71.7%	77.8%	79.3%	15.0%
Two or More Races	1,331	334	11	36	16	29	2	0	7	10	0	67	0	72	76	0	7
% of Total	0.9%	1.1%	1.4%	1.0%	0.5%	1.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	2.3%	2.5%	0.0%	0.3%
Other	100	26	0	2	1	7	0	0	2	1	0	6	0	2	0	0	5
% of Total	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%

**Appendix B-IV: Select Demographics of Phoenix Residents 60 Years of Age and Older by Village**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain	
<b>Total Residents</b>	1,502,688	240,673	13,763	20,660	24,432	6,991	30,596	10,947	9,106	7,136	5,772	22,553	3,106	30,465	38,954	1,137	15,054	
<b>Total in Poverty</b>	150,701	29,439	773	3,573	2,924	2,439	2,269	475	1,744	1,274	417	4,668	143	3,087	3,087	61	2,503	
<b>Employment</b>																		
Employed	344,136	71,902	4,925	5,455	8,051	1,196	9,294	3,136	2,648	2,199	1,906	5,672	856	8,662	13,927	222	3,753	
% of Total	22.9%	29.9%	35.8%	26.4%	33.0%	17.1%	30.4%	28.6%	29.1%	30.8%	33.0%	25.2%	27.5%	28.4%	35.8%	19.5%	24.9%	
Not Employed	1,158,533	168,771	8,837	15,204	16,381	5,795	21,302	7,812	6,458	4,937	3,866	16,880	2,251	21,803	25,027	915	11,302	
% of Total	77.1%	70.1%	64.2%	73.6%	67.0%	82.9%	69.6%	71.4%	70.9%	69.2%	67.0%	74.8%	72.5%	71.6%	64.2%	80.5%	75.1%	

**Composition of Households with One or More People 60 Years and Over**

Total	994,476	165,806	9,415	14,937	17,944	5,201	20,530	7,213	6,861	4,788	3,678	15,389	1,982	21,131	25,667	654	10,414
Family: Married Couple	501,009	71,816	5,136	5,343	6,497	1,247	9,628	4,592	1,756	2,316	2,184	6,468	1,371	8,511	12,427	514	3,825
% of Total	50.4%	43.3%	54.5%	35.8%	36.2%	24.0%	46.9%	63.7%	25.6%	48.4%	59.4%	42.0%	69.2%	40.3%	48.4%	78.5%	36.7%
Family: Male HH'er; no wife	35,607	8,758	294	813	885	274	886	102	314	497	359	1,454	70	1,097	766	12	936
% of Total	3.6%	5.3%	3.1%	5.4%	4.9%	5.3%	4.3%	1.4%	4.6%	10.4%	9.8%	9.4%	3.5%	5.2%	3.0%	1.8%	9.0%
Fam: Femal HH'er; no husband	81,157	18,243	610	1,710	1,703	794	2,107	370	651	941	402	2,771	75	2,156	2,095	0	1,858
% of Total	8.2%	11.0%	6.5%	11.4%	9.5%	15.3%	10.3%	5.1%	9.5%	19.6%	10.9%	18.0%	3.8%	10.2%	8.2%	0.0%	17.8%
Non-Family	376,703	66,989	3,375	7,071	8,859	2,886	7,909	2,149	4,141	1,035	733	4,696	466	9,367	10,379	129	3,795
% of Total	37.9%	40.4%	35.9%	47.3%	49.4%	55.5%	38.5%	29.8%	60.4%	21.6%	19.9%	30.5%	23.5%	44.3%	40.4%	19.7%	36.4%

**Grandparents Living with Grandchildren**

Households with Grandparents and Grandchildren	170,514	45,133	1,243	3,480	2,124	1,735	3,688	562	1,308	3,399	2,547	11,459	428	4,526	3,220	60	5,353
Responsible for Grandkids	64,195	15,437	515	1,277	795	596	1,211	97	507	1,024	696	3,776	132	1,686	1,246	22	1,857
No parent present	19,443	3,380	125	160	209	193	446	11	95	222	46	585	53	594	289	0	351
Grandparent 30-59 Years	9,431	1,652	83	81	98	62	141	3	67	131	31	370	25	377	9	0	173
Grandparent 60+ Years	10,012	1,728	42	79	112	131	305	8	28	91	15	215	28	217	280	0	177
Parent is present	44,752	12,057	390	1,117	585	403	765	86	412	803	651	3,191	79	1,092	956	22	1,507
Grandparent 30-59 Years	29,553	8,673	234	740	425	337	397	70	372	481	475	2,499	16	851	609	0	1,168
Grandparent 60+ Years	15,199	3,384	156	377	161	66	368	16	39	322	175	692	63	240	348	22	339
Not Responsible for Grandkids	106,319	29,696	728	2,203	1,330	1,139	2,477	465	801	2,375	1,850	7,683	297	2,840	1,974	38	3,495
Grandparent 30-59 Years	49,357	14,940	240	1,183	530	709	934	74	425	1,212	1,107	4,493	76	1,345	763	38	1,811
Grandparent 60+ Years	56,962	14,756	488	1,020	799	430	1,543	391	376	1,164	744	3,189	220	1,495	1,212	0	1,685



**Appendix B-V: Projected Population Growth by Village, 2010 - 2050\***

	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
<b>Projected Population Growth</b>																
2010 to 2020	231,475	6,758	20,692	18,216	19,121	26,875	24,002	14,787	16,371	12,742	16,097	8,182	14,355	14,340	477	18,461
2010 to 2030	483,033	12,154	53,820	30,587	43,337	35,304	79,078	45,938	31,111	22,211	22,526	18,743	29,715	19,601	5,885	33,022
2010 to 2040	648,311	13,263	63,787	37,119	55,191	42,780	121,792	55,363	40,851	28,016	30,903	39,489	35,772	25,606	16,416	41,962
2010 to 2050	762,052	14,052	67,912	43,266	60,185	56,748	134,380	56,855	47,169	32,059	36,039	66,981	37,457	30,719	33,288	44,942
<b>Projected Annual Growth Rate</b>																
Compound Growth Rate, 2010-2020	1.5%	0.8%	1.5%	1.3%	3.3%	1.5%	4.4%	2.4%	2.0%	2.4%	0.8%	4.1%	0.9%	0.8%	1.1%	1.5%
Compound Growth Rate, 2010-2030	1.5%	0.7%	1.8%	1.0%	3.2%	1.0%	5.2%	3.1%	1.7%	1.9%	0.5%	3.8%	0.9%	0.6%	4.4%	1.3%
Compound Growth Rate, 2010-2040	1.2%	0.5%	1.4%	0.8%	2.5%	0.8%	4.5%	2.4%	1.4%	1.5%	0.5%	4.1%	0.7%	0.5%	5.4%	1.1%
Compound Growth Rate, 2010-2050	1.1%	0.4%	1.1%	0.7%	2.0%	0.7%	3.5%	1.8%	1.2%	1.3%	0.4%	4.1%	0.5%	0.4%	5.6%	0.9%

\*Analysis based on unpublished population projections by census tract produced by the Maricopa Association of Governments.

## **Appendix C: Additional Information Relating to Education**

<b>Appendix Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
Appendix C-I: Enrollments for District Schools in Phoenix (2017-18)	C-2
Appendix C-II: Grades for District Schools in Phoenix (2017-18)	C-3
Appendix C-III: Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity for District Schools in Phoenix (2017-18)	C-5
Appendix C-IV: Special Populations in District School Districts in Phoenix (2017-18)	C-7
Appendix C-V: Enrollments for Charter Schools in Phoenix (2017-18)	C-9
Appendix C-VI: Grades for Charter Schools in Phoenix (2017-18)	C-10
Appendix C-VII: Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity for Charter Schools in Phoenix (2017-18)	C-11
Appendix C-VIII: Special Populations in Charter School Districts in Phoenix (2017-18)	C-12

**Appendix C-I: Enrollments for District Schools in Phoenix (2017-18)\***

	Preschool		Kindergarten		1st Grade		2nd Grade		3rd Grade		4th through 6th Grade		7th and 8th Grade		9th through 12th Grade		Total**	
	Schools	Enrolled	Schools	Enrolled	Schools	Enrolled	Schools	Enrolled	Schools	Enrolled	Schools	Enrolled	Schools	Enrolled	Schools	Enrolled	Schools	Enrolled
<b>Total District Schools in Phoenix</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>1,747</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>16,004</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>16,069</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>16,579</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>17,468</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>53,779</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>34,082</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>57,591</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>213,573</b>
Alhambra Elementary District	0	0	8	1,067	8	1,076	8	1,162	8	1,274	8	3,937	8	2,533	0	0	12	11,049
Balsz Elementary District	0	0	4	283	4	247	4	318	4	255	4	764	1	416	0	0	4	2,284
Cartwright Elementary District	0	0	16	1,710	16	1,681	16	1,884	16	1,875	20	6,106	8	3,867	0	0	20	17,127
Cave Creek Unified District	0	0	3	229	3	214	3	251	3	267	3	840	1	934	0	0	4	2,735
Creighton Elementary District	3	45	9	675	9	651	9	673	9	663	9	2,067	9	1,282	0	0	9	6,056
Deer Valley Unified District	15	458	17	1,373	17	1,367	17	1,304	17	1,372	17	4,081	6	1,554	3	4,032	21	15,547
Fowler Elementary District	5	157	5	452	5	472	5	474	5	484	7	1,580	2	1,011	0	0	7	4,630
Glendale Union High School District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	9,252	7	9,262
Isaac Elementary District	0	0	8	719	8	726	8	771	8	792	10	2,252	3	1,401	0	0	10	6,661
Kyrene Elementary District	5	117	9	726	9	768	9	790	9	824	12	2,730	3	2,045	0	0	12	8,000
Laveen Elementary District	1	69	7	613	7	581	7	657	7	694	7	2,027	7	1,336	0	0	7	5,977
Madison Elementary District	1	25	5	608	5	636	5	657	5	655	8	1,960	4	1,209	0	0	8	5,750
Maricopa County Regional District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	370	2	408
Murphy Elementary District	4	120	4	151	4	141	4	146	4	148	4	571	4	352	0	0	4	1,629
Osborn Elementary District	0	0	3	336	3	329	3	293	3	336	3	973	1	546	0	0	5	2,829
Paradise Valley Unified District	9	225	25	2,008	25	1,945	25	1,890	25	2,161	26	6,418	8	4,867	5	9,793	40	29,409
Pendergast Elementary District	2	82	7	551	7	587	7	652	7	637	7	1,998	7	1,358	0	0	7	5,868
Phoenix Elementary District	3	117	13	649	13	670	13	662	13	728	13	2,171	13	1,373	0	0	13	6,380
Phoenix Union High School District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	26,902	15	26,903
Riverside Elementary District	1	38	1	78	1	78	1	96	1	86	2	307	1	169	0	0	2	852
Roosevelt Elementary District	0	0	16	854	16	867	16	892	17	1,022	17	3,145	17	1,977	0	0	18	8,772
Scottsdale Unified District	0	0	2	214	2	232	2	255	2	255	3	850	1	574	1	1,686	4	4,076
Tempe Elementary District	1	26	1	126	1	124	1	132	1	140	1	257	0	0	0	0	1	805
Tempe Union High School District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5,556	2	5,556
Tolleson Elementary District	1	31	2	124	2	126	2	123	2	119	2	415	2	287	0	0	2	1,227
Washington Elementary District	10	185	27	2,323	27	2,429	27	2,370	27	2,572	28	7,916	12	4,746	0	0	32	22,577
Wilson Elementary District	1	52	1	135	1	122	1	127	1	109	1	414	1	245	0	0	2	1,204

\*School counts include schools with enrollments of greater than 0.

\*\*The total is greater than the individual enrollments by grade since it 'unmasks' counts by grade of less than 10.

**Appendix C-II: Grades for District Schools in Phoenix (2017-18)**

	A	B	C	D	F	NR	Total
<b>Total District Schools in Phoenix with a Letter Grade (inc. "NR")</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>263</b>
<i>% of Schools in Phoenix*</i>	21.3%	25.6%	38.2%	14.2%	0.8%		
Alhambra Elementary District	1	1	6	0	0	4	12
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	12.5%	12.5%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Balsz Elementary District	0	1	2	1	0	0	4
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	0.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%		
Cartwright Elementary District	1	3	11	5	0	0	20
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	5.0%	15.0%	55.0%	25.0%	0.0%		
Cave Creek Unified District	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Creighton Elementary District	0	1	7	1	0	0	9
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	0.0%	11.1%	77.8%	11.1%	0.0%		
Deer Valley Unified District	9	8	2	1	0	0	20
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	45.0%	40.0%	10.0%	5.0%	0.0%		
Fowler Elementary District	0	2	3	2	0	0	7
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	0.0%	28.6%	42.9%	28.6%	0.0%		
Glendale Union High School District	4	2	0	0	0	0	6
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Isaac Elementary District	0	1	7	2	0	0	10
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	0.0%	10.0%	70.0%	20.0%	0.0%		
Kyrene Elementary District	7	4	1	0	0	0	12
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	58.3%	33.3%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%		
Laveen Elementary District	1	4	2	0	0	0	7
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	14.3%	57.1%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%		
Madison Elementary District	4	3	1	0	0	0	8
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	50.0%	37.5%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%		
Murphy Elementary District	0	1	2	1	0	0	4
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	0.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%		
Osborn Elementary District	0	4	0	0	0	1	5
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Paradise Valley Unified District	13	8	13	3	0	2	39
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	35.1%	21.6%	35.1%	8.1%	0.0%		
Pendergast Elementary District	0	1	3	3	0	0	7
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	0.0%	14.3%	42.9%	42.9%	0.0%		
Phoenix Elementary District	1	3	8	1	0	0	13
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%	7.7%	0.0%		
Phoenix Union High School District	2	1	6	4	0	0	13
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	15.4%	7.7%	46.2%	30.8%	0.0%		
Riverside Elementary District	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%		

**Appendix C-II: Grades for District Schools in Phoenix (2017-18)**

	A	B	C	D	F	NR	Total
<b>Total District Schools in Phoenix with a Letter Grade (inc. "NR")</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>263</b>
<i>% of Schools in Phoenix*</i>	21.3%	25.6%	38.2%	14.2%	0.8%		
Roosevelt Elementary District	0	0	7	8	2	1	18
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	0.0%	0.0%	41.2%	47.1%	11.8%		
Scottsdale Unified District	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Tempe Elementary District	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Tempe Union High School District	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Tolleson Elementary District	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%		
Washington Elementary District	4	13	12	3	0	0	32
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	12.5%	40.6%	37.5%	9.4%	0.0%		
Wilson Elementary District	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
<i>% of Schools in District</i>	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%		

\*Includes schools with enrollments greater than 0 and a letter grade of A, B, C, D, F or NR. Although there are 270 district schools in Phoenix, only 263 received a letter grade or "NR" in the 2017-18 school year.

**Appendix C-III: Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity for District Schools in Phoenix (2017-18)**

	Asian	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Black/ African American	Hispanic	White/ Caucasian	Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	Multi-Race	Total*
<b>Total District Schools in Phoenix</b>	<b>4,050</b>	<b>3,779</b>	<b>14,812</b>	<b>124,242</b>	<b>53,041</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>4,834</b>	<b>213,573</b>
<i>% of Phoenix Schools Total</i>	2.0%	1.8%	7.2%	60.7%	25.9%	0.0%	2.4%	
Alhambra Elementary District	371	201	977	8,743	588	0	95	11,049
<i>% of District Total</i>	3.4%	1.8%	8.9%	79.7%	5.4%	0.0%	0.9%	
Balsz Elementary District	13	119	354	1,596	128	0	41	2,284
<i>% of District Total</i>	0.6%	5.3%	15.7%	70.9%	5.7%	0.0%	1.8%	
Cartwright Elementary District	0	102	774	15,352	621	0	27	17,127
<i>% of District Total</i>	0.0%	0.6%	4.6%	91.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.2%	
Cave Creek Unified District	24	0	0	92	784	0	21	2,735
<i>% of District Total</i>	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	85.1%	0.0%	2.3%	
Creighton Elementary District	25	146	282	5,095	390	0	32	6,056
<i>% of District Total</i>	0.4%	2.4%	4.7%	85.3%	6.5%	0.0%	0.5%	
Deer Valley Unified District	463	180	690	3,867	9,665	13	517	15,547
<i>% of District Total</i>	3.0%	1.2%	4.5%	25.1%	62.8%	0.1%	3.4%	
Fowler Elementary District	0	14	402	3,738	310	0	56	4,630
<i>% of District Total</i>	0.0%	0.3%	8.9%	82.7%	6.9%	0.0%	1.2%	
Glendale Union High School District	328	264	714	4,472	3,310	12	124	9,262
<i>% of District Total</i>	3.6%	2.9%	7.7%	48.5%	35.9%	0.1%	1.3%	
Isaac Elementary District	11	29	321	6,017	374	0	14	6,661
<i>% of District Total</i>	0.2%	0.4%	4.8%	88.9%	5.5%	0.0%	0.2%	
Kyrene Elementary District	336	222	841	2,034	3,917	0	603	8,000
<i>% of District Total</i>	4.2%	2.8%	10.6%	25.6%	49.2%	0.0%	7.6%	
Laveen Elementary District	0	18	29	851	39	0	13	5,977
<i>% of District Total</i>	0.0%	1.9%	3.1%	89.6%	4.1%	0.0%	1.4%	
Madison Elementary District	61	188	342	2,188	2,651	0	270	5,750
<i>% of District Total</i>	1.1%	3.3%	6.0%	38.4%	46.5%	0.0%	4.7%	
Maricopa County Regional District	0	0	0	386	18	0	0	408
<i>% of District Total</i>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	95.5%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	
Murphy Elementary District	0	0	41	1,520	27	0	0	1,629
<i>% of District Total</i>	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	95.7%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	
Osborn Elementary District	66	209	306	1,869	283	0	82	2,829
<i>% of District Total</i>	2.3%	7.4%	10.8%	66.4%	10.1%	0.0%	2.9%	
Paradise Valley Unified District	877	180	965	9,542	16,372	0	1,146	29,409
<i>% of District Total</i>	3.0%	0.6%	3.3%	32.8%	56.3%	0.0%	3.9%	
Pendergast Elementary District	59	19	376	4,727	487	0	136	5,868
<i>% of District Total</i>	1.0%	0.3%	6.5%	81.4%	8.4%	0.0%	2.3%	
Phoenix Elementary District	32	117	534	5,138	359	0	77	6,380
<i>% of District Total</i>	0.5%	1.9%	8.5%	82.1%	5.7%	0.0%	1.2%	
Phoenix Union High School District	424	604	2,250	21,968	1,182	0	363	26,903
<i>% of District Total</i>	1.6%	2.3%	8.4%	82.0%	4.4%	0.0%	1.4%	
Riverside Elementary District	0	27	130	635	36	0	12	852
<i>% of District Total</i>	0.0%	3.2%	15.5%	75.5%	4.3%	0.0%	1.4%	
Roosevelt Elementary District	33	56	1,022	7,155	221	0	25	8,772
<i>% of District Total</i>	0.4%	0.7%	12.0%	84.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.3%	
Scottsdale Unified District	91	90	251	1,406	2,150	0	52	4,076
<i>% of District Total</i>	2.3%	2.2%	6.2%	34.8%	53.2%	0.0%	1.3%	
Tempe Elementary District	0	16	95	614	52	0	14	805
<i>% of District Total</i>	0.0%	2.0%	12.0%	77.6%	6.6%	0.0%	1.8%	
Tempe Union High School District	301	153	815	1,362	2,611	13	293	5,556
<i>% of District Total</i>	5.4%	2.8%	14.7%	24.5%	47.1%	0.2%	5.3%	

**Appendix C-III: Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity for District Schools in Phoenix (2017-18)**

	Asian	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Black/ African American	Hispanic	White/ Caucasian	Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	Multi-Race	Total*
<b>Total District Schools in Phoenix</b>	<b>4,050</b>	<b>3,779</b>	<b>14,812</b>	<b>124,242</b>	<b>53,041</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>4,834</b>	<b>213,573</b>
<i>% of Phoenix Schools Total</i>	2.0%	1.8%	7.2%	60.7%	25.9%	0.0%	2.4%	
Tolleson Elementary District	17	0	145	682	326	0	17	1,227
<i>% of District Total</i>	1.4%	0.0%	12.2%	57.5%	27.4%	0.0%	1.4%	
Washington Elementary District	516	822	2,052	12,122	6,139	0	803	22,577
<i>% of District Total</i>	2.3%	3.7%	9.1%	54.0%	27.3%	0.0%	3.6%	
Wilson Elementary District	0	0	102	1,074	0	0	0	1,204
<i>% of District Total</i>	0.0%	0.0%	8.7%	91.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

\*\*The total is greater than the individual enrollments by race/ethnicity since it 'unmasks' counts by race/ ethnicity of less than 10.

### Appendix C-IV: Special Populations in District School Districts in Phoenix (2017-18)

	English Language Learner	Free & Reduced Lunch	Homeless*	Students with Disabilities	All Students
<b>Total District Schools in Phoenix</b>	<b>26,535</b>	<b>144,473</b>	<b>9,067</b>	<b>24,716</b>	<b>213,573</b>
<i>% of Phoenix Schools Total</i>	12.4%	67.6%		11.6%	
Alhambra Elementary District	2,493	10,443	315	1,087	11,049
<i>% of District Total</i>	22.6%	94.5%		9.8%	
Balsz Elementary District	580	480	218	267	2,284
<i>% of District Total</i>	25.4%	21.0%		11.7%	
Cartwright Elementary District	3,957	17,125	141	1,696	17,127
<i>% of District Total</i>	23.1%	100.0%		9.9%	
Cave Creek Unified District	12	227	<10	343	2,735
<i>% of District Total</i>	0.4%	8.3%		12.5%	
Creighton Elementary District	1,334	5,339	452	621	6,056
<i>% of District Total</i>	22.0%	88.2%		10.3%	
Deer Valley Unified District	488	6,012	373	1,948	15,547
<i>% of District Total</i>	3.1%	38.7%		12.5%	
Fowler Elementary District	858	3,830	173	466	4,630
<i>% of District Total</i>	18.5%	82.7%		10.1%	
Glendale Union High School District	399	0	337	1,123	9,262
<i>% of District Total</i>	4.3%	0.0%		12.1%	
Isaac Elementary District	2,091	6,635	88	809	6,661
<i>% of District Total</i>	30.4%	96.5%		11.8%	
Kyrene Elementary District	132	2,711	209	710	8,000
<i>% of District Total</i>	1.7%	33.9%		8.9%	
Laveen Elementary District	503	1,791	75	834	5,977
<i>% of District Total</i>	8.4%	30.0%		14.0%	
Madison Elementary District	251	1,899	14	454	5,750
<i>% of District Total</i>	4.4%	33.0%		7.9%	
Maricopa County Regional District	298	414	2,847	15	408
<i>% of District Total</i>	71.0%	98.6%		3.6%	
Murphy Elementary District	495	1,575	40	184	1,629
<i>% of District Total</i>	30.4%	96.7%		11.3%	
Osborn Elementary District	376	2,829	208	408	2,829
<i>% of District Total</i>	13.3%	100.0%		14.4%	
Paradise Valley Unified District	1,865	11,132	335	3,907	29,409
<i>% of District Total</i>	6.3%	37.9%		13.3%	
Pendergast Elementary District	782	5,558	235	716	5,868
<i>% of District Total</i>	13.3%	94.5%		12.2%	
Phoenix Elementary District	1,150	6,181	243	738	6,380
<i>% of District Total</i>	18.0%	96.7%		11.6%	
Phoenix Union High School District	2,480	25,049	523	2,904	26,903
<i>% of District Total</i>	9.2%	93.1%		10.8%	
Riverside Elementary District**	111	855	35	102	852
<i>% of District Total</i>	13.0%	100.5%		12.0%	
Roosevelt Elementary District	1,950	8,757	501	1,175	8,772
<i>% of District Total</i>	22.3%	99.9%		13.4%	
Scottsdale Unified District	148	1,405	157	331	4,076
<i>% of District Total</i>	3.6%	34.4%		8.1%	



**Appendix C-IV: Special Populations in District School Districts in Phoenix  
 (2017-18)**

	English Language Learner	Free & Reduced Lunch	Homeless*	Students with Disabilities	All Students
<b>Total District Schools in Phoenix</b>	<b>26,535</b>	<b>144,473</b>	<b>9,067</b>	<b>24,716</b>	<b>213,573</b>
<i>% of Phoenix Schools Total</i>	12.4%	67.6%		11.6%	
Tempe Elementary District	144	781	211	77	805
<i>% of District Total</i>	17.9%	97.0%		9.6%	
Tempe Union High School District	34	0	250	368	5,556
<i>% of District Total</i>	0.6%	0.0%		6.6%	
Tolleson Elementary District	107	990	269	121	1,227
<i>% of District Total</i>	8.7%	80.9%		9.9%	
Washington Elementary District	3,214	22,455	733	3,231	22,577
<i>% of District Total</i>	14.2%	99.3%		14.3%	
Wilson Elementary District	283	0	85	81	1,204
<i>% of District Total</i>	23.5%	0.0%		6.7%	

\* Counts are masked when a there were fewer than 10 homeless children enrolled in the District in 2017-18. Percent of total is not calculated for homeless students because the Department of Education calculates this on a rolling basis rather than as a point-in-time.

\*\*ADE's data included more students in one of the Riverside Elementary School District schools receiving free or reduced price lunch than total enrollments in the school, resulting in total enrollments being less than students receiving free or reduced price meals.

**Appendix C-V: Enrollments for Charter Schools in Phoenix (2017-18)\***

	Kindergarten		1st Grade		2nd Grade		3rd Grade		4th through 6th Grade		7th and 8th Grade		9th through 12th Grade		Total**	
	Schools	Enrolled	Schools	Enrolled	Schools	Enrolled	Schools	Enrolled	Schools	Enrolled	Schools	Enrolled	Schools	Enrolled	Schools	Enrolled
<b>Total Charter Schools in Phoenix</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>4,327</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>4,244</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>4,276</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>4,228</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>12,964</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>8,441</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>16,661</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>55,789</b>
Ahwatukee Foothills	1	119	2	122	2	150	2	152	3	836	3	532	3	710	5	2,621
Alhambra	8	393	8	273	8	274	7	213	8	617	5	436	11	2,388	21	4,719
Camelback East	10	404	10	396	10	410	10	419	11	1,028	6	524	7	1,680	17	4,938
Central City	6	267	6	228	6	250	6	211	9	904	7	666	9	1,887	17	4,480
Deer Valley	7	399	7	390	7	383	7	399	9	1,088	7	678	9	1,156	18	4,577
Desert View	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Encanto	4	365	4	403	4	418	5	502	6	1,577	7	1,298	4	2,145	9	6,708
Estrella	1	116	1	119	1	117	1	123	1	402	1	238	4	1,038	5	2,153
Laveen	3	183	3	188	3	224	3	222	3	659	4	409	2	394	4	2,299
Maryvale	11	707	11	666	11	677	11	709	12	1,968	8	1,054	7	1,133	18	6,946
North Gateway	1	70	1	64	1	79	1	84	1	174	1	86	0	0	1	557
North Mountain	5	264	5	303	5	295	5	283	6	758	5	643	5	1,224	13	3,892
Paradise Valley	8	365	8	377	8	329	7	295	8	1,026	6	629	5	1,227	14	4,310
Rio Vista	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
South Mountain	13	675	13	715	12	670	11	616	14	1,927	13	1,248	6	1,679	23	7,589

\*School counts include schools with enrollments of greater than 0.

\*\*The total is greater than the individual enrollments by grade since it 'unmasks' counts by grade of less than 10.

**Appendix C-VI: Grades for Charter Schools in Phoenix (2017-18)**

	A	B	C	D	F	NR	Total
<b>Total Charter Schools in Phoenix with a Letter Grade (inc. "NR")</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>118</b>
<i>% of Schools in Phoenix*</i>	27.4%	36.3%	22.1%	8.8%	5.3%		
Ahwatukee Foothills	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Alhambra	0	6	3	2	0	1	12
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	0.0%	54.5%	27.3%	18.2%	0.0%		
Camelback East	5	1	2	1	2	2	13
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	45.5%	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%		
Central City	4	3	4	2	0	0	13
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%	0.0%		
Deer Valley	2	9	0	1	0	0	12
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	16.7%	75.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%		
Desert View	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>							
Encanto	2	0	4	1	0	0	7
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	28.6%	0.0%	57.1%	14.3%	0.0%		
Estrella	0	2	1	0	0	0	3
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%		
Laveen	0	3	0	0	1	0	4
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	0.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%		
Maryvale	1	4	5	2	1	0	13
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	7.7%	30.8%	38.5%	15.4%	7.7%		
North Gateway	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
North Mountain	2	3	2	0	1	0	8
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%	0.0%	12.5%		
Paradise Valley	8	3	0	0	0	1	12
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	72.7%	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Rio Vista	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>							
South Mountain	2	7	4	1	1	1	16
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%	6.7%	6.7%		

\*Includes schools with enrollments greater than 0 and a letter grade of A, B, C, D, F or NR. Although there are 165 charter schools in Phoenix, only 118 received a letter grade or "NR" in the 2017-18 school year.

**Appendix C-VII: Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity for Charter Schools in Phoenix (2017-18)**

	Asian	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Black/ African American	Hispanic	White/ Caucasian	Native Hawaiiin/ Other Pacific Islander	Multi-Race	Total*
<b>Total Charter Schools in Phoenix</b>	<b>2,732</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>4,569</b>	<b>27,918</b>	<b>16,435</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>1,351</b>	<b>55,789</b>
<i>% of Phoenix Schools Total</i>	5.1%	0.7%	8.5%	52.2%	30.7%	0.3%	2.5%	
Ahwatukee Foothills	490	0	149	431	1,374	0	141	2,621
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	19.0%	0.0%	5.8%	16.7%	53.2%	0.0%	5.5%	
Alhambra	37	26	423	3,469	487	0	0	4,719
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	0.8%	0.6%	9.5%	78.1%	11.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Camelback East	148	56	351	2,061	1,980	0	132	4,938
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	3.1%	1.2%	7.4%	43.6%	41.9%	0.0%	2.8%	
Central City	51	24	499	2,750	919	0	91	4,480
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	1.2%	0.6%	11.5%	63.5%	21.2%	0.0%	2.1%	
Deer Valley	512	12	184	994	2,525	0	146	4,577
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	11.7%	0.3%	4.2%	22.7%	57.7%	0.0%	3.3%	
Desert View	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>								
Encanto	333	132	527	2,194	3,000	13	390	6,708
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	5.1%	2.0%	8.0%	33.3%	45.5%	0.2%	5.9%	
Estrella	21	0	217	1,739	102	0	17	2,153
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	1.0%	0.0%	10.4%	83.0%	4.9%	0.0%	0.8%	
Laveen	47	45	352	1,131	601	0	67	2,299
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	2.1%	2.0%	15.7%	50.4%	26.8%	0.0%	3.0%	
Maryvale	0	17	359	6,070	317	0	35	6,946
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	0.0%	0.3%	5.3%	89.3%	4.7%	0.0%	0.5%	
North Gateway	11	0	18	83	419	0	24	557
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	2.0%	0.0%	3.2%	15.0%	75.5%	0.0%	4.3%	
North Mountain	441	11	252	1,648	1,308	0	68	3,892
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	11.8%	0.3%	6.8%	44.2%	35.1%	0.0%	1.8%	
Paradise Valley	603	0	57	713	2,612	0	136	4,310
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	14.6%	0.0%	1.4%	17.3%	63.4%	0.0%	3.3%	
Rio Vista	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>								
South Mountain	38	27	1,181	4,635	791	136	104	7,589
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	0.5%	0.4%	17.1%	67.1%	11.4%	2.0%	1.5%	

\*\*The total is greater than the individual enrollments by race/ethnicity since it 'unmasks' counts by race/ ethnicity of less than 10.

### Appendix C-VIII: Special Populations in Charter School Districts in Phoenix (2017-18)

	English Language Learner	Free & Reduced Lunch	Homeless*	Students with Disabilities	All Students
<b>Total Charter Schools in Phoenix</b>	<b>4,236</b>	<b>27,462</b>	<b>2,078</b>	<b>4,512</b>	<b>55,789</b>
<i>% of Phoenix Schools Total</i>	7.7%	50.0%		8.2%	
Ahwatukee Foothills	11	34	0	151	2,621
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	0.4%	1.3%		5.8%	
Alhambra	568	3,460	333	327	4,719
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	12.8%	77.7%		7.3%	
Camelback East	380	1,444	144	373	4,938
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	7.9%	30.2%		7.8%	
Central City	280	2,658	243	381	4,480
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	6.2%	58.5%		8.4%	
Deer Valley	130	1,184	345	324	4,577
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	2.9%	26.8%		7.3%	
Desert View	0	0	0	0	0
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>					
Encanto	246	3,291	58	872	6,708
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	3.7%	49.1%		13.0%	
Estrella	151	1,474	51	181	2,153
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	7.0%	68.5%		8.4%	
Laveen	135	1,242	13	162	2,299
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	5.9%	54.0%		7.0%	
Maryvale	1,228	5,589	66	576	6,946
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	17.7%	80.5%		8.3%	
North Gateway	0	60	0	41	557
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	0.0%	10.8%		7.4%	
North Mountain	392	2,096	237	267	3,892
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	10.1%	53.9%		6.9%	
Paradise Valley	71	350	89	221	4,310
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	1.6%	8.1%		5.1%	
Rio Vista	0	0	0	0	0
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>					
South Mountain	644	4,580	499	636	7,589
<i>% of Schools in Village</i>	9.0%	63.7%		8.8%	

\* Percent of total is not calculated for homeless students because the Department of Education calculates this on a rolling basis rather than as a point-in-time.

**Appendix D: Additional Information Relating to Employment Data**

<b>Appendix Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
Appendix D-I: Annual Employment in Phoenix MSA by Industry, 2008 - 2018	D-2
Appendix D-II: Phoenix Employers (with at least 5 employees) by Size and by Industry, 2017	D-3
Appendix D-III: Phoenix Jobs by Employer Size and by Industry, 2017	D-5

**Appendix D-I: Annual Employment in Phoenix MSA by Industry, 2008 - 2018**

<b>Industry</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017*</b>	<b>2018</b>
Natural Resources and Mining	3,800	3,100	3,000	3,200	3,500	3,600	3,400	3,400	3,200	3,200	3,200
<i>Construction of Buildings</i>	26,000	17,700	15,400	15,500	15,600	16,700	16,800	17,400	20,200	22,300	27,000
<i>Heavy and Civil Engineering Construction</i>	19,500	13,900	12,100	12,200	12,800	12,900	12,800	12,600	12,700	13,400	14,800
<i>Specialty Trades Construction Contractors</i>	93,700	64,200	54,900	55,200	59,700	63,800	66,000	71,500	72,500	77,900	84,800
Construction	139,300	95,900	82,400	83,000	88,000	93,400	95,600	101,400	105,300	113,500	126,600
<i>Durable Goods</i>	103,100	90,000	86,000	87,600	90,500	89,900	90,200	88,700	89,100	90,700	96,400
<i>Nondurable Goods</i>	26,600	24,800	24,700	25,100	26,200	27,200	28,000	28,400	31,300	32,600	34,200
Manufacturing	129,600	114,800	110,700	112,700	116,700	117,100	118,100	117,100	120,400	123,300	130,600
<i>Wholesale Trade</i>	88,400	82,200	78,900	78,100	78,000	77,800	77,600	78,200	78,200	79,500	80,200
<i>Retail Trade</i>	227,700	208,900	206,300	209,000	211,300	214,100	221,800	226,200	235,500	239,100	241,900
<i>Transportation, Warehousing &amp; Utilities</i>	66,900	62,700	60,300	62,300	63,700	64,100	65,600	68,700	73,000	75,600	79,200
Trade, Transportation and Utilities	383,000	353,800	345,400	349,400	353,000	356,000	365,000	373,100	386,700	394,100	401,400
Information	31,100	28,900	27,400	28,400	31,100	33,200	34,800	35,400	36,000	35,800	37,300
<i>Finance and Insurance</i>	110,800	107,000	106,000	109,800	114,000	120,700	124,200	129,400	137,900	145,100	148,100
<i>Real Estate and Rental and Leasing</i>	39,200	35,700	34,800	35,300	36,200	37,400	38,100	37,900	39,900	41,100	42,000
Financial Activities	149,900	142,700	140,800	145,100	150,200	158,200	162,400	167,400	177,800	186,200	190,100
<i>Professional, Scientific and Technical Services</i>	101,200	93,200	91,100	92,800	94,500	97,500	99,800	103,100	112,400	116,200	122,900
<i>Management of Companies and Enterprises</i>	23,800	23,300	23,000	23,600	24,800	25,800	26,400	27,700	28,900	29,100	29,500
<i>Administrative and Waste services</i>	183,900	158,100	156,900	160,800	166,800	178,600	182,300	189,900	197,300	199,500	203,900
Professional and Business Services	308,900	274,700	271,000	277,200	286,000	301,900	308,500	320,700	338,500	344,800	356,300
<i>Educational services</i>	35,600	38,200	42,300	44,000	44,400	44,600	45,900	48,300	47,800	50,100	55,000
<i>Health Care and Social Assistance</i>	185,500	190,200	196,700	203,400	211,100	216,300	222,800	231,300	246,600	257,200	264,900
Education and health services	221,000	228,400	239,000	247,400	255,500	261,000	268,700	279,600	294,400	307,300	319,900
<i>Arts, Entertainment and Recreation</i>	26,100	24,100	24,400	24,500	25,600	26,900	28,900	30,800	31,600	33,000	32,900
<i>Accommodations and food services</i>	158,600	150,300	148,900	153,200	157,700	164,700	170,200	174,500	183,900	189,600	197,300
Leisure and Hospitality	184,600	174,400	173,400	177,700	183,300	191,600	199,200	205,300	215,600	222,600	230,100
Other Services (except Public Administration)	73,200	68,000	63,800	63,800	62,300	63,700	64,500	68,200	65,600	65,600	65,600
<b>Private Sector</b>	<b>1,624,500</b>	<b>1,484,600</b>	<b>1,456,800</b>	<b>1,488,000</b>	<b>1,529,700</b>	<b>1,579,600</b>	<b>1,620,000</b>	<b>1,671,600</b>	<b>1,743,400</b>	<b>1,796,400</b>	<b>1,861,100</b>
Federal Government	22,600	22,900	24,200	22,000	21,800	21,700	21,600	21,800	22,400	22,900	22,900
State Government	50,700	46,300	44,500	44,400	45,000	45,400	46,100	47,000	47,800	48,500	50,800
Local Government	172,700	169,900	166,200	162,800	163,800	164,800	165,300	165,500	165,500	166,300	166,800
<b>Government</b>	<b>246,000</b>	<b>239,200</b>	<b>234,800</b>	<b>229,200</b>	<b>230,600</b>	<b>231,900</b>	<b>233,000</b>	<b>234,300</b>	<b>235,800</b>	<b>237,700</b>	<b>240,400</b>
<b>Total Nonfarm Payroll Employment</b>	<b>1,870,500</b>	<b>1,723,800</b>	<b>1,691,600</b>	<b>1,717,200</b>	<b>1,760,300</b>	<b>1,811,500</b>	<b>1,853,000</b>	<b>1,906,000</b>	<b>1,979,200</b>	<b>2,034,100</b>	<b>2,101,500</b>

Arizona Department of Administration, Office of Employment and Population Statistics: CES Data Query Tool.

\*2017 figures derived from a rounded average (to the nearest 100 employees) using monthly totals, as annualized figures were not available through the CES Data Query Tool.

**Appendix D-II: Phoenix Employers (with at least 5 employees) by Size and by Industry, 2017\***

	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain	
<b>Total Employers</b>	<b>21,682</b>	<b>832</b>	<b>1,449</b>	<b>3,257</b>	<b>2,230</b>	<b>2,497</b>	<b>616</b>	<b>1,581</b>	<b>1,188</b>	<b>340</b>	<b>1,300</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>1,844</b>	<b>2,475</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>1,748</b>	
<b>Employers by Size</b>																	
5 - 10	9,455	405	710	1,444	796	1,131	286	644	363	141	604	123	847	1,278	61	623	
% of Total	43.6%	48.7%	49.0%	44.3%	35.7%	45.3%	46.3%	40.7%	30.6%	41.5%	46.5%	58.0%	45.9%	51.6%	54.2%	35.6%	
10 - 24	6,881	282	457	1,079	705	806	196	506	349	114	416	58	572	762	34	545	
% of Total	31.7%	33.9%	31.5%	33.1%	31.6%	32.3%	31.8%	32.0%	29.4%	33.5%	32.0%	27.4%	31.0%	30.8%	30.3%	31.2%	
25 - 99	4,134	120	227	569	504	424	103	336	366	64	225	24	348	362	14	447	
% of Total	19.1%	14.4%	15.7%	17.5%	22.6%	17.0%	16.7%	21.3%	30.8%	18.9%	17.3%	11.5%	18.9%	14.6%	12.3%	25.6%	
100 - 249	861	18	44	127	144	93	22	61	77	16	39	2	55	64	1	98	
% of Total	4.0%	2.2%	3.1%	3.9%	6.4%	3.7%	3.6%	3.8%	6.5%	4.8%	3.0%	1.1%	3.0%	2.6%	0.6%	5.6%	
250 - 499	219	5	7	27	46	21	6	22	24	2	9	4	13	9	3	22	
% of Total	1.0%	0.6%	0.5%	0.8%	2.0%	0.8%	1.0%	1.4%	2.0%	0.5%	0.7%	1.9%	0.7%	0.4%	2.6%	1.2%	
500 or more	132	2	4	10	36	22	4	13	10	3	6	0	9	0	0	14	
% of Total	0.6%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	1.6%	0.9%	0.6%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	
<b>Employers by Industry</b>																	
Accommodation and Food Services	3,085	135	221	464	266	369	109	187	88	67	233	37	319	395	14	181	
% of Total	14.2%	16.2%	15.3%	14.3%	11.9%	14.8%	17.8%	11.8%	7.4%	19.6%	17.9%	17.4%	17.3%	15.9%	12.3%	10.3%	
Admin./Support & Waste Mgt/Remediation Svcs.	1,132	37	66	207	98	150	45	78	53	12	41	9	107	126	1	102	
% of Total	5.2%	4.4%	4.5%	6.4%	4.4%	6.0%	7.3%	4.9%	4.5%	3.7%	3.2%	4.1%	5.8%	5.1%	1.3%	5.8%	
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting	49	0	0	7	2	5	2	3	9	5	4	0	3	4	0	5	
% of Total	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.8%	1.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation	320	24	13	47	37	37	19	17	3	7	14	5	25	54	5	13	
% of Total	1.5%	2.9%	0.9%	1.5%	1.6%	1.5%	3.2%	1.0%	0.2%	1.9%	1.1%	2.6%	1.3%	2.2%	4.5%	0.8%	
Construction	1,425	17	72	156	139	269	23	39	101	21	65	18	160	158	5	182	
% of Total	6.6%	2.0%	5.0%	4.8%	6.2%	10.8%	3.8%	2.4%	8.5%	6.1%	5.0%	8.7%	8.7%	6.4%	4.5%	10.4%	
Educational Services	955	42	90	112	77	92	29	66	41	24	76	8	101	116	5	75	
% of Total	4.4%	5.0%	6.2%	3.4%	3.4%	3.7%	4.7%	4.2%	3.5%	7.1%	5.9%	3.9%	5.5%	4.7%	4.5%	4.3%	
Finance and Insurance	1,086	45	69	274	50	121	41	94	16	11	57	10	90	158	1	48	
% of Total	5.0%	5.4%	4.7%	8.4%	2.3%	4.9%	6.7%	5.9%	1.4%	3.2%	4.4%	4.9%	4.9%	6.4%	1.3%	2.7%	
Health Care and Social Assistance	2,409	152	206	384	169	227	73	278	19	31	174	19	218	357	7	96	
% of Total	11.1%	18.3%	14.2%	11.8%	7.6%	9.1%	11.8%	17.6%	1.6%	9.3%	13.4%	8.9%	11.8%	14.4%	5.8%	5.5%	
Information	482	27	28	84	59	64	15	42	6	5	20	5	36	54	1	37	
% of Total	2.2%	3.2%	2.0%	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	2.5%	2.7%	0.5%	1.5%	1.5%	2.3%	1.9%	2.2%	0.6%	2.1%	
Management of Companies and Enterprises	37	2	0	11	3	6	2	4	2	0	1	0	2	2	0	2	
% of Total	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	



**Appendix D-II: Phoenix Employers (with at least 5 employees) by Size and by Industry, 2017\***

	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
<b>Total Employers</b>	<b>21,682</b>	<b>832</b>	<b>1,449</b>	<b>3,257</b>	<b>2,230</b>	<b>2,497</b>	<b>616</b>	<b>1,581</b>	<b>1,188</b>	<b>340</b>	<b>1,300</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>1,844</b>	<b>2,475</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>1,748</b>

**Employers by Industry (cont.)**

Manufacturing	1,340	22	72	95	203	204	19	50	202	20	80	7	64	74	4	226
% of Total	6.2%	2.6%	4.9%	2.9%	9.1%	8.2%	3.0%	3.1%	17.0%	6.0%	6.1%	3.2%	3.5%	3.0%	3.2%	12.9%
Mining, Quarrying, and Oil and Gas Extraction	22	0	0	3	3	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	1	1	6
% of Total	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.3%
Other Services (except Public Administration)	1,450	73	128	204	148	158	41	104	62	15	76	12	146	162	7	113
% of Total	6.7%	8.8%	8.9%	6.3%	6.6%	6.3%	6.6%	6.6%	5.2%	4.5%	5.9%	5.5%	7.9%	6.5%	5.8%	6.5%
Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services	2,002	71	108	508	193	184	53	305	28	12	50	17	125	219	4	125
% of Total	9.2%	8.5%	7.4%	15.6%	8.7%	7.4%	8.6%	19.3%	2.3%	3.6%	3.8%	7.9%	6.8%	8.9%	3.9%	7.1%
Public Administration	634	7	27	43	257	31	3	88	52	1	23	4	31	23	7	37
% of Total	2.9%	0.9%	1.8%	1.3%	11.5%	1.3%	0.5%	5.6%	4.4%	0.3%	1.7%	2.0%	1.7%	0.9%	5.8%	2.1%
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing	810	30	68	201	64	75	12	56	28	7	53	10	74	84	6	43
% of Total	3.7%	3.6%	4.7%	6.2%	2.9%	3.0%	1.9%	3.5%	2.4%	2.0%	4.1%	4.6%	4.0%	3.4%	5.2%	2.4%
Retail Trade	2,624	114	189	322	174	311	111	106	147	46	225	34	259	371	36	179
% of Total	12.1%	13.7%	13.0%	9.9%	7.8%	12.4%	18.0%	6.7%	12.3%	13.6%	17.3%	16.3%	14.0%	15.0%	32.3%	10.2%
Transportation and Warehousing	499	10	16	39	80	40	3	16	123	26	24	6	21	25	2	66
% of Total	2.3%	1.2%	1.1%	1.2%	3.6%	1.6%	0.5%	1.0%	10.4%	7.6%	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%	1.0%	1.9%	3.8%
Utilities	48	0	0	3	11	5	0	3	7	0	1	1	6	7	0	3
% of Total	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%	0.1%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%
Wholesale Trade	1,273	24	78	92	200	146	15	45	198	29	83	9	55	83	7	210
% of Total	5.9%	2.9%	5.4%	2.8%	9.0%	5.8%	2.4%	2.9%	16.7%	8.4%	6.4%	4.3%	3.0%	3.3%	6.5%	12.0%

\*Analysis based on 2017 Employer Database produced by Maricopa Association of Governments.

**Appendix D-III: Phoenix Jobs by Employer Size and by Industry, 2017\***

Phoenix	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain		
<b>Total Jobs</b>	700,234	18,213	33,814	85,955	117,677	85,423	23,262	65,628	52,534	11,640	34,213	4,135	51,556	47,506	2,485	66,194

**Jobs by Employer Size**

5 - 10	61,108	2,584	4,613	9,247	5,189	7,360	1,808	4,179	2,426	905	3,983	793	5,437	8,209	392	3,981
% of Total	8.7%	14.2%	13.6%	10.8%	4.4%	8.6%	7.8%	6.4%	4.6%	7.8%	11.6%	19.2%	10.5%	17.3%	15.8%	6.0%
10 - 24	99,566	4,065	6,604	15,433	10,261	11,801	2,785	7,365	5,103	1,599	6,120	818	8,268	10,891	472	7,981
% of Total	14.2%	22.3%	19.5%	18.0%	8.7%	13.8%	12.0%	11.2%	9.7%	13.7%	17.9%	19.8%	16.0%	22.9%	19.0%	12.1%
25 - 99	189,348	5,411	9,910	25,547	23,478	18,686	4,996	15,254	17,837	3,024	10,613	1,031	16,309	16,522	524	20,205
% of Total	27.0%	29.7%	29.3%	29.7%	20.0%	21.9%	21.5%	23.2%	34.0%	26.0%	31.0%	24.9%	31.6%	34.8%	21.1%	30.5%
100 - 249	123,959	2,769	6,248	17,600	21,139	12,903	3,117	8,871	11,232	2,451	5,508	302	8,284	9,008	116	14,411
% of Total	17.7%	15.2%	18.5%	20.5%	18.0%	15.1%	13.4%	13.5%	21.4%	21.1%	16.1%	7.3%	16.1%	19.0%	4.7%	21.8%
250 - 499	72,353	1,976	2,179	8,457	15,182	7,053	2,067	7,046	7,793	668	2,906	1,192	4,271	2,862	980	7,721
% of Total	10.3%	10.8%	6.4%	9.8%	12.9%	8.3%	8.9%	10.7%	14.8%	5.7%	8.5%	28.8%	8.3%	6.0%	39.4%	11.7%
500 or more	153,900	1,408	4,259	9,670	42,427	27,620	8,488	22,913	8,142	2,992	5,084	0	8,987	14	0	11,895
% of Total	22.0%	7.7%	12.6%	11.3%	36.1%	32.3%	36.5%	34.9%	15.5%	25.7%	14.9%	0.0%	17.4%	0.0%	0.0%	18.0%

**Jobs by Employer Industry**

Accommodation and Food Services	59,998	2,480	3,087	10,302	6,032	6,809	3,014	2,965	1,441	925	4,151	594	6,814	7,198	189	3,997
% of Total	8.6%	13.6%	9.1%	12.0%	5.1%	8.0%	13.0%	4.5%	2.7%	8.0%	12.1%	14.4%	13.2%	15.2%	7.6%	6.0%
Admin./Support & Waste Mgt./Remediation Svcs.	40,835	1,566	2,647	4,335	2,714	8,229	1,988	5,791	1,668	205	743	57	3,082	2,450	13	5,348
% of Total	5.8%	8.6%	7.8%	5.0%	2.3%	9.6%	8.5%	8.8%	3.2%	1.8%	2.2%	1.4%	6.0%	5.2%	0.5%	8.1%
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting	836	0	0	118	27	146	24	44	161	118	42	0	42	37	0	77
% of Total	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	1.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation	7,677	471	239	1,642	1,345	566	552	471	109	145	287	70	447	1,046	39	249
% of Total	1.1%	2.6%	0.7%	1.9%	1.1%	0.7%	2.4%	0.7%	0.2%	1.2%	0.8%	1.7%	0.9%	2.2%	1.6%	0.4%
Construction	39,158	150	1,277	3,500	5,516	7,039	351	937	3,483	540	2,624	220	4,824	2,584	39	6,073
% of Total	5.6%	0.8%	3.8%	4.1%	4.7%	8.2%	1.5%	1.4%	6.6%	4.6%	7.7%	5.3%	9.4%	5.4%	1.6%	9.2%
Educational Services	46,631	1,711	7,175	3,246	4,546	2,964	1,014	2,490	2,049	1,525	4,205	202	6,167	4,399	125	4,813
% of Total	6.7%	9.4%	21.2%	3.8%	3.9%	3.5%	4.4%	3.8%	3.9%	13.1%	12.3%	4.9%	12.0%	9.3%	5.0%	7.3%
Finance and Insurance	63,808	2,317	845	8,000	12,663	16,593	3,475	3,258	567	130	1,442	99	5,972	2,883	17	5,548
% of Total	9.1%	12.7%	2.5%	9.3%	10.8%	19.4%	14.9%	5.0%	1.1%	1.1%	4.2%	2.4%	11.6%	6.1%	0.7%	8.4%
Health Care and Social Assistance	76,777	1,968	4,296	10,229	14,101	4,380	5,665	17,544	239	253	3,830	159	5,577	5,089	65	3,382
% of Total	11.0%	10.8%	12.7%	11.9%	12.0%	5.1%	24.4%	26.7%	0.5%	2.2%	11.2%	3.9%	10.8%	10.7%	2.6%	5.1%
Information	17,978	488	769	2,352	2,878	4,961	666	2,419	92	59	314	85	574	892	5	1,423
% of Total	2.6%	2.7%	2.3%	2.7%	2.4%	5.8%	2.9%	3.7%	0.2%	0.5%	0.9%	2.1%	1.1%	1.9%	0.2%	2.2%
Management of Companies and Enterprises	13,760	15	0	3,101	1,873	2,055	409	5,645	85	0	5	0	133	66	0	373
% of Total	2.0%	0.1%	0.0%	3.6%	1.6%	2.4%	1.8%	8.6%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%

**Appendix D-III: Phoenix Jobs by Employer Size and by Industry, 2017\***

Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain	
<b>Total Jobs</b>	700,234	18,213	33,814	85,955	117,677	85,423	23,262	65,628	52,534	11,640	34,213	4,135	51,556	47,506	2,485	66,194

**Jobs by Employer Industry (cont.)**

Manufacturing	54,976	327	2,060	4,613	8,797	8,421	813	1,513	11,057	1,002	1,682	695	1,894	1,552	330	10,219
% of Total	7.9%	1.8%	6.1%	5.4%	7.5%	9.9%	3.5%	2.3%	21.0%	8.6%	4.9%	16.8%	3.7%	3.3%	13.3%	15.4%
Mining, Quarrying, and Oil and Gas Extraction	1,358	0	0	40	926	31	3	0	8	9	0	12	38	65	9	218
% of Total	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	0.3%
Other Services (except Public Administration)	23,437	794	1,816	3,231	3,316	1,847	352	1,651	1,831	205	919	95	1,989	2,155	65	3,170
% of Total	3.3%	4.4%	5.4%	3.8%	2.8%	2.2%	1.5%	2.5%	3.5%	1.8%	2.7%	2.3%	3.9%	4.5%	2.6%	4.8%
Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services	46,488	2,025	1,363	10,502	6,249	5,017	628	7,955	988	154	1,448	165	2,769	3,100	43	4,084
% of Total	6.6%	11.1%	4.0%	12.2%	5.3%	5.9%	2.7%	12.1%	1.9%	1.3%	4.2%	4.0%	5.4%	6.5%	1.7%	6.2%
Public Administration	54,611	74	993	2,478	29,222	1,851	474	8,028	4,593	46	1,193	232	1,462	1,166	551	2,248
% of Total	7.8%	0.4%	2.9%	2.9%	24.8%	2.2%	2.0%	12.2%	8.7%	0.4%	3.5%	5.6%	2.8%	2.5%	22.2%	3.4%
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing	15,891	494	742	4,090	2,943	896	135	1,025	581	109	540	85	1,210	1,942	53	1,045
% of Total	2.3%	2.7%	2.2%	4.8%	2.5%	1.0%	0.6%	1.6%	1.1%	0.9%	1.6%	2.1%	2.3%	4.1%	2.1%	1.6%
Retail Trade	72,568	2,530	4,673	9,374	3,027	9,681	3,424	1,813	7,280	3,902	6,624	1,178	5,176	8,678	768	4,438
% of Total	10.4%	13.9%	13.8%	10.9%	2.6%	11.3%	14.7%	2.8%	13.9%	33.5%	19.4%	28.5%	10.0%	18.3%	30.9%	6.7%
Transportation and Warehousing	28,570	181	464	2,568	5,307	897	130	867	9,232	1,491	1,325	55	1,055	801	65	4,133
% of Total	4.1%	1.0%	1.4%	3.0%	4.5%	1.0%	0.6%	1.3%	17.6%	12.8%	3.9%	1.3%	2.0%	1.7%	2.6%	6.2%
Utilities	4,228	0	5	77	1,076	360	0	45	860	0	7	12	1,536	158	0	93
% of Total	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.1%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	3.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%
Wholesale Trade	30,649	622	1,365	2,157	5,118	2,680	144	1,169	6,209	821	2,829	121	798	1,246	107	5,263
% of Total	4.4%	3.4%	4.0%	2.5%	4.3%	3.1%	0.6%	1.8%	11.8%	7.1%	8.3%	2.9%	1.5%	2.6%	4.3%	8.0%

\* Analysis based on 2017 Employer Database produced by Maricopa Association of Governments.

**Appendix E: Additional Information Relating to Housing**

<b>Appendix Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
Appendix E-I: Median Price of Home Sales in Phoenix Zip Codes, 2009 - 2018	E-2
Appendix E-II: Number of Home Sales in Phoenix Zip Codes, 2009 - 2018	E-4
Appendix E-III: Fair Market Rents in Phoenix, 2000 - 2019	E-6
Appendix E-IV: Residents in Owner-Occupied/ Rental Homes by Village	E-7

**Appendix E-I: Median Price of Home Sales in Phoenix Zip Codes, 2009 - 2018\***

<b>Zip Code</b>	<b>Primary Village**</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>% Change ('09 - '18)</b>
85044	Ahwatukee Foothills	\$208,000	\$191,000	\$160,000	\$183,000	\$214,500	\$231,225	\$240,968	\$251,500	\$262,500	\$291,000	39.9%
85045	Ahwatukee Foothills	\$267,000	\$265,000	\$248,750	\$282,500	\$333,440	\$325,100	\$327,250	\$338,500	\$335,950	\$360,000	34.8%
85048	Ahwatukee Foothills	\$250,000	\$251,500	\$221,000	\$245,500	\$281,500	\$288,000	\$291,238	\$297,500	\$320,000	\$337,538	35.0%
85012	Alhambra	\$307,500	\$339,000	\$278,000	\$206,875	\$233,500	\$307,238	\$344,938	\$386,500	\$394,250	\$348,535	13.3%
85013	Alhambra	\$136,500	\$141,500	\$136,500	\$150,500	\$177,280	\$211,625	\$237,000	\$267,450	\$269,850	\$304,750	123.3%
85015	Alhambra	\$79,000	\$75,000	\$65,000	\$87,400	\$109,000	\$137,450	\$154,875	\$169,225	\$199,225	\$215,750	173.1%
85017	Alhambra	\$42,000	\$45,000	\$40,500	\$44,025	\$65,813	\$80,450	\$104,000	\$134,125	\$153,700	\$174,700	316.0%
85019	Alhambra	\$51,000	\$54,950	\$45,000	\$60,000	\$86,375	\$106,450	\$125,250	\$150,000	\$165,853	\$183,250	259.3%
85008	Camelback East	\$65,500	\$68,000	\$65,000	\$76,250	\$107,125	\$127,875	\$141,750	\$182,175	\$193,500	\$219,500	235.1%
85014	Camelback East	\$138,000	\$132,000	\$116,000	\$120,875	\$160,025	\$185,500	\$212,600	\$225,025	\$264,625	\$267,000	93.5%
85016	Camelback East	\$151,500	\$142,700	\$132,000	\$158,000	\$205,063	\$228,500	\$247,750	\$280,750	\$300,000	\$327,000	115.8%
85018	Camelback East	\$310,000	\$290,000	\$278,000	\$271,875	\$346,125	\$375,000	\$416,500	\$449,750	\$468,750	\$568,000	83.2%
85251	Camelback East	\$237,000	\$227,000	\$186,500	\$174,750	\$207,225	\$206,500	\$230,000	\$257,475	\$277,250	\$298,675	26.0%
85003	Central City	\$242,000	\$229,950	\$204,750	\$236,500	\$291,250	\$331,250	\$325,550	\$346,000	\$394,500	\$409,750	69.3%
85004	Central City	\$142,500	\$80,000	\$167,000	\$156,975	\$240,500	\$223,750	\$224,750	\$242,525	\$277,125	\$263,500	84.9%
85007	Central City	\$75,000	\$130,000	\$125,000	\$145,250	\$157,700	\$201,000	\$214,950	\$200,425	\$291,225	\$280,500	274.0%
85034	Central City	\$42,250	\$50,000	\$41,500	\$55,750	\$55,000	\$106,000	\$134,000	\$114,500	\$183,250	\$221,000	423.1%
85023	Deer Valley	\$128,000	\$125,000	\$111,000	\$135,200	\$165,100	\$185,450	\$207,363	\$219,475	\$231,500	\$257,500	101.2%
85024	Deer Valley	\$190,000	\$168,500	\$152,000	\$168,000	\$204,750	\$223,475	\$232,000	\$260,250	\$270,701	\$280,250	47.5%
85027	Deer Valley	\$107,050	\$103,210	\$87,000	\$103,500	\$141,988	\$151,375	\$168,500	\$188,000	\$204,975	\$221,000	106.4%
85053	Deer Valley	\$96,125	\$92,900	\$83,750	\$111,750	\$136,625	\$149,000	\$166,975	\$185,975	\$204,500	\$224,725	133.8%
85083	Deer Valley	\$251,990	\$239,950	\$212,945	\$245,950	\$288,475	\$300,425	\$300,250	\$314,450	\$334,500	\$348,725	38.4%
85306	Deer Valley	\$114,250	\$104,500	\$94,000	\$120,475	\$148,500	\$147,500	\$182,750	\$206,475	\$220,450	\$231,000	102.2%
85308	Deer Valley	\$169,700	\$160,000	\$142,750	\$165,000	\$203,325	\$215,000	\$241,450	\$259,500	\$266,500	\$285,100	68.0%
85310	Deer Valley	\$184,900	\$170,000	\$159,450	\$198,000	\$241,750	\$241,450	\$270,200	\$268,775	\$282,500	\$306,500	65.8%
85383	Deer Valley	\$236,228	\$239,182	\$242,000	\$260,675	\$298,178	\$307,700	\$313,625	\$325,500	\$349,945	\$375,138	58.8%
85054	Desert View	\$365,000	\$339,500	\$340,000	\$251,950	\$286,500	\$294,375	\$353,975	\$352,475	\$334,250	\$341,875	-6.3%
85255	Desert View	\$549,500	\$525,000	\$505,000	\$503,200	\$576,250	\$578,791	\$571,250	\$568,875	\$608,750	\$661,250	20.3%
85331	Desert View	\$325,000	\$310,000	\$308,000	\$313,913	\$357,500	\$390,875	\$388,500	\$425,138	\$429,948	\$459,950	41.5%
85006	Encanto	\$56,128	\$78,500	\$65,000	\$90,000	\$129,500	\$149,050	\$182,070	\$238,000	\$235,500	\$290,000	416.7%

**Appendix E-I: Median Price of Home Sales in Phoenix Zip Codes, 2009 - 2018\***

<b>Zip Code</b>	<b>Primary Village**</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>% Change ('09 - '18)</b>
85009	Estrella	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$38,000	\$38,500	\$59,913	\$70,600	\$94,000	\$123,475	\$140,500	\$157,450	293.6%
85043	Estrella	\$82,500	\$85,000	\$70,000	\$86,375	\$122,750	\$132,500	\$149,250	\$161,950	\$189,950	\$206,475	150.3%
85353	Estrella	\$101,482	\$104,000	\$87,000	\$104,880	\$140,000	\$153,950	\$167,288	\$186,250	\$201,000	\$220,000	116.8%
85339	Laveen	\$131,362	\$125,000	\$105,000	\$124,950	\$159,864	\$172,125	\$180,369	\$199,950	\$218,998	\$241,375	83.7%
85031	Maryvale	\$40,000	\$43,050	\$40,000	\$46,275	\$76,859	\$93,450	\$112,750	\$136,500	\$150,000	\$178,000	345.0%
85033	Maryvale	\$43,400	\$47,000	\$43,000	\$57,502	\$83,500	\$102,875	\$127,450	\$142,500	\$161,250	\$180,075	314.9%
85035	Maryvale	\$41,000	\$43,000	\$40,000	\$51,975	\$78,500	\$105,225	\$126,500	\$144,250	\$160,250	\$177,125	332.0%
85037	Maryvale	\$70,000	\$74,900	\$66,500	\$80,250	\$118,500	\$128,700	\$143,000	\$160,000	\$175,475	\$194,250	177.5%
85305	Maryvale	\$165,000	\$153,000	\$155,240	\$165,125	\$191,500	\$209,000	\$211,500	\$236,250	\$253,975	\$264,750	60.5%
85307	Maryvale	\$97,750	\$87,500	\$79,900	\$98,000	\$133,200	\$142,400	\$158,225	\$173,951	\$193,000	\$212,500	117.4%
85085	North Gateway	\$260,000	\$245,000	\$227,000	\$233,500	\$277,225	\$307,000	\$312,475	\$326,748	\$327,218	\$351,024	35.0%
85086	North Gateway	\$215,000	\$206,000	\$204,000	\$237,450	\$255,500	\$281,125	\$295,250	\$294,000	\$315,000	\$342,450	59.3%
85020	North Mountain	\$135,000	\$149,900	\$115,000	\$111,500	\$154,500	\$159,414	\$195,750	\$188,625	\$229,875	\$240,250	78.0%
85021	North Mountain	\$137,000	\$110,000	\$111,650	\$127,213	\$178,725	\$209,000	\$224,950	\$234,500	\$267,375	\$295,750	115.9%
85029	North Mountain	\$78,775	\$81,500	\$67,160	\$92,475	\$130,625	\$141,175	\$153,950	\$175,000	\$189,750	\$209,500	165.9%
85051	North Mountain	\$63,250	\$75,000	\$58,000	\$76,050	\$116,000	\$120,000	\$146,475	\$157,250	\$174,750	\$200,950	217.7%
85304	North Mountain	\$110,000	\$108,000	\$89,000	\$116,975	\$150,250	\$165,000	\$178,250	\$195,250	\$217,250	\$233,750	112.5%
85022	Paradise Valley	\$172,000	\$162,750	\$138,000	\$130,450	\$179,150	\$193,225	\$218,975	\$228,625	\$240,975	\$251,715	46.3%
85028	Paradise Valley	\$217,000	\$225,000	\$194,500	\$239,375	\$287,500	\$299,000	\$317,500	\$336,125	\$358,475	\$385,500	77.6%
85032	Paradise Valley	\$136,750	\$120,000	\$110,000	\$133,944	\$172,975	\$185,788	\$203,500	\$227,500	\$243,700	\$263,250	92.5%
85050	Paradise Valley	\$276,000	\$261,000	\$220,000	\$234,975	\$271,125	\$279,250	\$291,700	\$315,750	\$325,375	\$347,700	26.0%
85253	Paradise Valley	\$1,322,500	\$1,168,750	\$1,060,000	\$940,000	\$1,178,750	\$1,175,500	\$1,161,875	\$1,145,000	\$1,296,061	\$1,399,000	5.8%
85254	Paradise Valley	\$310,000	\$290,000	\$275,000	\$304,750	\$371,344	\$389,000	\$398,000	\$412,500	\$435,000	\$452,500	46.0%
85087	Rio Vista	\$225,000	\$216,500	\$195,500	\$230,250	\$266,875	\$283,250	\$308,375	\$330,500	\$321,000	\$354,995	57.8%
85040	South Mountain	\$62,500	\$69,000	\$58,000	\$51,750	\$87,050	\$103,325	\$124,000	\$138,250	\$162,500	\$190,500	204.8%
85041	South Mountain	\$99,000	\$95,000	\$80,000	\$97,458	\$132,000	\$145,500	\$157,875	\$174,250	\$196,360	\$217,500	119.7%
85042	South Mountain	\$95,000	\$106,000	\$104,250	\$101,750	\$139,875	\$155,725	\$176,500	\$199,250	\$219,375	\$236,625	149.1%

\*2009 - 2011 data extracted from <http://www.azcentral.com/realestate/homevalues/homevalue.php> from The Information Market. 2012-2018 data extracted from ARMLS Quarterly Market Watch Reports from <https://armls.com/statistics>.

\*\*The Primary Village is assigned to the zip code with the greatest allocation percentage for all zip codes falling within the City's Planning Village Boundaries.

**Appendix E-II: Number of Home Sales in Phoenix Zip Codes, 2009 - 2018\***

<b>Zip Code</b>	<b>Primary Village**</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>% Change ('09 - '18)</b>
85044	Ahwatukee Foothills	409	468	575	689	673	615	695	713	704	581	42.1%
85045	Ahwatukee Foothills	185	167	188	227	189	146	211	193	209	150	-18.9%
85048	Ahwatukee Foothills	494	534	617	692	764	633	713	816	748	599	21.3%
85012	Alhambra	58	65	59	145	157	140	160	160	153	137	136.2%
85013	Alhambra	205	228	301	380	370	385	412	413	403	310	51.2%
85015	Alhambra	377	332	366	535	535	474	426	463	483	425	12.7%
85017	Alhambra	545	349	325	389	305	303	301	299	293	235	-56.9%
85019	Alhambra	545	390	419	297	256	266	306	268	289	237	-56.5%
85008	Camelback East	467	369	402	517	477	385	382	424	459	383	-18.0%
85014	Camelback East	213	228	245	457	439	419	426	485	510	381	78.9%
85016	Camelback East	364	374	444	767	809	694	751	790	816	671	84.3%
85018	Camelback East	375	490	604	841	835	701	844	866	922	699	86.4%
85251	Camelback East	225	249	329	1,005	1,025	992	1,085	1,150	1,281	972	332.0%
85003	Central City	65	62	72	126	115	93	116	123	159	140	115.4%
85004	Central City	17	11	22	81	106	116	101	79	105	102	500.0%
85007	Central City	153	125	136	161	187	160	181	153	190	136	-11.1%
85034	Central City	18	25	12	29	30	17	16	25	22	30	66.7%
85023	Deer Valley	516	448	568	590	495	424	491	515	545	444	-14.0%
85024	Deer Valley	398	437	525	578	558	492	565	551	604	497	24.9%
85027	Deer Valley	566	598	713	715	611	574	581	637	647	517	-8.7%
85053	Deer Valley	506	469	508	544	463	394	432	484	447	369	-27.1%
85083	Deer Valley	570	612	573	419	449	361	379	435	458	370	-35.1%
85306	Deer Valley	340	311	395	401	320	317	349	374	407	299	-12.1%
85308	Deer Valley	1108	1068	1252	1,246	1,287	1,147	1,219	1,272	1,261	988	-10.8%
85310	Deer Valley	383	411	500	472	415	349	411	386	420	306	-20.1%
85383	Deer Valley	1416	1306	1453	1,145	1,133	1,031	1,302	1,353	1,589	1,334	-5.8%
85054	Desert View	67	60	67	178	131	105	160	178	216	170	153.7%
85255	Desert View	864	931	1042	1,263	1,233	1,068	1,209	1,181	1,320	1,167	35.1%
85331	Desert View	578	647	783	788	773	670	768	812	797	675	16.8%
85006	Encanto	294	280	295	330	288	230	248	280	314	225	-23.5%

**Appendix E-II: Number of Home Sales in Phoenix Zip Codes, 2009 - 2018\***

<b>Zip Code</b>	<b>Primary Village**</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>% Change ('09 - '18)</b>
85009	Estrella	371	219	198	321	268	256	261	271	327	243	-34.5%
85043	Estrella	1230	677	806	603	461	387	477	505	572	454	-63.1%
85353	Estrella	1,388	1,009	1,105	762	613	541	623	632	631	518	-62.7%
85339	Laveen	1526	1487	1514	1,017	866	742	879	984	902	651	-57.3%
85031	Maryvale	652	436	440	370	287	232	288	278	364	216	-66.9%
85033	Maryvale	1421	844	857	716	659	467	529	576	659	525	-63.1%
85035	Maryvale	962	533	653	461	428	322	331	412	453	301	-68.7%
85037	Maryvale	1951	1171	1220	901	751	644	645	708	755	608	-68.8%
85305	Maryvale	235	201	286	225	167	153	173	204	218	150	-36.2%
85307	Maryvale	226	144	153	143	102	87	113	107	112	77	-65.9%
85085	North Gateway	663	689	570	509	501	400	483	572	591	498	-24.9%
85086	North Gateway	1423	1347	1319	1,306	1,224	970	1,092	1,132	1,246	934	-34.4%
85020	North Mountain	313	331	371	717	686	639	719	782	809	605	93.3%
85021	North Mountain	299	297	362	447	410	390	395	467	510	346	15.7%
85029	North Mountain	738	675	722	739	583	532	597	632	643	528	-28.5%
85051	North Mountain	781	596	701	686	652	540	551	563	621	503	-35.6%
85304	North Mountain	412	438	565	542	414	383	424	432	454	418	1.5%
85022	Paradise Valley	489	482	633	847	747	776	819	826	903	671	37.2%
85028	Paradise Valley	272	326	370	452	443	397	464	445	458	340	25.0%
85032	Paradise Valley	886	910	1142	1,320	1,169	1,090	1,143	1,196	1,229	1,001	13.0%
85050	Paradise Valley	605	618	714	701	676	641	734	658	705	620	2.5%
85253	Paradise Valley	272	348	411	477	449	440	442	439	534	428	57.4%
85254	Paradise Valley	648	747	836	1,100	1,050	845	989	1,044	1,069	884	36.4%
85087	Rio Vista	300	244	253	216	196	149	211	161	204	198	-34.0%
85040	South Mountain	425	330	316	425	315	324	327	357	376	344	-19.1%
85041	South Mountain	1487	1146	1326	1,009	797	731	796	797	946	685	-53.9%
85042	South Mountain	720	579	728	669	556	506	537	605	667	541	-24.9%

\*2009 - 2011 data extracted from <http://www.azcentral.com/realestate/homevalues/homevalue.php> from The Information Market. 2012-2018 data extracted from ARMLS Quarterly Market Watch Reports from <https://armls.com/statistics>.

\*\*The Primary Village is assigned to the zip code with the greatest allocation percentage for all zip codes falling within the City's Planning Village Boundaries.



**Appendix E-III: Fair Market Rents in Phoenix, 2000 - 2019\***

Year	Fair Market Rent (40th Percentile Including Utilities)					Hourly Wage Necessary (Using 30% of Gross Income Standard)				
	0 Bedrooms	1 Bedrooms	2 Bedrooms	3 Bedrooms	4 Bedrooms	0 Bedrooms	1 Bedrooms	2 Bedrooms	3 Bedrooms	4 Bedrooms
2000	\$422	\$512	\$642	\$893	\$1,052	\$8.12	\$9.85	\$12.35	\$17.17	\$20.23
2001	\$449	\$544	\$683	\$950	\$1,119	\$8.63	\$10.46	\$13.13	\$18.27	\$21.52
2002	\$500	\$605	\$760	\$1,057	\$1,245	\$9.62	\$11.63	\$14.62	\$20.33	\$23.94
2003	\$530	\$641	\$806	\$1,121	\$1,320	\$10.19	\$12.33	\$15.50	\$21.56	\$25.38
2004	\$549	\$664	\$835	\$1,161	\$1,367	\$10.56	\$12.77	\$16.06	\$22.33	\$26.29
2005	\$578	\$677	\$817	\$1,190	\$1,420	\$11.12	\$13.02	\$15.71	\$22.88	\$27.31
2006	\$544	\$638	\$770	\$1,122	\$1,338	\$10.46	\$12.27	\$14.81	\$21.58	\$25.73
2007	\$553	\$648	\$782	\$1,139	\$1,359	\$10.63	\$12.46	\$15.04	\$21.90	\$26.13
2008	\$609	\$715	\$862	\$1,256	\$1,498	\$11.71	\$13.75	\$16.58	\$24.15	\$28.81
2009	\$624	\$727	\$877	\$1,277	\$1,495	\$12.00	\$13.98	\$16.87	\$24.56	\$28.75
2010	\$654	\$762	\$919	\$1,338	\$1,567	\$12.58	\$14.65	\$17.67	\$25.73	\$30.13
2011	\$666	\$776	\$936	\$1,363	\$1,596	\$12.81	\$14.92	\$18.00	\$26.21	\$30.69
2012	\$619	\$721	\$870	\$1,267	\$1,483	\$11.90	\$13.87	\$16.73	\$24.37	\$28.52
2013	\$593	\$748	\$925	\$1,363	\$1,592	\$11.40	\$14.38	\$17.79	\$26.21	\$30.62
2014	\$614	\$774	\$957	\$1,410	\$1,647	\$11.81	\$14.88	\$18.40	\$27.12	\$31.67
2015	\$582	\$735	\$908	\$1,338	\$1,563	\$11.19	\$14.13	\$17.46	\$25.73	\$30.06
2016**	\$596	\$735	\$914	\$1,332	\$1,558	\$11.46	\$14.13	\$17.58	\$25.62	\$29.96
2017	\$624	\$757	\$944	\$1,374	\$1,594	\$12.00	\$14.56	\$18.15	\$26.42	\$30.65
2018	\$684	\$815	\$1,013	\$1,474	\$1,697	\$13.15	\$15.67	\$19.48	\$28.35	\$32.63
2019	\$744	\$868	\$1,073	\$1,551	\$1,776	\$14.31	\$16.69	\$20.63	\$29.83	\$34.15
<b>% Change - 2016 to 2019</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>14%</b>					

\* Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. <http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/fmr.html>.

\*\*Results differ from the figures reported in the 2016 Community Assessment based on 2016 figures reported HUD's website as of January 2019.

**Appendix E-IV: Residents in Owner-Occupied/ Rental Homes by Village**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain	
<b>Total Residents*</b>	6,655,323	1,580,068	81,313	136,094	143,224	53,623	178,998	55,792	56,119	88,481	59,497	236,321	19,554	168,074	174,827	4,872	123,279	
<b>Home Occupancy by Type</b>																		
Owner-Occupied (2014)	4,040,616	803,034	54,099	59,251	63,659	14,621	105,430	34,702	22,924	41,574	34,478	100,631	10,855	84,232	111,984	2,215	62,379	
% of Total (2014 Residents)	63.0%	54.3%	68.5%	45.0%	46.8%	29.0%	63.5%	73.7%	42.2%	53.5%	65.8%	46.7%	69.2%	51.7%	65.1%	69.3%	54.6%	
Renter-Occupied (2014)	2,373,033	674,902	24,859	72,404	72,296	35,828	60,502	12,375	31,373	36,193	17,881	114,881	4,835	78,553	60,124	983	51,815	
% of Total (2014 Residents)	37.0%	45.7%	31.5%	55.0%	53.2%	71.0%	36.5%	26.3%	57.8%	46.5%	34.2%	53.3%	30.8%	48.3%	34.9%	30.7%	45.4%	
Owner-Occupied (2017)	4,195,590	861,943	55,371	60,897	64,201	14,062	117,173	43,177	26,002	48,891	37,785	110,116	13,335	86,013	114,391	3,620	66,909	
% of Total	63.0%	54.6%	68.1%	44.7%	44.8%	26.2%	65.5%	77.4%	46.3%	55.3%	63.5%	46.6%	68.2%	51.2%	65.4%	74.3%	54.3%	
Renter-Occupied (2017)	2,459,733	718,125	25,941	75,196	79,023	39,561	61,825	12,615	30,116	39,590	21,713	126,206	6,218	82,061	60,436	1,252	56,371	
% of Total	37.0%	45.4%	31.9%	55.3%	55.2%	73.8%	34.5%	22.6%	53.7%	44.7%	36.5%	53.4%	31.8%	48.8%	34.6%	25.7%	45.7%	

\*Totals for occupied housing imply a population of 1,580,068 compared to the 1,597,866 person estimate for other tables; a similar difference exists in the statewide total.

**Appendix F: Additional Information Relating to Safety**

<b>Appendix Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
Appendix F-I: Crime in the City of Phoenix, Arizona, and the United States, 2003 - 2017	F-2

**Appendix F-I: Crime in the City of Phoenix, Arizona, and the United States, 2003 - 2017**

**PHOENIX - Number of Offenses Reported\***

Year	Population coverage	Violent crime total	Murder and nonnegligent manslaughter	Rape (legacy definition) <sup>1</sup>	Robbery	Aggravated assault	Property crime total	Burglary	Larceny-theft	Motor vehicle theft
2003	1,403,228	9,722	241	526	3,676	5,279	97,823	17,104	55,068	25,651
2004	1,428,973	9,465	202	490	3,723	5,050	94,406	16,496	53,041	24,869
2005	1,466,296	10,691	220	533	4,237	5,701	93,328	16,255	52,537	24,536
2006	1,517,443	11,195	235	550	4,363	6,047	90,050	16,150	49,811	24,089
2007	1,541,698	11,158	212	509	4,942	5,495	89,825	19,212	49,754	20,859
2008	1,585,838	10,465	167	481	4,825	4,992	82,689	18,783	48,685	15,221
2009	1,597,397	8,730	122	522	3,757	4,329	65,617	16,281	39,643	9,693
2010	1,445,632	8,001	116	522	3,250	4,113	61,415	15,626	38,012	7,777
2011	1,466,097	8,089	116	559	3,324	4,090	64,479	18,666	38,258	7,555
2012	1,485,509	9,458	123	556	3,516	5,263	60,777	17,912	35,678	7,187
2013	1,502,139	9,492	118	635	3,233	5,506	60,085	16,747	36,983	6,355
2014	1,529,852	8,749	114	1,006	2,952	4,677	56,977	14,316	35,461	7,200
2015	1,559,744	9,261	112	1,016	3,020	5,113	54,456	12,798	34,288	7,370
2016	1,586,611	10,700	146	1,019	3,272	6,263	58,552	13,024	37,568	7,960
2017	1,644,177	12,511	157	1,142	3,293	7,919	60,353	12,801	39,899	7,653
% Chg. (2008-2017)		19.6%	-6.0%	137.4%	-31.8%	58.6%	-27.0%	-31.8%	-18.0%	-49.7%

<sup>1</sup> Reported figures for Rape are based on the legacy definition for 2003-2013, while 2014-2017 data is only reported using the revised definition.

**PHOENIX - Crime rate per 100,000 population**

Year	Population coverage	Violent crime total	Murder and nonnegligent manslaughter	Rape (legacy definition) <sup>1</sup>	Robbery	Aggravated assault	Property crime total	Burglary	Larceny-theft	Motor vehicle theft
2003	1,403,228	693	17	37	262	376	6,971	1,219	3,924	1,828
2004	1,428,973	662	14	34	261	353	6,607	1,154	3,712	1,740
2005	1,466,296	729	15	36	289	389	6,365	1,109	3,583	1,673
2006	1,517,443	738	15	36	288	398	5,934	1,064	3,283	1,587
2007	1,541,698	724	14	33	321	356	5,826	1,246	3,227	1,353
2008	1,585,838	660	11	30	304	315	5,214	1,184	3,070	960
2009	1,597,397	547	8	33	235	271	4,108	1,019	2,482	607
2010	1,445,632	553	8	36	225	285	4,248	1,081	2,629	538
2011	1,466,097	552	8	38	227	279	4,398	1,273	2,610	515
2012	1,485,509	637	8	37	237	354	4,091	1,206	2,402	484
2013	1,502,139	632	8	42	215	367	4,000	1,115	2,462	423
2014	1,529,852	572	7	66	193	306	3,724	936	2,318	471
2015	1,559,744	594	7	65	194	328	3,491	821	2,198	473
2016	1,586,611	674	9	64	206	395	3,690	821	2,368	502
2017	1,644,177	761	10	69	200	482	3,671	779	2,427	465
% Chg. (2008-2017)		15.3%	-9.3%	129.0%	-34.2%	53.0%	-29.6%	-34.3%	-21.0%	-51.5%

\* Source: FBI Uniform Crime Reporting Statistics at <https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2017/crime-in-the-u.s.-2017/tables/table-8/table-8-state-cuts/arizona.xls>. Accessed January 30, 2019

**Appendix F-I: Crime in the City of Phoenix, Arizona, and the United States, 2003 - 2017**

**ARIZONA - Number of Offenses Reported\***

Year	Population coverage	Violent crime total	Murder and nonnegligent manslaughter	Rape (legacy definition) <sup>1</sup>	Robbery	Aggravated assault	Property crime total	Burglary	Larceny-theft	Motor vehicle theft
2003	5,580,811	28,638	441	1,856	7,619	18,722	314,335	58,613	198,725	56,997
2004	5,743,834	28,952	414	1,896	7,721	18,921	306,747	56,885	194,556	55,306
2005	5,939,292	30,478	445	2,006	8,579	19,448	287,345	56,328	176,112	54,905
2006	6,166,318	30,916	465	1,941	9,226	19,284	285,370	57,055	173,466	54,849
2007	6,338,755	30,600	468	1,856	9,618	18,658	279,794	57,825	173,580	48,389
2008	6,500,180	29,059	407	1,673	9,697	17,282	278,920	56,481	185,221	37,218
2009	6,595,778	26,929	354	2,110	8,099	16,366	234,582	53,412	155,184	25,986
2010	6,392,017	26,085	409	2,165	6,937	16,574	225,893	50,771	153,614	21,508
2011	6,482,505	26,311	405	2,264	7,127	16,515	230,422	54,929	155,664	19,829
2012	6,553,255	28,108	358	2,277	7,386	18,087	231,930	52,934	159,838	19,158
2013	6,626,624	27,599	357	2,343	6,702	17,490	225,243	48,533	159,272	17,438
2014	6,731,484	26,916	319	2,464	6,249	16,970	215,240	43,562	154,091	17,587
2015	6,828,065	28,012	309	2,294	6,360	18,235	207,107	37,957	152,365	16,785
2016	6,931,071	32,583	380	2,452	7,055	21,858	206,432	37,736	150,275	18,421
2017	7,016,270	35,644	416	3,581	7,440	24,207	204,515	37,627	147,830	19,058
% Chg. (2008-2017)		22.7%	2.2%	114.0%	-23.3%	40.1%	-26.7%	-33.4%	-20.2%	-48.8%

<sup>1</sup> Reported figures for Rape are based on the legacy definition for 2003-2016, while 2017 data is only reported using the revised definition.

**ARIZONA - Crime rate per 100,000 population**

Year	Population coverage	Violent crime total	Murder and nonnegligent manslaughter	Rape (legacy definition) <sup>1</sup>	Robbery	Aggravated assault	Property crime total	Burglary	Larceny-theft	Motor vehicle theft
2003	5,580,811	513	8	33	137	335	5,632	1,050	3,561	1,021
2004	5,743,834	504	7	33	134	329	5,340	990	3,387	963
2005	5,939,292	513	7	34	144	327	4,838	948	2,965	924
2006	6,166,318	501	8	31	150	313	4,628	925	2,813	889
2007	6,338,755	483	7	29	152	294	4,414	912	2,738	763
2008	6,500,180	447	6	26	149	266	4,291	869	2,849	573
2009	6,595,778	408	5	32	123	248	3,557	810	2,353	394
2010	6,392,017	408	6	34	109	259	3,534	794	2,403	336
2011	6,482,505	406	6	35	110	255	3,555	847	2,401	306
2012	6,553,255	429	5	35	113	276	3,539	808	2,439	292
2013	6,626,624	416	5	35	101	264	3,399	732	2,404	263
2014	6,731,484	400	5	37	93	252	3,198	647	2,289	261
2015	6,828,065	410	5	34	93	267	3,033	556	2,231	246
2016	6,931,071	470	5	35	102	315	2,978	544	2,168	266
2017	7,016,270	508	6	51	106	345	2,915	536	2,107	272
% Chg. (2008-2017)		13.6%	-5.3%	98.3%	-28.9%	29.8%	-32.1%	-38.3%	-26.1%	-52.6%

\* Source: FBI Uniform Crime Reporting Statistics at <https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2017/crime-in-the-u.s.-2017/topic-pages/tables/table-5>  
 Accessed January 30, 2019

**Appendix F-I: Crime in the City of Phoenix, Arizona, and the United States, 2003 - 2017**

**UNITED STATES - Number of Offenses Reported\*/\*\***

Year	Population coverage	Violent crime total	Murder and nonnegligent manslaughter	Rape (legacy definition)	Robbery	Aggravated assault	Property crime total	Burglary	Larceny-theft	Motor vehicle theft
2003	290,788,976	1,383,676	16,528	93,883	414,235	859,030	10,442,862	2,154,834	7,026,802	1,261,226
2004	293,656,842	1,360,088	16,148	95,089	401,470	847,381	10,319,386	2,144,446	6,937,089	1,237,851
2005	296,507,061	1,390,745	16,740	94,347	417,438	862,220	10,174,754	2,155,448	6,783,447	1,235,859
2006	299,398,484	1,435,123	17,309	94,472	449,246	874,096	10,019,601	2,194,993	6,626,363	1,198,245
2007	301,621,157	1,422,970	17,128	92,160	447,324	866,358	9,882,212	2,190,198	6,591,542	1,100,472
2008	304,059,724	1,394,461	16,465	90,750	443,563	843,683	9,774,152	2,228,887	6,586,206	959,059
2009	307,006,550	1,325,896	15,399	89,241	408,742	812,514	9,337,060	2,203,313	6,338,095	795,652
2010	309,330,219	1,251,248	14,722	85,593	369,089	781,844	9,112,625	2,168,459	6,204,601	739,565
2011	311,587,816	1,206,005	14,661	84,175	354,746	752,423	9,052,743	2,185,140	6,151,095	716,508
2012	313,873,685	1,217,057	14,856	85,141	355,051	762,009	9,001,992	2,109,932	6,168,874	723,186
2013	316,497,531	1,168,298	14,319	82,109	345,093	726,777	8,651,892	1,932,139	6,019,465	700,288
2014	318,907,401	1,153,022	14,164	84,864	322,905	731,089	8,209,010	1,713,153	5,809,054	686,803
2015	320,896,618	1,199,310	15,883	91,261	328,109	764,057	8,024,115	1,587,564	5,723,488	713,063
2016	323,405,935	1,250,162	17,413	96,970	332,797	802,982	7,928,530	1,516,405	5,644,835	767,290
2017	325,719,178	1,247,321	17,284	99,856	319,356	810,825	7,694,086	1,401,840	5,519,107	773,139
% Chg. (2008-2017)		-10.6%	5.0%	10.0%	-28.0%	-3.9%	-21.3%	-37.1%	-16.2%	-19.4%

**UNITED STATES - Crime rate per 100,000 population**

Year	Population coverage	Violent crime total	Murder and nonnegligent manslaughter	Rape (legacy definition)	Robbery	Aggravated assault	Property crime total	Burglary	Larceny-theft	Motor vehicle theft
2003	290,788,976	476	6	32	142	295	3,591	741	2,416	434
2004	293,656,842	463	5	32	137	289	3,514	730	2,362	422
2005	296,507,061	469	6	32	141	291	3,432	727	2,288	417
2006	299,398,484	479	6	32	150	292	3,347	733	2,213	400
2007	301,621,157	472	6	31	148	287	3,276	726	2,185	365
2008	304,059,724	459	5	30	146	277	3,215	733	2,166	315
2009	307,006,550	432	5	29	133	265	3,041	718	2,064	259
2010	309,330,219	405	5	28	119	253	2,946	701	2,006	239
2011	311,587,816	387	5	27	114	241	2,905	701	1,974	230
2012	313,873,685	388	5	27	113	243	2,868	672	1,965	230
2013	316,497,531	369	5	26	109	230	2,734	610	1,902	221
2014	318,907,401	362	4	27	101	229	2,574	537	1,822	215
2015	320,896,618	374	5	28	102	238	2,501	495	1,784	222
2016	323,405,935	387	5	30	103	248	2,452	469	1,745	237
2017	325,719,178	383	5	31	98	249	2,362	430	1,694	237
% Chg. (2008-2017)		-16.5%	-2.0%	2.7%	-32.8%	-10.3%	-26.5%	-41.3%	-21.8%	-24.7%

\* Source: FBI Uniform Crime Reporting Statistics at <https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2017/crime-in-the-u.s.-2017/topic-pages/tables/table-1>  
 Accessed January 30, 2019

\*\*2014 figures differ from 2016 Community Assessment based on 2014 results found in the FBI UCR statistics as of January 2019.

**Appendix G: Additional Information Relating to State and Federal Assistance  
Caseloads**

<b>Appendix Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
Appendix G-I: Select State and Federal Assistance Program Caseloads by Village	G-2

**Appendix G-I: Select State and Federal Assistance Program Caseloads by Village**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain	
<b>Total Residents</b>	6,809,946	1,597,866	81,454	137,691	143,920	57,569	179,683	55,901	56,713	92,593	59,517	236,578	19,964	170,001	176,400	5,898	123,986	
<b>Total Households</b>	2,482,311	552,178	31,792	46,850	61,974	19,358	68,523	21,356	24,030	22,533	16,053	60,559	7,308	64,086	69,580	1,644	36,533	
<b>Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP, formerly Food Stamps)</b>																		
Households - July 2013	475,180	133,959	1,491	17,491	12,107	10,338	7,411	825	6,978	8,337	4,467	24,907	197	15,957	7,640	32	15,782	
Households - July 2014	457,613	126,374	1,437	16,785	11,217	9,772	7,093	747	6,539	8,040	4,281	23,628	183	15,207	7,039	29	14,379	
Households - July 2015	442,082	122,695	1,402	16,609	10,718	9,722	6,788	678	6,473	7,800	4,187	23,132	173	14,885	6,470	32	13,627	
Households - June 2016	421,177	112,218	1,247	15,217	9,546	8,884	6,306	677	5,795	7,094	3,909	21,208	149	13,758	6,038	31	12,361	
Households - June 2017	406,768	107,567	1,224	14,838	8,942	8,903	6,037	668	5,723	6,765	3,723	19,637	161	13,523	5,789	29	11,605	
Households - June 2018	383,325	99,597	1,140	13,656	8,343	8,211	5,654	630	5,155	6,421	3,592	17,849	146	12,491	5,366	27	10,917	
% Change Since 2013	-19.3%	-25.7%	-23.5%	-21.9%	-31.1%	-20.6%	-23.7%	-23.6%	-26.1%	-23.0%	-19.6%	-28.3%	-26.0%	-21.7%	-29.8%	-14.3%	-30.8%	
% Change Since 2015	-13.3%	-18.8%	-18.7%	-17.8%	-22.2%	-15.5%	-16.7%	-7.1%	-20.4%	-17.7%	-14.2%	-22.8%	-15.5%	-16.1%	-17.1%	-15.1%	-19.9%	
<b>Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Cash Assistance</b>																		
Households - July 2013	15,744	5,079	58	769	438	279	230	13	228	367	199	1,081	6	593	194	1	623	
Households - July 2014	12,690	3,795	47	568	292	218	175	13	170	272	171	797	4	446	144	0	477	
Households - July 2015	10,456	3,201	28	466	250	203	142	11	146	224	160	712	3	386	114	0	357	
Households - June 2016	8,989	2,666	19	436	193	152	119	8	122	189	123	565	3	356	112	0	268	
Households - June 2017	7,763	2,257	18	372	164	133	108	7	113	170	110	447	2	298	86	0	230	
Households - June 2018	6,585	1,807	14	291	133	114	87	6	94	138	95	321	3	241	76	0	194	
% Change Since 2013	-58.2%	-64.4%	-76.2%	-62.2%	-69.5%	-59.0%	-62.2%	-54.2%	-58.9%	-62.5%	-52.1%	-70.3%	-42.0%	-59.3%	-60.9%	-81.3%	-68.9%	
% Change Since 2015	-37.0%	-43.5%	-51.7%	-37.6%	-46.6%	-43.7%	-38.5%	-44.3%	-35.6%	-38.7%	-40.3%	-55.0%	18.5%	-37.4%	-33.4%	-65.2%	-45.7%	



**Appendix G-I: Select State and Federal Assistance Program Caseloads by Village**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
<b>Total Residents</b>	6,809,946	1,597,866	81,454	137,691	143,920	57,569	179,683	55,901	56,713	92,593	59,517	236,578	19,964	170,001	176,400	5,898	123,986
<b>Total Households</b>	2,482,311	552,178	31,792	46,850	61,974	19,358	68,523	21,356	24,030	22,533	16,053	60,559	7,308	64,086	69,580	1,644	36,533

**Child Care Providers**

Total Providers - Oct 1, 2015	2,494	573	38	52	60	31	45	13	30	33	19	41	4	62	81	0	63
Total Slots - Oct 1, 2015	236,665	64,231	6,077	4,982	6,616	4,437	6,885	2,087	3,145	2,486	1,369	3,782	887	7,018	10,540	42	3,877
Total Providers - Oct 1, 2018	2,508	600	37	52	66	33	53	14	31	39	22	45	6	64	78	1	59
Total Slots - Oct 1, 2018	249,368	70,642	4,513	5,792	7,521	4,568	8,674	2,617	3,767	2,794	1,852	4,194	1,217	7,957	11,171	88	3,918
% Change in Providers	0.6%	4.7%	-2.6%	0.5%	9.4%	3.6%	18.3%	9.7%	2.3%	18.1%	14.3%	8.5%	43.0%	4.1%	-4.0%	308.9%	-6.0%
% Change in Slots	5.4%	10.0%	-25.7%	16.2%	13.7%	3.0%	26.0%	25.4%	19.8%	12.4%	35.3%	10.9%	37.2%	13.4%	6.0%	107.9%	1.0%
Licensed Centers - Oct 1, 2015	1,537	343	26	37	47	21	26	7	24	12	4	20	2	35	55	0	28
Slots - Oct 1, 2015	159,144	39,965	3,717	3,599	4,286	1,766	4,286	1,184	1,854	1,335	695	2,746	432	3,959	7,149	8	2,947
Licensed Centers - Oct 1, 2018	1,547	360	24	36	48	21	32	9	23	16	4	23	4	36	55	0	30
Slots - Oct 1, 2018	167,215	44,704	3,855	4,047	4,786	1,915	5,395	1,534	2,254	1,588	728	2,613	684	4,348	7,712	39	3,203
% Change in Providers	0.7%	5.1%	-7.6%	-2.8%	3.1%	3.0%	20.4%	27.5%	-3.9%	34.8%	4.0%	13.8%	62.8%	2.5%	0.7%	348.1%	6.9%
% Change in Slots	5.1%	11.9%	3.7%	12.5%	11.7%	8.4%	25.9%	29.6%	21.6%	18.9%	4.7%	-4.8%	58.3%	9.8%	7.9%	380.0%	8.7%
Lic. Public Schools - Oct 1, 2015	654	160	12	12	10	10	16	6	6	16	6	12	2	22	20	0	11
Slots - Oct 1, 2015	74,566	23,582	2,360	1,353	2,297	2,664	2,573	900	1,283	1,105	584	941	449	3,010	3,336	34	694
Lic. Public Schools - Oct 1, 2018	674	177	12	13	14	11	19	5	8	16	8	13	3	24	19	1	12
Slots - Oct 1, 2018	79,380	25,358	647	1,713	2,699	2,648	3,259	1,081	1,505	1,171	1,032	1,487	531	3,568	3,422	48	547
% Change in Providers	3.1%	10.3%	0.0%	10.2%	40.0%	6.9%	21.2%	-9.8%	27.7%	-0.2%	30.7%	11.4%	52.6%	8.8%	-9.2%	286.6%	13.6%
% Change in Slots	6.5%	7.5%	-72.6%	26.6%	17.5%	-0.6%	26.7%	20.1%	17.3%	6.1%	76.6%	58.0%	18.3%	18.5%	2.6%	41.4%	-21.1%
Sm. Group Homes - Oct 1, 2015	303	70	0	3	3	1	3	0	1	5	9	10	1	5	6	0	24
Slots - Oct 1, 2015	2,955	684	0	31	34	7	26	3	9	46	89	95	5	49	54	0	236
Sm. Group Homes - Oct 1, 2018	287	63	1	3	4	0	2	0	1	7	10	9	0	5	4	0	17
Slots - Oct 1, 2018	2,773	580	10	31	36	5	20	2	8	35	92	93	1	42	36	0	168
% Change in Providers	-5.3%	-10.2%		1.8%	7.3%	-29.4%	-18.6%	-19.7%	-4.7%	37.8%	6.6%	-5.6%	-71.7%	-5.5%	-29.7%	410.8%	-29.9%
% Change in Slots	-6.2%	-15.2%		1.8%	7.3%	-29.4%	-23.0%	-23.5%	-4.7%	-24.9%	3.8%	-2.0%	-85.4%	-14.9%	-33.0%	410.8%	-29.1%

**Dept. Of Economic Security Child Care Assistance (Under 6 Years Only)**

Total Children - Jul. 2015	19,393	5,259	117	706	448	225	351	29	220	321	268	962	9	708	278	1	613
Total Children - Jun. 2016	18,883	5,091	108	637	375	219	392	27	199	335	285	948	18	673	277	3	595
Total Children - Jun. 2017	19,022	5,370	120	628	418	250	385	32	211	339	305	990	19	739	294	4	638
Total Children - Jun. 2018	20,397	5,787	109	736	435	264	442	48	239	364	283	1,051	21	818	346	3	628
% Change Since 2015	5.2%	10.0%	-7.1%	4.2%	-2.8%	17.0%	25.7%	62.6%	8.8%	13.3%	5.5%	9.2%	128.9%	15.5%	24.5%	125.3%	2.4%

**Dept. Of Economic Security Child Care Assistance Waitlist (Under 6 Years Only)**

Waitlist - Jul. 2015	4,846	1,217	22	173	100	62	65	6	48	64	59	249	0	148	69	0	153
Waitlist - Jun. 2016	5,359	1,458	40	174	105	61	107	9	54	103	75	282	2	170	83	0	191
Waitlist - Jun. 2017	4,506	1,217	31	144	99	51	97	6	44	77	71	208	5	150	60	0	175
Waitlist - Jun. 2018	3,338	962	14	133	88	43	56	5	41	65	37	194	3	122	53	0	108
% Change Since 2015	-31.1%	-20.9%	-32.7%	-23.4%	-11.7%	-30.4%	-13.1%	-7.7%	-14.6%	1.5%	-37.8%	-22.2%	727.4%	-17.5%	-22.1%	-9.4%	-29.6%

**Appendix G-I: Select State and Federal Assistance Program Caseloads by Village**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
<b>Total Residents</b>	6,809,946	1,597,866	81,454	137,691	143,920	57,569	179,683	55,901	56,713	92,593	59,517	236,578	19,964	170,001	176,400	5,898	123,986
<b>Total Households</b>	2,482,311	552,178	31,792	46,850	61,974	19,358	68,523	21,356	24,030	22,533	16,053	60,559	7,308	64,086	69,580	1,644	36,533

**Child Protective Services Investigations**

Total - FY 2013	44,055	12,647	275	1,772	1,173	678	925	123	587	768	514	2,083	38	1,589	814	5	1,302
Total - FY 2014	45,944	13,086	261	1,759	1,200	728	942	123	603	807	500	2,265	34	1,700	863	4	1,296
Total - FY 2015	51,784	14,515	273	1,994	1,287	821	1,012	126	718	921	558	2,482	50	1,850	923	4	1,496
Total - FY 2016	49,939	12,254	265	1,567	962	628	1,003	125	525	856	554	2,113	66	1,495	825	19	1,251
Total - FY 2017	47,995	11,756	260	1,426	929	613	953	130	474	814	502	2,015	71	1,518	810	18	1,221
Total - FY 2018	48,046	12,181	273	1,467	937	618	1,014	152	479	818	584	2,102	54	1,627	800	17	1,240
% Change Since 2013	9.1%	-3.7%	-0.5%	-17.2%	-20.1%	-8.7%	9.6%	23.7%	-18.5%	6.5%	13.6%	0.9%	40.2%	2.3%	-1.7%	224.3%	-4.8%
% Change Since 2015	-7.2%	-16.1%	0.1%	-26.5%	-27.2%	-24.7%	0.2%	20.7%	-33.3%	-11.2%	4.6%	-15.3%	8.0%	-12.1%	-13.3%	364.1%	-17.1%

**Foster Care**

Total - FY 2013	14,406	3,472	48	472	268	181	277	15	153	242	136	675	13	415	200	1	378
Total - FY 2014	16,414	4,165	67	633	389	215	333	19	211	265	160	742	16	494	186	1	434
Total - FY 2015	17,981	4,701	63	690	423	269	351	23	253	275	209	835	16	576	192	1	523
Total - FY 2016	18,215	4,511	70	531	326	188	389	41	197	312	286	836	25	528	223	7	551
Total - FY 2017	16,552	3,887	70	413	268	177	328	40	162	238	256	741	27	399	215	6	546
Total - FY 2018	14,433	3,422	72	385	203	159	301	41	123	224	240	566	22	375	187	4	518
% Change Since 2013	0.2%	-1.4%	50.9%	-18.3%	-24.3%	-11.8%	8.6%	178.1%	-19.3%	-7.1%	77.0%	-16.2%	78.3%	-9.5%	-6.6%	336.3%	37.0%
% Change Since 2015	-19.7%	-27.2%	14.1%	-44.2%	-52.1%	-40.7%	-14.3%	75.9%	-51.3%	-18.4%	14.9%	-32.2%	37.4%	-34.9%	-2.7%	311.1%	-0.9%

**Subsidized Adoption**

Total - FY 2013	16,159	2,435	67	224	157	59	258	52	64	169	203	406	14	239	210	3	310
Total - FY 2014	18,843	3,044	87	288	182	83	295	54	79	220	262	536	17	312	244	4	383
Total - FY 2015	21,436	3,655	98	344	214	102	343	58	99	287	311	654	23	378	268	4	469
Total - FY 2016	20,279	3,564	102	282	188	105	406	55	91	281	274	599	31	416	263	16	456
Total - FY 2017	22,365	4,035	109	316	206	115	442	57	104	324	324	669	34	494	294	16	530
Total - FY 2018	24,264	4,421	119	354	247	128	461	61	121	351	359	738	38	527	315	17	585
% Change Since 2013	50.2%	81.6%	78.6%	58.2%	57.4%	118.6%	78.9%	17.4%	89.3%	107.2%	76.8%	81.6%	181.5%	120.2%	50.0%	413.2%	88.4%
% Change Since 2015	13.2%	21.0%	21.8%	2.9%	15.4%	25.7%	34.3%	5.4%	21.5%	22.1%	15.3%	12.8%	62.3%	39.4%	17.4%	295.0%	24.5%

**Subsidized Guardianship**

Total - FY 2013	1,939	399	3	48	18	15	9	2	15	41	14	104	1	45	14	0	72
Total - FY 2014	2,202	446	3	51	26	17	10	2	16	49	16	113	1	44	20	0	78
Total - FY 2015	2,510	525	5	56	28	22	14	2	18	57	20	130	2	54	28	0	87
Total - FY 2016	3,243	838	8	113	61	36	60	3	44	61	25	210	3	86	44	1	82
Total - FY 2017	3,339	887	9	117	69	42	64	4	48	58	26	214	3	100	46	1	85
Total - FY 2018	3,361	875	11	116	68	44	65	5	51	46	28	208	3	102	46	1	81
% Change Since 2013	73.3%	119.2%	260.6%	143.1%	282.4%	199.0%	608.5%	207.2%	248.4%	13.2%	100.7%	100.2%	365.8%	127.9%	225.8%	430.2%	12.1%
% Change Since 2015	33.9%	66.7%	119.6%	107.5%	144.2%	98.5%	351.7%	195.9%	177.4%	-19.2%	39.2%	60.2%	25.9%	86.9%	65.5%	89.7%	-7.3%

**Appendix G-I: Select State and Federal Assistance Program Caseloads by Village**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
<b>Total Residents</b>	6,809,946	1,597,866	81,454	137,691	143,920	57,569	179,683	55,901	56,713	92,593	59,517	236,578	19,964	170,001	176,400	5,898	123,986
<b>Total Households</b>	2,482,311	552,178	31,792	46,850	61,974	19,358	68,523	21,356	24,030	22,533	16,053	60,559	7,308	64,086	69,580	1,644	36,533

**Division of Developmental Disabilities (DDD)**

Total Individuals - FY 2013	31,696	7,466	344	667	505	234	840	180	233	466	294	1,094	80	990	866	23	650
Total Individuals - FY 2014	32,720	7,779	357	684	520	245	856	191	244	508	324	1,167	79	1,009	908	26	662
Total Individuals - FY 2015	34,210	8,132	365	708	560	252	899	192	247	546	343	1,231	84	1,059	929	25	692
Total Individuals - FY 2016	50,971	13,071	463	1,297	981	509	1,381	255	478	857	533	2,082	119	1,721	1,262	34	1,098
Total Individuals - FY 2017	44,285	10,976	399	1,032	813	400	1,144	228	380	710	490	1,790	110	1,367	1,105	34	973
Total Individuals - FY 2018	41,334	9,968	389	904	697	321	1,055	224	309	665	488	1,641	99	1,211	1,039	32	893
% Change Since 2013	30.4%	33.5%	13.2%	35.4%	37.9%	37.4%	25.5%	24.7%	32.7%	42.7%	66.3%	50.0%	25.0%	22.3%	20.0%	35.0%	37.4%
% Change Since 2015	20.8%	22.6%	6.8%	27.5%	24.5%	27.4%	17.2%	16.8%	25.4%	21.8%	42.3%	33.4%	17.9%	14.4%	11.9%	27.0%	29.0%

**Arizona Early Intervention Program (AzEIP)**

Individuals - July 2013	4,814	1,185	37	126	132	60	118	28	56	67	42	143	17	143	119	1	98
Individuals - July 2014	5,215	1,378	31	140	147	57	137	30	56	91	57	207	13	137	145	1	128
Individuals - July 2015	5,531	1,574	44	148	148	70	166	36	59	90	62	259	18	162	170	1	143
Individuals - June 2016	5,710	1,468	41	116	132	46	178	29	46	95	70	231	22	183	138	5	136
Individuals - June 2017	5,691	1,434	48	132	117	35	168	36	44	110	59	224	21	162	136	6	136
Individuals - June 2018	6,043	1,547	51	133	116	48	162	36	50	112	70	271	16	167	163	5	148
% Change Since 2013	25.5%	30.5%	35.9%	5.6%	-11.9%	-18.9%	36.7%	29.1%	-10.6%	68.2%	66.9%	89.7%	-6.5%	16.7%	37.2%	448.1%	51.5%
% Change Since 2015	9.3%	-1.7%	15.4%	-9.8%	-21.6%	-30.3%	-2.5%	-0.2%	-15.9%	24.6%	13.7%	4.9%	-12.1%	3.2%	-4.3%	436.8%	3.6%

**Social Security**

Total Individuals - 2014	1,207,102	181,173	9,907	15,826	18,164	6,704	20,024	5,930	7,197	6,578	5,190	17,863	1,207	25,350	27,548	224	13,461
Total Individuals - 2017	1,310,666	202,999	10,917	15,935	18,692	7,276	25,554	8,155	7,412	7,631	6,318	19,424	2,415	27,738	30,020	947	14,564
% Change	8.6%	12.0%	10.2%	0.7%	2.9%	8.5%	27.6%	37.5%	3.0%	16.0%	21.7%	8.7%	100.1%	9.4%	9.0%	322.5%	8.2%
Retired Workers - 2014	849,142	116,883	7,466	9,399	12,334	3,721	13,693	4,559	4,455	3,390	2,769	9,479	879	16,695	20,321	163	7,558
Retired Workers - 2017	952,601	137,431	8,392	9,956	13,188	4,271	18,331	6,531	4,836	4,298	3,614	11,020	1,874	18,882	22,725	725	8,789
% Change	12.2%	17.6%	12.4%	5.9%	6.9%	14.8%	33.9%	43.3%	8.6%	26.8%	30.5%	16.2%	113.3%	13.1%	11.8%	343.4%	16.3%
Disabled Workers - 2014	156,217	31,220	936	3,432	2,957	1,703	3,092	529	1,558	1,466	1,060	4,018	138	4,426	2,974	27	2,904
Disabled Workers - 2017	153,257	30,572	902	3,148	2,660	1,660	3,324	588	1,400	1,455	1,188	3,900	207	4,443	2,822	91	2,785
% Change	-1.9%	-2.1%	-3.6%	-8.3%	-10.1%	-2.6%	7.5%	11.1%	-10.2%	-0.7%	12.1%	-2.9%	49.4%	0.4%	-5.1%	237.6%	-4.1%
Widows, Parents, Children - 2014	201,743	33,070	1,505	2,995	2,873	1,279	3,239	841	1,184	1,722	1,361	4,365	190	4,229	4,254	34	2,999
Widows, Parents, Children - 2017	204,808	34,996	1,623	2,831	2,844	1,346	3,899	1,035	1,176	1,878	1,517	4,505	335	4,413	4,472	132	2,990
% Change	1.5%	5.8%	7.9%	-5.5%	-1.0%	5.2%	20.4%	23.1%	-0.7%	9.1%	11.5%	3.2%	76.1%	4.3%	5.1%	289.3%	-0.3%

## Appendix H: Head Start Delegate Agency Service Area Demographics and Maps

<b>Appendix Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
Appendix H-I: Select Demographics of Residents in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies	H-3
Appendix H-II: Select Demographics of Residents Living in Poverty in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies	H-7
Appendix H-III: Select Demographics of Children Under 5 Years of Age Living in Poverty in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies	H-10
Appendix H-IV: Select State Assistance Program Caseloads of Population Under Age 6 in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies	H-11
Appendix H-V: Service Area Demographics, Public Assistance Caseloads, and Maps	
Early Head Start Demographic Information	H-18
Early Head Start Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs	H-19
Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Early Head Start	H-20
Alhambra Demographic Information	H-21
Alhambra Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs	H-22
Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Alhambra	H-23
Booker T. Washington Demographic Information	H-24
Booker T. Washington Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs	H-25
Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Booker T. Washington	H-26
Deer Valley Demographic Information	H-27
Deer Valley Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs	H-28
Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Deer Valley	H-29
Fowler Demographic Information	H-30
Fowler Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs	H-31
Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Fowler	H-32
Greater Phoenix Urban League Demographic Information	H-33
Greater Phoenix Urban League Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs	H-34
Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Greater Phoenix Urban League	H-35
<i>Cartwright Demographic Information</i>	H-36
<i>Cartwright Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs</i>	H-37
<i>Isaac Demographic Information</i>	H-38
<i>Isaac Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs</i>	H-39
<i>Laveen Demographic Information</i>	H-40
<i>Laveen Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs</i>	H-41
<i>Pendergast Demographic Information</i>	H-42
<i>Pendergast Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs</i>	H-43
<i>Phoenix Elem. Demographic Information</i>	H-44
<i>Phoenix Elem. Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs</i>	H-45
<i>Riverside Demographic Information</i>	H-46
<i>Riverside Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs</i>	H-47
Murphy Demographic Information	H-48
Murphy Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs	H-49

**Appendix H: Head Start Delegate Agency Service Area Demographics and Maps**

<b>Appendix Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Murphy	H-50
Roosevelt Demographic Information	H-51
Roosevelt Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs	H-52
Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Roosevelt	H-53
Washington Demographic Information	H-54
Washington Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs	H-55
Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Washington	H-56
Wilson Demographic Information	H-57
Wilson Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs	H-58
Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Wilson	H-59

**Appendix H-I: Select Demographics of Residents in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Early Head Start	All Phoenix Head Start Delegates	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington Phoenix Elem.	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Phoenix Urban League (GPUL)	Pendergast	Phoenix Elem.	Riverside	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
<b>Total Residents</b>	6,809,946	1,597,866	767,459	954,823	76,106	23,802	144,037	37,762	131,245	43,958	51,188	45,344	32,288	8,055	312,078	13,179	114,304	229,764	3,791	
<b>Total Households</b>	2,482,311	552,178	242,583	355,279	26,846	9,133	90,627	9,731	31,849	11,647	13,718	22,649	11,558	2,132	93,554	2,617	33,267	88,371	1,132	
<b>Age</b>																				
Under 5 years	434,757	119,922	64,359	79,978	6,680	1,614	10,033	4,036	12,921	3,888	5,136	3,839	2,145	672	28,601	865	10,582	17,154	413	
% of Total	6.4%	7.5%	8.4%	8.4%	8.8%	6.8%	7.0%	10.7%	9.8%	8.8%	10.0%	8.5%	6.6%	8.3%	9.2%	6.6%	9.3%	7.5%	10.9%	
5 to 14 years	913,178	238,294	126,732	155,890	13,947	3,005	19,235	7,640	26,143	8,717	9,782	8,507	4,241	1,604	58,994	1,651	19,579	31,157	681	
% of Total	13.4%	14.9%	16.5%	16.3%	18.3%	12.6%	13.4%	20.2%	19.9%	19.8%	19.1%	18.8%	13.1%	19.9%	18.9%	12.5%	17.1%	13.6%	18.0%	
15 to 17 years	274,491	68,113	34,911	42,478	3,929	853	5,529	2,026	7,546	2,355	2,701	2,360	1,176	383	16,521	372	5,017	8,083	149	
% of Total	4.0%	4.3%	4.5%	4.4%	5.2%	3.6%	3.8%	5.4%	5.7%	5.4%	5.3%	5.2%	3.6%	4.8%	5.3%	2.8%	4.4%	3.5%	3.9%	
18 to 24 years	671,345	160,159	83,750	105,381	10,047	2,952	11,845	4,888	17,952	5,172	4,380	5,605	3,543	1,021	37,673	2,076	12,589	22,839	472	
% of Total	9.9%	10.0%	10.9%	11.0%	13.2%	12.4%	8.2%	12.9%	13.7%	11.8%	8.6%	12.4%	11.0%	12.7%	12.1%	15.8%	11.0%	9.9%	12.4%	
25 to 34 years	917,814	250,197	117,802	150,336	11,587	4,575	23,034	6,603	18,894	6,427	8,375	6,687	6,077	1,218	47,678	2,886	18,152	34,977	843	
% of Total	13.5%	15.7%	15.3%	15.7%	15.2%	19.2%	16.0%	17.5%	14.4%	14.6%	16.4%	14.7%	18.8%	15.1%	15.3%	21.9%	15.9%	15.2%	22.2%	
35 to 44 years	841,712	221,230	105,073	130,403	10,665	3,297	21,361	5,368	16,704	5,977	7,637	6,375	4,386	1,373	42,452	1,901	15,250	29,613	496	
% of Total	12.4%	13.8%	13.7%	13.7%	14.0%	13.9%	14.8%	14.2%	12.7%	13.6%	14.9%	14.1%	13.6%	17.0%	13.6%	14.4%	13.3%	12.9%	13.1%	
45 to 54 years	840,910	208,299	94,151	115,734	8,496	2,655	20,866	3,298	14,755	4,842	5,856	5,162	4,075	704	35,394	1,628	12,897	30,171	328	
% of Total	12.3%	13.0%	12.3%	12.1%	11.2%	11.2%	14.5%	8.7%	11.2%	11.0%	11.4%	11.4%	12.6%	8.7%	11.3%	12.4%	11.3%	13.1%	8.7%	
55 to 64 years	809,377	170,182	73,386	91,383	5,585	2,541	17,206	2,380	9,107	3,347	4,257	4,012	3,349	731	24,803	1,129	10,771	26,762	207	
% of Total	11.9%	10.7%	9.6%	9.6%	7.3%	10.7%	11.9%	6.3%	6.9%	7.6%	8.3%	8.8%	10.4%	9.1%	7.9%	8.6%	9.4%	11.6%	5.5%	
65 to 74 years	644,932	98,829	41,612	51,984	3,575	1,537	10,093	946	4,276	1,997	2,107	1,938	2,091	305	12,714	481	6,158	16,374	106	
% of Total	9.5%	6.2%	5.4%	5.4%	4.7%	6.5%	7.0%	2.5%	3.3%	4.5%	4.1%	4.3%	6.5%	3.8%	4.1%	3.6%	5.4%	7.1%	2.8%	
75 years and over	461,430	62,641	25,684	31,256	1,596	772	4,836	576	2,947	1,235	959	860	1,206	43	7,249	188	3,309	12,633	97	
% of Total	6.8%	3.9%	3.3%	3.3%	2.1%	3.2%	3.4%	1.5%	2.2%	2.8%	1.9%	1.9%	3.7%	0.5%	2.3%	1.4%	2.9%	5.5%	2.5%	

**Appendix H-I: Select Demographics of Residents in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Early Head Start	All Phoenix Head Start Delegates	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington Phoenix Elem.	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Phoenix Urban League (GPUL)	Pendergast	Phoenix Elem.	Riverside	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
<b>Total Residents</b>	6,809,946	1,597,866	767,459	954,823	76,106	23,802	144,037	37,762	131,245	43,958	51,188	45,344	32,288	8,055	312,078	13,179	114,304	229,764	3,791	
<b>Total Households</b>	2,482,311	552,178	242,583	355,279	26,846	9,133	90,627	9,731	31,849	11,647	13,718	22,649	11,558	2,132	93,554	2,617	33,267	88,371	1,132	

**Household Composition**

Family: Married couple	1,180,957	231,433	105,457	157,437	9,228	2,180	51,911	4,413	14,361	4,840	7,508	11,311	3,294	1,029	42,344	795	13,310	32,975	282
% of Total	47.6%	41.9%	43.5%	44.3%	34.4%	23.9%	57.3%	45.4%	45.1%	41.6%	54.7%	49.9%	28.5%	48.3%	45.3%	30.4%	40.0%	37.3%	24.9%
Family: Male HH'er; no wife	134,890	37,905	19,947	27,777	2,794	577	4,467	892	3,935	954	1,325	1,836	865	221	9,136	337	3,197	6,317	60
% of Total	5.4%	6.9%	8.2%	7.8%	10.4%	6.3%	4.9%	9.2%	12.4%	8.2%	9.7%	8.1%	7.5%	10.4%	9.8%	12.9%	9.6%	7.1%	5.3%
Fam: Fem. HH'er; no husband	306,768	82,686	41,524	58,458	6,064	1,218	7,923	2,418	7,345	2,673	2,563	4,029	1,664	419	18,693	617	7,430	13,810	285
% of Total	12.4%	15.0%	17.1%	16.5%	22.6%	13.3%	8.7%	24.9%	23.1%	22.9%	18.7%	17.8%	14.4%	19.6%	20.0%	23.6%	22.3%	15.6%	25.2%
Non-Family: Male HH'er	418,488	97,449	39,808	52,656	3,513	2,486	13,134	911	2,911	1,432	1,087	2,284	2,553	175	10,443	325	4,119	17,506	219
% of Total	16.9%	17.6%	16.4%	14.8%	13.1%	27.2%	14.5%	9.4%	9.1%	12.3%	7.9%	10.1%	22.1%	8.2%	11.2%	12.4%	12.4%	19.8%	19.3%
Non-Family: Female HH'er	441,208	102,705	35,846	58,950	5,247	2,672	13,192	1,096	3,297	1,748	1,234	3,189	3,182	288	12,938	544	5,210	17,763	287
% of Total	17.8%	18.6%	14.8%	16.6%	19.5%	29.3%	14.6%	11.3%	10.4%	15.0%	9.0%	14.1%	27.5%	13.5%	13.8%	20.8%	15.7%	20.1%	25.4%

**Race/Ethnicity**

Amer. Indian/Alaska Native	266,680	29,442	12,859	19,887	1,965	1,278	1,862	753	813	200	599	676	1,154	192	3,633	218	4,351	5,826	0
% of Total	3.9%	1.8%	1.7%	2.0%	14.0%	5.4%	0.3%	1.6%	0.2%	2.7%	8.5%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	207,330	58,974	25,977	29,602	3,012	0	11,766	333	446	0	1,500	749	1,255	98	4,048	0	2,215	8,219	9
% of Total	3.0%	3.7%	3.4%	2.8%	28.5%	0.0%	0.1%	4.0%	0.0%	2.8%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Black/African American	276,146	102,287	48,968	67,944	4,644	2,348	4,823	2,033	6,892	826	8,890	2,433	1,742	322	21,106	50	14,331	18,131	478
% of Total	4.1%	6.4%	6.4%	7.2%	53.4%	9.1%	0.8%	23.4%	0.6%	3.7%	28.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic	2,103,523	675,595	445,150	571,454	58,015	12,757	26,269	31,173	114,632	39,716	28,219	35,694	18,763	6,861	243,886	12,587	81,172	102,673	2,921
% of Total	30.9%	42.3%	58.0%	59.3%	484.5%	47.6%	12.5%	74.3%	30.3%	39.8%	158.6%	15.0%	0.0%	0.0%	43.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nat. Hawaiian/Oth. Islander	12,009	3,164	2,934	3,108	0	0	1,679	877	15	0	362	0	0	0	377	0	175	0	0
% of Total	0.2%	0.2%	0.4%	0.4%	3.2%	0.0%	0.4%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White/Caucasian	3,786,418	698,194	207,647	235,905	7,375	7,014	90,724	2,090	5,812	2,882	10,076	4,997	8,905	522	33,194	283	10,054	84,835	335
% of Total	55.6%	43.7%	27.1%	22.8%	228.4%	26.7%	0.8%	26.6%	2.2%	19.9%	19.7%	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	149,244	27,824	22,710	25,426	1,007	377	6,755	453	2,424	79	1,436	737	426	53	5,156	39	1,802	9,795	41
% of Total	2.2%	1.7%	3.0%	2.5%	25.1%	1.4%	0.2%	3.8%	0.1%	0.9%	3.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	8,596	2,386	1,214	1,497	88	27	159	50	212	255	104	57	42	7	677	2	203	285	6
% of Total	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	1.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Appendix H-I: Select Demographics of Residents in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Early Head Start	All Phoenix Head Start Delegates	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington Phoenix Elem.	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Phoenix Urban League (GPUL)	Pendergast	Phoenix Elem.	Riverside	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
<b>Total Residents</b>	6,809,946	1,597,866	767,459	954,823	76,106	23,802	144,037	37,762	131,245	43,958	51,188	45,344	32,288	8,055	312,078	13,179	114,304	229,764	3,791	
<b>Total Households</b>	2,482,311	552,178	242,583	355,279	26,846	9,133	90,627	9,731	31,849	11,647	13,718	22,649	11,558	2,132	93,554	2,617	33,267	88,371	1,132	

**Citizenship**

Citizenship	6,273,238	1,386,897	647,564	804,272	57,397	19,931	135,561	30,814	99,214	30,539	46,081	38,355	27,213	7,075	248,476	10,511	96,101	202,529	2,953
% of Total	92.1%	86.8%	84.4%	84.2%	75.4%	83.7%	94.1%	81.6%	75.6%	69.5%	90.0%	84.6%	84.3%	87.8%	79.6%	79.8%	84.1%	88.1%	77.9%
Non-Citizen	536,708	210,969	119,895	150,548	18,709	3,869	8,476	6,948	32,032	13,419	5,107	6,989	5,075	979	63,602	2,668	18,204	27,235	836
% of Total	7.9%	13.2%	15.6%	15.8%	24.6%	16.3%	5.9%	18.4%	24.4%	30.5%	10.0%	15.4%	15.7%	12.2%	20.4%	20.2%	15.9%	11.9%	22.1%

**Language at Home (Households)**

English Only	1,800,438	359,278	140,737	214,813	10,936	5,452	74,960	3,493	8,988	2,627	7,543	12,691	6,887	992	39,730	642	17,688	61,429	484
% of Total	72.5%	65.1%	58.0%	60.5%	40.7%	59.7%	82.7%	35.9%	28.2%	22.6%	55.0%	56.0%	59.6%	46.5%	42.5%	24.5%	53.2%	69.5%	42.7%
Sometimes/Always Spanish	488,121	149,168	82,374	113,694	13,409	3,137	6,735	5,854	21,852	8,690	4,615	8,739	3,915	1,039	48,849	1,938	14,013	19,186	573
% of Total	19.7%	27.0%	34.0%	32.0%	49.9%	34.4%	7.4%	60.2%	68.6%	74.6%	33.6%	38.6%	33.9%	48.7%	52.2%	74.0%	42.1%	21.7%	50.6%
Sometimes/Always Other Lang.	193,752	43,732	19,472	26,771	2,501	544	8,932	384	1,009	330	1,560	1,219	756	101	4,975	38	1,566	7,756	75
% of Total	7.8%	7.9%	8.0%	7.5%	9.3%	6.0%	9.9%	3.9%	3.2%	2.8%	11.4%	5.4%	6.5%	4.7%	5.3%	1.4%	4.7%	8.8%	6.7%

**Linguistic Isolation (Households)**

Spanish Only - Ling. Isolated	79,520	28,273	16,987	22,436	3,411	803	607	805	4,288	2,714	450	1,276	846	130	9,705	557	3,082	3,306	159
% of Total	3.2%	5.1%	7.0%	6.3%	12.7%	8.8%	0.7%	8.3%	13.5%	23.3%	3.3%	5.6%	7.3%	6.1%	10.4%	21.3%	9.3%	3.7%	14.1%
Other Lang. Only - Ling. Iso.	28,613	7,294	4,612	5,214	1,010	45	974	28	190	192	160	244	337	5	1,129	14	175	1,808	31
% of Total	1.2%	1.3%	1.9%	1.5%	3.8%	0.5%	1.1%	0.3%	0.6%	1.7%	1.2%	1.1%	2.9%	0.3%	1.2%	0.5%	0.5%	2.0%	2.8%

**Educational Attainment (Age 25+)\***

Less than high school grad.	601,558	188,841	106,823	139,979	16,320	4,207	6,869	6,282	29,554	12,218	5,085	7,176	5,844	1,022	60,898	3,584	18,710	22,298	811
% of Total	13.3%	18.7%	23.3%	24.5%	39.3%	27.4%	7.1%	32.8%	44.3%	51.3%	17.4%	28.7%	27.6%	23.4%	35.8%	43.6%	28.1%	14.8%	39.0%
High School graduates	1,088,467	236,157	123,831	153,865	11,813	2,985	21,255	6,101	20,083	6,575	7,262	7,517	4,705	1,306	47,448	2,802	19,108	41,919	434
% of Total	24.1%	23.3%	27.1%	26.9%	28.5%	19.4%	21.8%	31.8%	30.1%	27.6%	24.9%	30.0%	22.2%	29.9%	27.9%	34.1%	28.7%	27.8%	20.9%
Some college, assoc. degree	1,532,831	302,439	138,517	167,255	10,201	3,637	36,305	4,889	13,641	4,179	9,552	7,391	5,370	1,385	41,517	1,397	17,875	50,975	460
% of Total	33.9%	29.9%	30.3%	29.3%	24.6%	23.6%	37.3%	25.5%	20.5%	17.5%	32.7%	29.5%	25.4%	31.7%	24.4%	17.0%	26.9%	33.9%	22.1%
Bachelor's degree or higher	1,293,318	283,941	88,536	109,996	3,171	4,548	32,965	1,899	3,405	854	7,291	2,951	5,264	662	20,426	431	10,846	35,338	372
% of Total	28.6%	28.1%	19.3%	19.3%	7.6%	29.6%	33.8%	9.9%	5.1%	3.6%	25.0%	11.8%	24.8%	15.1%	12.0%	5.2%	16.3%	23.5%	17.9%

\*Source Census tables measure counts for population 25 and older for which poverty status has been determined. Totals may differ from age counts measured in the "Age" table.



**Appendix H-I: Select Demographics of Residents in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Early Head Start	All Phoenix Head Start Delegates	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington Phoenix Elem.	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Phoenix Urban League (GPUL)	Pendergast	Phoenix Elem.	Riverside	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
<b>Total Residents</b>	6,809,946	1,597,866	767,459	954,823	76,106	23,802	144,037	37,762	131,245	43,958	51,188	45,344	32,288	8,055	312,078	13,179	114,304	229,764	3,791	
<b>Total Households</b>	2,482,311	552,178	242,583	355,279	26,846	9,133	90,627	9,731	31,849	11,647	13,718	22,649	11,558	2,132	93,554	2,617	33,267	88,371	1,132	
<b>Employment (Age 16+)</b>																				
Employed	2,976,533	749,370	417,014	493,695	35,797	10,744	127,406	16,064	50,091	15,117	22,146	36,684	13,496	3,744	141,277	2,984	47,800	109,965	1,658	
% of Total	55.4%	61.6%	60.4%	59.5%	55.6%	56.9%	64.5%	62.8%	55.8%	49.4%	62.8%	65.6%	52.8%	66.1%	58.2%	28.4%	58.1%	59.2%	63.0%	
Not Employed	2,394,808	467,756	273,609	336,703	28,600	8,124	70,148	9,525	39,749	15,478	13,103	19,207	12,043	1,917	101,497	7,535	34,418	75,882	974	
% of Total	44.6%	38.4%	39.6%	40.5%	44.4%	43.1%	35.5%	37.2%	44.2%	50.6%	37.2%	34.4%	47.2%	33.9%	41.8%	71.6%	41.9%	40.8%	37.0%	
<b>Income (Households)</b>																				
Less than \$15,000	297,060	68,700	33,181	48,964	6,239	2,535	5,119	1,256	5,372	3,409	1,065	1,775	3,002	230	14,853	886	5,139	12,665	273	
% of Total	12.0%	12.4%	13.7%	13.8%	23.2%	27.8%	5.6%	12.9%	16.9%	29.3%	7.8%	7.8%	26.0%	10.8%	15.9%	33.8%	15.4%	14.3%	24.1%	
\$15,000 to \$24,999	254,978	57,204	28,444	38,792	4,841	1,117	4,866	1,079	4,785	1,856	729	1,869	1,544	126	10,909	471	3,926	11,372	211	
% of Total	10.3%	10.4%	11.7%	10.9%	18.0%	12.2%	5.4%	11.1%	15.0%	15.9%	5.3%	8.3%	13.4%	5.9%	11.7%	18.0%	11.8%	12.9%	18.7%	
\$25,000 to \$34,999	255,311	57,201	27,678	38,986	3,622	1,030	6,707	1,286	4,604	1,681	1,140	2,238	1,523	311	11,497	394	3,846	10,442	161	
% of Total	10.3%	10.4%	11.4%	11.0%	13.5%	11.3%	7.4%	13.2%	14.5%	14.4%	8.3%	9.9%	13.2%	14.6%	12.3%	15.1%	11.6%	11.8%	14.2%	
\$35,000 to \$49,999	351,779	80,140	38,061	52,570	4,393	1,144	10,437	1,676	6,198	1,694	1,869	3,838	1,478	416	15,492	344	5,081	13,837	165	
% of Total	14.2%	14.5%	15.7%	14.8%	16.4%	12.5%	11.5%	17.2%	19.5%	14.5%	13.6%	16.9%	12.8%	19.5%	16.6%	13.2%	15.3%	15.7%	14.6%	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	462,612	102,337	47,373	66,410	4,424	1,359	17,251	2,196	6,062	1,617	3,015	5,359	1,458	514	18,025	330	6,009	16,646	169	
% of Total	18.6%	18.5%	19.5%	18.7%	16.5%	14.9%	19.0%	22.6%	19.0%	13.9%	22.0%	23.7%	12.6%	24.1%	19.3%	12.6%	18.1%	18.8%	15.0%	
\$75,000 to \$99,999	303,811	63,291	27,494	39,970	1,773	609	12,846	1,075	2,656	741	2,316	3,444	850	215	10,222	101	3,605	9,661	78	
% of Total	12.2%	11.5%	11.3%	11.3%	6.6%	6.7%	14.2%	11.0%	8.3%	6.4%	16.9%	15.2%	7.4%	10.1%	10.9%	3.9%	10.8%	10.9%	6.9%	
\$100,000 or more	556,760	123,305	40,351	69,587	1,553	1,339	33,400	1,162	2,173	649	3,583	4,127	1,703	320	12,556	90	5,662	13,748	75	
% of Total	22.4%	22.3%	16.6%	19.6%	5.8%	14.7%	36.9%	11.9%	6.8%	5.6%	26.1%	18.2%	14.7%	15.0%	13.4%	3.4%	17.0%	15.6%	6.6%	

**Appendix H-II: Select Demographics of Residents Living in Poverty in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Early Head Start	All Phoenix Head Start Delegates	Alhambra	Phoenix Booker T. Washington Elem.	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Phoenix Urban League (GPUL)	Pendergast	Phoenix Elem.	Riverside	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
<b>Total Residents</b>	1,197,365	336,294	186,424	245,398	29,264	9,478	13,553	9,928	45,485	18,646	9,066	8,104	11,216	1,588	94,106	6,761	29,790	50,789	1,728	
<b>Total Households</b>	372,559	95,931	54,356	70,023	9,178	2,987	6,371	2,173	9,907	4,895	1,843	2,956	3,524	415	23,541	1,245	7,497	16,619	413	
<b>Age</b>																				
Under 5 years	116,872	38,472	22,578	29,763	3,627	978	969	1,270	5,701	2,374	1,533	979	944	201	11,733	545	4,429	5,925	288	
% of Total	9.8%	11.4%	12.1%	12.1%	12.4%	10.3%	7.1%	12.8%	12.5%	12.7%	16.9%	12.1%	8.4%	12.6%	12.5%	8.1%	14.9%	11.7%	16.7%	
5 to 14 years	218,678	73,131	43,968	56,060	7,086	1,785	2,183	2,964	11,911	4,846	2,724	1,992	1,873	400	23,747	904	7,064	9,861	466	
% of Total	18.3%	21.7%	23.6%	22.8%	24.2%	18.8%	16.1%	29.9%	26.2%	26.0%	30.0%	24.6%	16.7%	25.2%	25.2%	13.4%	23.7%	19.4%	26.9%	
15 to 17 years	59,436	18,666	10,657	13,612	1,791	556	748	508	3,245	1,267	497	362	639	99	6,109	192	1,482	2,138	86	
% of Total	5.0%	5.6%	5.7%	5.5%	6.1%	5.9%	5.5%	5.1%	7.1%	6.8%	5.5%	4.5%	5.7%	6.3%	6.5%	2.8%	5.0%	4.2%	5.0%	
18 to 24 years	191,968	41,984	23,276	30,942	3,838	1,430	2,104	1,155	5,590	1,702	731	1,142	1,540	269	10,974	1,295	3,262	6,746	139	
% of Total	16.0%	12.5%	12.5%	12.6%	13.1%	15.1%	15.5%	11.6%	12.3%	9.1%	8.1%	14.1%	13.7%	16.9%	11.7%	19.2%	10.9%	13.3%	8.0%	
25 to 34 years	163,033	46,867	26,028	34,488	4,067	1,074	2,192	1,730	5,626	2,471	1,274	1,079	1,438	163	12,051	1,118	4,588	7,424	243	
% of Total	13.6%	13.9%	14.0%	14.1%	13.9%	11.3%	16.2%	17.4%	12.4%	13.3%	14.1%	13.3%	12.8%	10.3%	12.8%	16.5%	15.4%	14.6%	14.1%	
35 to 44 years	130,110	43,174	23,825	31,375	3,852	1,277	1,700	1,295	5,915	2,629	1,119	932	1,422	228	12,245	1,111	3,492	6,155	249	
% of Total	10.9%	12.8%	12.8%	12.8%	13.2%	13.5%	12.5%	13.0%	13.0%	14.1%	12.3%	11.5%	12.7%	14.3%	13.0%	16.4%	11.7%	12.1%	14.4%	
45 to 54 years	109,303	30,927	16,159	21,221	2,280	938	1,485	373	3,943	1,463	581	595	1,349	93	8,023	717	1,921	5,347	135	
% of Total	9.1%	9.2%	8.7%	8.6%	7.8%	9.9%	11.0%	3.8%	8.7%	7.8%	6.4%	7.3%	12.0%	5.8%	8.5%	10.6%	6.4%	10.5%	7.8%	
55 to 64 years	105,570	24,587	11,913	16,366	1,527	827	1,352	363	2,242	890	425	806	1,027	110	5,501	579	1,944	4,197	76	
% of Total	8.8%	7.3%	6.4%	6.7%	5.2%	8.7%	10.0%	3.7%	4.9%	4.8%	4.7%	9.9%	9.2%	6.9%	5.8%	8.6%	6.5%	8.3%	4.4%	
65 to 74 years	56,988	11,068	4,987	7,263	888	416	443	122	827	646	118	140	601	14	2,347	219	1,003	1,789	37	
% of Total	4.8%	3.3%	2.7%	3.0%	3.0%	4.4%	3.3%	1.2%	1.8%	3.5%	1.3%	1.7%	5.4%	0.9%	2.5%	3.2%	3.4%	3.5%	2.1%	
75 years and over	45,409	7,418	3,032	4,307	308	198	376	149	483	358	63	77	384	11	1,376	79	605	1,207	10	
% of Total	3.8%	2.2%	1.6%	1.8%	1.1%	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%	1.1%	1.9%	0.7%	1.0%	3.4%	0.7%	1.5%	1.2%	2.0%	2.4%	0.6%	

**Appendix H-II: Select Demographics of Residents Living in Poverty in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Early Head Start	All Phoenix Head Start Delegates	Alhambra	Phoenix Elem. Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Phoenix Urban League (GPUL)	Pendergast	Phoenix Elem.	Riverside	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
<b>Total Residents</b>	1,197,365	336,294	186,424	245,398	29,264	9,478	13,553	9,928	45,485	18,646	9,066	8,104	11,216	1,588	94,106	6,761	29,790	50,789	1,728	
<b>Total Households</b>	372,559	95,931	54,356	70,023	9,178	2,987	6,371	2,173	9,907	4,895	1,843	2,956	3,524	415	23,541	1,245	7,497	16,619	413	

**Household Composition**

Family: Married couple	84,533	22,134	14,066	17,237	2,073	540	1,375	699	3,371	1,414	575	965	543	99	6,967	304	1,672	3,496	111
% of Total	22.7%	23.1%	25.9%	24.6%	22.6%	18.1%	21.6%	32.2%	34.0%	28.9%	31.2%	32.6%	15.4%	24.0%	29.6%	24.5%	22.3%	21.0%	26.9%
Family: Male HH'er; no wife	24,401	7,520	4,665	5,993	971	155	345	174	943	380	360	157	306	87	2,232	108	741	1,249	19
% of Total	6.5%	7.8%	8.6%	8.6%	10.6%	5.2%	5.4%	8.0%	9.5%	7.8%	19.5%	5.3%	8.7%	20.9%	9.5%	8.7%	9.9%	7.5%	4.6%
Fam: Female HH'er; no husband	90,233	27,058	16,422	21,072	3,209	722	1,081	919	3,484	1,465	626	1,074	783	112	7,543	352	2,629	4,453	164
% of Total	24.2%	28.2%	30.2%	30.1%	35.0%	24.2%	17.0%	42.3%	35.2%	29.9%	33.9%	36.3%	22.2%	27.0%	32.0%	28.3%	35.1%	26.8%	39.6%
Non-Family: Male householder	80,209	20,180	9,800	12,732	1,511	779	1,825	185	1,371	874	183	382	874	68	3,751	206	1,020	3,402	53
% of Total	21.5%	21.0%	18.0%	18.2%	16.5%	26.1%	28.6%	8.5%	13.8%	17.9%	9.9%	12.9%	24.8%	16.3%	15.9%	16.6%	13.6%	20.5%	12.8%
Non-Family: Female householder	93,183	19,039	9,403	12,989	1,414	791	1,746	196	739	761	100	378	1,020	49	3,048	274	1,435	4,019	66
% of Total	25.0%	19.8%	17.3%	18.5%	15.4%	26.5%	27.4%	9.0%	7.5%	15.6%	5.4%	12.8%	28.9%	11.8%	12.9%	22.0%	19.1%	24.2%	16.1%

**Race/Ethnicity**

American Indian/Alaska Native	98,908	7,893	3,642	4,926	965	128	489	200	803	116	0	14	302	0	1,236	76	751	1,080	0
% of Total	8.3%	2.3%	2.0%	1.9%	10.1%	1.2%	1.0%	0.0%	0.3%	1.4%	8.2%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	31,417	6,136	3,317	3,757	1,415	0	530	0	0	0	178	2	211	0	390	0	74	1,339	9
% of Total	2.6%	1.8%	1.8%	1.4%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	1.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Black/African American	68,620	26,300	12,164	16,562	1,489	162	374	611	1,253	267	2,376	4	666	248	4,815	50	3,277	5,568	216
% of Total	5.7%	7.8%	6.5%	7.2%	33.7%	1.5%	3.1%	23.2%	0.6%	3.2%	35.9%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic	535,958	207,870	138,403	185,933	23,083	8,362	5,382	8,787	40,651	17,677	5,293	7,628	8,571	1,157	80,976	6,436	24,591	26,849	1,467
% of Total	44.8%	61.8%	74.2%	80.6%	384.7%	78.1%	44.7%	51.3%	38.8%	40.4%	270.2%	65.5%	0.0%	0.0%	58.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Native Hawaiian/Other Islander	2,695	637	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% of Total	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White/Caucasian	425,854	81,756	24,446	29,309	1,741	818	6,416	321	1,809	533	1,079	453	1,363	153	5,391	181	803	13,607	32
% of Total	35.6%	24.3%	13.1%	10.9%	67.4%	7.3%	1.6%	10.6%	1.2%	6.4%	8.9%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	32,056	5,245	4,252	4,662	539	5	357	0	897	47	122	3	95	27	1,191	17	261	2,290	2
% of Total	2.7%	1.6%	2.3%	1.8%	12.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.1%	0.4%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	1,857	459	198	248	32	3	5	9	72	5	19	0	8	3	106	0	33	56	3
% of Total	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Citizenship**

Citizenship	1,034,693	266,687	145,093	191,755	21,468	7,455	12,499	7,560	33,110	12,411	8,126	6,711	9,057	1,373	70,788	5,279	23,600	41,834	1,271
% of Total	86.4%	79.3%	77.8%	78.1%	73.4%	78.7%	92.2%	76.1%	72.8%	66.6%	89.6%	82.8%	80.7%	86.4%	75.2%	78.1%	79.2%	82.4%	73.6%
Non-Citizen	162,660	69,607	41,331	53,643	7,797	2,023	1,054	2,368	12,375	6,234	940	1,394	2,160	215	23,318	1,481	6,190	8,955	457
% of Total	13.6%	20.7%	22.2%	21.9%	26.6%	21.3%	7.8%	23.9%	27.2%	33.4%	10.4%	17.2%	19.3%	13.6%	24.8%	21.9%	20.8%	17.6%	26.4%

**Appendix H-II: Select Demographics of Residents Living in Poverty in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Early Head Start	All Phoenix Head Start Delegates	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington Phoenix Elem.	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Phoenix Urban League (GPUL)	Pendergast	Phoenix Elem.	Riverside	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
<b>Total Residents</b>	1,197,365	336,294	186,424	245,398	29,264	9,478	13,553	9,928	45,485	18,646	9,066	8,104	11,216	1,588	94,106	6,761	29,790	50,789	1,728	
<b>Total Households</b>	372,559	95,931	54,356	70,023	9,178	2,987	6,371	2,173	9,907	4,895	1,843	2,956	3,524	415	23,541	1,245	7,497	16,619	413	

**Educational Attainment (Age 25+)\***

Less than high school graduation	182,317	63,463	35,361	48,752	6,329	2,279	1,269	2,052	10,375	5,237	1,236	1,439	2,673	198	21,159	1,645	6,369	7,224	426
% of Total	29.9%	38.7%	41.1%	42.4%	49.0%	48.2%	16.8%	50.9%	54.5%	61.9%	34.5%	39.7%	43.0%	32.0%	50.9%	43.0%	47.0%	27.7%	56.8%
High School graduates	183,878	46,015	25,397	33,788	3,749	1,088	2,009	1,014	5,404	2,077	976	1,219	1,688	178	11,541	1,279	4,166	8,772	170
% of Total	30.1%	28.1%	29.6%	29.4%	29.0%	23.0%	26.6%	25.1%	28.4%	24.6%	27.3%	33.6%	27.1%	28.8%	27.8%	33.5%	30.7%	33.6%	22.6%
Some college, associates degree	172,539	39,575	19,484	25,039	2,416	921	2,766	857	2,770	995	1,065	709	1,324	226	7,089	775	2,439	7,680	98
% of Total	28.3%	24.1%	22.7%	21.8%	18.7%	19.5%	36.6%	21.3%	14.6%	11.8%	29.7%	19.5%	21.3%	36.6%	17.1%	20.3%	18.0%	29.4%	13.0%
Bachelor's degree or higher	71,678	14,988	5,703	7,442	429	442	1,505	108	488	148	303	263	535	16	1,753	125	580	2,444	56
% of Total	11.7%	9.1%	6.6%	6.5%	3.3%	9.3%	19.9%	2.7%	2.6%	1.8%	8.5%	7.2%	8.6%	2.6%	4.2%	3.3%	4.3%	9.4%	7.5%

\*Source Census tables measure counts for population 25 and older for which poverty status has been determined. Totals may differ from age counts measured in the "Age" table.

**Employment (Age 16+)**

Employed	283,935	75,572	47,793	55,282	7,207	1,951	5,005	2,139	9,720	3,900	1,495	3,053	2,066	308	20,543	650	5,737	11,647	405
% of Total	32.3%	33.2%	33.8%	32.1%	34.3%	30.3%	30.9%	38.1%	35.0%	32.3%	31.9%	41.5%	26.2%	32.9%	33.8%	12.6%	29.3%	32.1%	41.4%
Not Employed	594,132	152,100	93,689	116,677	13,780	4,496	11,172	3,477	18,041	8,170	3,195	4,308	5,831	628	40,173	4,503	13,858	24,646	572
% of Total	67.7%	66.8%	66.2%	67.9%	65.7%	69.7%	69.1%	61.9%	65.0%	67.7%	68.1%	58.5%	73.8%	67.1%	66.2%	87.4%	70.7%	67.9%	58.6%

**Appendix H-III: Select Demographics of Children Under 5 Years of Age Living in Poverty in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Early Head Start	All Phoenix Head Start Delegates	Alhambra	Phoenix Booker T. Washington Elem.	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Pendergast	Phoenix Elem.	Riverside	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
<b>Total Children Under 5 Years in Poverty</b>	116,872	38,472	22,578	29,763	3,627	978	969	1,270	5,701	2,374	1,533	979	944	201	11,733	545	4,429	5,925	288
<b>Est. EHS Eligible (0-2)</b>	70,123	23,083	13,547	17,858	2,176	587	581	762	3,421	1,424	920	588	567	120	7,040	327	2,657	3,555	173
<b>Est. HS Eligible (3-4)</b>	46,749	15,389	9,031	11,905	1,451	391	387	508	2,281	950	613	392	378	80	4,693	218	1,771	2,370	115
<b>Total Households</b>	72,749	23,355	13,744	17,799	2,330	563	600	949	3,352	1,422	795	636	531	130	6,865	274	2,549	3,531	139
<b>Household Composition</b>																			
Family: Married couple	26,995	8,759	5,224	6,675	668	224	240	392	1,457	598	335	213	196	57	2,856	112	859	1,265	60
% of Total	37.1%	37.5%	38.0%	37.5%	28.7%	39.7%	40.0%	41.3%	43.5%	42.1%	42.2%	33.5%	37.0%	43.7%	41.6%	41.0%	33.7%	35.8%	43.4%
Family: Male HH'er; no wife	8,995	2,877	1,697	2,195	392	83	68	60	319	195	216	24	71	48	873	22	312	375	10
% of Total	12.4%	12.3%	12.3%	12.3%	16.8%	14.8%	11.3%	6.4%	9.5%	13.7%	27.2%	3.8%	13.3%	37.2%	12.7%	8.0%	12.3%	10.6%	6.9%
Fam: Female HH'er; no husband	36,759	11,719	6,823	8,929	1,270	256	292	497	1,576	628	244	399	264	25	3,136	140	1,378	1,892	69
% of Total	50.5%	50.2%	49.6%	50.2%	54.5%	45.5%	48.7%	52.4%	47.0%	44.2%	30.7%	62.7%	49.7%	19.1%	45.7%	51.0%	54.1%	53.6%	49.7%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>																			
American Indian/Alaska Native	10,572	755	426	538	130	14	43	23	104	16	0	0	24	0	144	5	68	110	0
% of Total	9.0%	2.0%	1.9%	1.8%	3.6%	1.5%	4.5%	1.8%	1.8%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	1.2%	0.9%	1.5%	1.9%	0.0%
Asian	1,245	595	455	488	194	0	45	0	0	0	27	0	19	0	46	0	11	191	2
% of Total	1.1%	1.5%	2.0%	1.6%	5.3%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%	3.2%	0.7%
Black/African American	6,962	2,916	1,452	2,038	188	17	28	57	131	34	378	0	73	30	647	4	441	609	47
% of Total	6.0%	7.6%	6.4%	6.8%	5.2%	1.8%	2.9%	4.5%	2.3%	1.4%	24.7%	0.0%	7.8%	15.1%	5.5%	0.7%	10.0%	10.3%	16.1%
Hispanic	68,973	28,629	16,824	22,875	2,794	858	346	1,149	5,086	2,246	925	881	722	146	10,007	523	3,730	3,233	235
% of Total	59.0%	74.4%	74.5%	76.9%	77.0%	87.7%	35.7%	90.5%	89.2%	94.6%	60.4%	90.0%	76.4%	72.7%	85.3%	95.9%	84.2%	54.6%	81.5%
Native Hawaiian/Other Islander	234	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% of Total	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White/Caucasian	24,706	4,831	2,947	3,297	245	89	481	40	267	71	178	97	99	21	733	12	135	1,558	4
% of Total	21.1%	12.6%	13.1%	11.1%	6.7%	9.1%	49.7%	3.2%	4.7%	3.0%	11.6%	9.9%	10.5%	10.5%	6.3%	2.2%	3.1%	26.3%	1.4%
Two or More Races	4,076	672	451	499	72	0	26	0	104	6	22	0	7	3	143	1	39	218	0
% of Total	3.5%	1.7%	2.0%	1.7%	2.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	1.8%	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%	0.7%	1.6%	1.2%	0.2%	0.9%	3.7%	0.0%
Other	104	38	24	29	4	0	0	1	8	1	3	0	1	0	13	0	4	6	1
% of Total	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%

**Appendix H-IV: Select State Assistance Program Caseloads of Population Under Age 6 in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies**

Arizona	Phoenix	Early Head Start	All Phoenix Head Start Delegates	Alhambra	Phoenix Booker T. Washington Elem.	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Phoenix Urban League (GPUL)	Pendergast	Phoenix Elem.	Riverside	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
---------	---------	------------------	----------------------------------	----------	------------------------------------	-------------	--------	------------	-------	--------	-------------------------------------	------------	---------------	-----------	-------	--------	-----------	------------	--------

**Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP, formerly Food Stamps)**

Households - July 2013	475,180	133,959	71,068	98,940	10,516	3,865	5,275	3,155	15,209	5,512	3,816	3,454	6,826	680	35,498	2,208	14,440	23,450	533
Households - July 2014	457,613	126,374	67,846	93,793	10,080	3,684	5,104	2,983	14,341	5,248	3,679	3,349	6,452	644	33,712	2,105	13,204	22,419	502
Households - July 2015	442,082	122,695	66,451	91,643	9,999	3,639	4,909	2,893	13,988	5,170	3,621	3,282	6,470	623	33,152	2,064	12,522	21,968	497
Households - June 2016	421,177	112,218	61,102	84,123	9,143	3,221	4,586	2,529	12,700	4,910	3,395	3,021	5,984	541	30,550	1,984	11,373	20,277	460
Households - June 2017	406,768	107,567	58,400	80,695	8,841	3,426	4,420	2,400	11,691	4,650	3,241	2,777	5,842	516	28,717	1,880	10,683	19,864	463
Households - June 2018	383,325	99,597	53,949	74,722	8,101	3,106	4,146	2,237	10,561	4,341	3,152	2,564	5,412	490	26,520	1,784	10,038	18,358	433
% Change Since 2013	-19.3%	-25.7%	-24.1%	-24.5%	-23.0%	-19.6%	-21.4%	-29.1%	-30.6%	-21.3%	-17.4%	-25.8%	-20.7%	-28.0%	-25.3%	-19.2%	-30.5%	-21.7%	-18.7%
% Change Since 2015	-13.3%	-18.8%	-18.8%	-18.5%	-19.0%	-14.6%	-15.5%	-22.6%	-24.5%	-16.0%	-13.0%	-21.9%	-16.3%	-21.3%	-20.0%	-13.6%	-19.8%	-16.4%	-12.7%
HHs w/ Child Under 6 - July 2013	142,536	45,077	26,206	34,448	3,962	1,018	1,510	1,402	6,624	2,316	1,410	1,368	1,182	296	13,195	896	4,957	7,319	188
HHs w/ Child Under 6 - July 2014	129,471	40,448	23,752	31,089	3,584	893	1,400	1,286	5,948	2,080	1,286	1,271	1,090	272	11,946	800	4,388	6,626	166
HHs w/ Child Under 6 - July 2015	118,864	37,226	22,141	28,799	3,392	823	1,256	1,174	5,593	1,922	1,248	1,247	1,001	244	11,254	728	3,951	6,066	154
HHs w/ Child Under 6 - June 2016	112,630	34,232	20,412	26,571	3,086	751	1,204	1,016	5,081	1,788	1,200	1,125	937	208	10,338	683	3,645	5,705	142
HHs w/ Child Under 6 - June 2017	104,989	31,199	18,677	24,264	2,899	731	1,080	948	4,513	1,637	1,095	981	894	198	9,317	626	3,206	5,327	130
HHs w/ Child Under 6 - June 2018	94,318	27,685	16,566	21,538	2,555	611	988	854	3,869	1,460	1,056	862	795	183	8,224	568	2,884	4,740	114
% Change Since 2013	-33.8%	-38.6%	-36.8%	-37.5%	-35.5%	-39.9%	-34.5%	-39.1%	-41.6%	-37.0%	-25.1%	-37.0%	-32.7%	-38.3%	-37.7%	-36.6%	-41.8%	-35.2%	-39.7%
% Change Since 2015	-20.7%	-25.6%	-25.2%	-25.2%	-24.7%	-25.7%	-21.3%	-27.3%	-30.8%	-24.1%	-15.4%	-30.9%	-20.6%	-25.2%	-26.9%	-21.9%	-27.0%	-21.9%	-26.3%

**Appendix H-IV: Select State Assistance Program Caseloads of Population Under Age 6 in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Early Head Start	All Phoenix Head Start Delegates	Alhambra	Phoenix Elem. Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Phoenix Urban League (GPUL)	Pendergast	Phoenix Elem.	Riverside	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
<b>TANF Cash Assistance</b>																				
Households - July 2013	15,744	5,079	2,941	3,895	479	111	161	142	664	238	175	148	159	32	1,417	94	569	902	20	
Households - July 2014	12,690	3,795	2,207	2,953	341	83	122	101	490	173	150	116	133	23	1,086	70	444	689	15	
Households - July 2015	10,456	3,201	1,906	2,512	288	78	97	94	428	153	145	103	123	19	972	58	334	577	13	
Households - June 2016	8,989	2,666	1,625	2,091	257	51	80	72	350	123	111	76	103	16	780	49	252	540	10	
Households - June 2017	7,763	2,257	1,365	1,769	225	54	71	69	276	98	99	59	85	15	633	38	220	453	8	
Households - June 2018	6,585	1,807	1,062	1,403	170	51	66	48	186	85	87	46	64	11	480	35	183	362	8	
% Change Since 2013	-58.2%	-64.4%	-63.9%	-64.0%	-64.5%	-53.7%	-59.2%	-65.9%	-72.0%	-64.4%	-50.1%	-68.6%	-60.0%	-64.8%	-66.1%	-63.4%	-67.9%	-59.8%	-61.8%	
% Change Since 2015	-37.0%	-43.5%	-44.2%	-44.2%	-40.9%	-34.3%	-32.5%	-48.8%	-56.5%	-44.7%	-39.8%	-55.0%	-48.1%	-42.0%	-50.6%	-39.9%	-45.3%	-37.2%	-40.7%	
HHs w/ Child Under 6 - July 2013	8,243	2,771	1,609	2,141	266	64	87	66	380	129	90	81	87	14	780	50	320	496	11	
HHs w/ Child Under 6 - July 2014	6,231	1,933	1,141	1,524	180	45	60	53	255	86	73	64	67	12	556	34	230	360	8	
HHs w/ Child Under 6 - July 2015	5,001	1,641	952	1,271	150	43	47	44	208	75	74	57	59	9	481	27	182	289	8	
HHs w/ Child Under 6 - June 2016	4,250	1,432	875	1,131	158	28	36	39	203	69	53	35	56	8	424	27	140	274	5	
HHs w/ Child Under 6 - June 2017	3,537	1,125	687	895	114	23	26	37	143	51	53	27	42	8	325	20	118	227	4	
HHs w/ Child Under 6 - June 2018	2,780	845	478	646	77	29	26	23	89	36	38	20	35	5	224	15	85	164	5	
% Change Since 2013	-66.3%	-69.5%	-70.3%	-69.8%	-71.0%	-55.2%	-70.5%	-65.3%	-76.6%	-72.0%	-57.8%	-74.8%	-59.6%	-60.7%	-71.3%	-70.7%	-73.5%	-67.0%	-58.5%	
% Change Since 2015	-44.4%	-48.5%	-49.8%	-49.2%	-48.5%	-32.6%	-45.4%	-47.7%	-57.1%	-51.5%	-49.2%	-64.1%	-40.7%	-38.3%	-53.5%	-47.0%	-53.3%	-43.4%	-40.0%	

**Appendix H-IV: Select State Assistance Program Caseloads of Population Under Age 6 in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Early Head Start	All Phoenix Head Start Delegates	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington Phoenix Elem.	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Phoenix Urban League (GPUL)	Pendergast	Phoenix Elem.	Riverside	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
<b>Child Care Providers*</b>																				
Total Providers - Oct. 1, 2015	2,494	573	236	331	23	19	46	13	19	11	18	13	13	4	78	6	55	89	2	
Slots - Oct. 1, 2015	236,665	64,231	26,052	34,736	2,271	2,721	7,465	957	1,970	1,068	1,311	907	1,786	273	7,315	542	3,329	9,829	307	
Total Providers - Oct 1, 2018	2,508	600	241	335	24	18	45	14	21	14	19	11	15	4	84	7	52	88	2	
Total Slots - Oct 1, 2018	249,368	70,657	28,200	37,202	2,505	2,718	7,769	978	2,149	1,204	1,676	856	1,975	268	8,129	601	3,402	10,795	305	
% Change in Providers	0.6%	4.7%	2.0%	1.3%	4.6%	-4.4%	-1.6%	2.3%	10.6%	22.7%	9.0%	-15.5%	17.4%	1.6%	8.4%	23.4%	-5.9%	-0.2%	0.0%	
% Change in Slots	5.4%	10.0%	8.2%	7.1%	10.3%	-0.1%	4.1%	2.2%	9.1%	12.7%	27.9%	-5.6%	10.6%	-1.7%	11.1%	10.9%	2.2%	9.8%	-0.6%	
Licensed Centers - Oct. 1, 2015	1,537	343	118	168	15	13	26	2	11	7	3	3	8	1	32	3	24	51	1	
Slots - Oct. 1, 2015	159,144	39,965	15,516	20,245	1,605	1,204	4,208	424	1,773	628	632	414	567	121	4,136	316	2,523	5,709	120	
Licensed Centers - Oct 1, 2018	1,547	360	123	176	15	12	27	3	12	9	3	3	10	1	38	5	26	51	1	
Slots - Oct 1, 2018	167,215	44,705	16,160	21,335	1,656	1,212	4,597	464	1,440	712	623	498	739	134	4,145	365	2,737	6,036	123	
% Change in Providers	0.7%	5.1%	4.0%	4.9%	-0.5%	-10.8%	3.9%	41.2%	7.9%	35.6%	0.0%	-9.8%	28.4%	47.2%	16.5%	39.8%	6.3%	-0.2%	-2.3%	
% Change in Slots	5.1%	11.9%	4.2%	5.4%	3.2%	0.6%	9.2%	9.3%	-18.8%	13.4%	-1.4%	20.1%	30.4%	10.5%	0.2%	15.5%	8.5%	5.7%	2.2%	
Lic. Public Schools - Oct. 1, '15	654	160	84	107	7	5	17	9	3	5	7	6	5	2	27	2	9	29	1	
Slots - Oct. 1, 2015	74,566	23,582	10,213	13,943	656	1,511	3,226	506	148	440	599	457	1,219	145	3,007	226	588	4,036	186	
Lic. Public Schools - Oct 1, 2018	674	177	87	112	7	6	17	8	4	5	8	5	6	2	29	2	11	31	1	
Slots - Oct 1, 2018	79,380	25,358	11,750	15,412	829	1,500	3,158	487	664	488	972	327	1,236	127	3,814	236	508	4,697	182	
% Change in Providers	3.1%	10.3%	3.2%	4.7%	4.2%	11.6%	-1.0%	-6.6%	56.2%	-2.0%	18.9%	-15.6%	2.2%	-8.0%	5.9%	0.0%	17.8%	5.5%	10.7%	
% Change in Slots	6.5%	7.5%	15.1%	10.5%	26.4%	-0.7%	-2.1%	-3.8%	348.6%	11.0%	62.4%	-28.4%	1.4%	-11.9%	26.9%	4.5%	-13.6%	16.4%	-2.1%	
Small Group Homes - Oct. 1, '15	303	70	33	56	1	1	3	3	5	0	8	4	0	1	18	0	22	8	0	
Slots - Oct. 1, 2015	2,955	684	324	548	10	6	31	27	49	0	80	36	0	7	173	0	217	83	1	
Sm. Group Homes - Oct 1, 2018	287	63	31	47	2	1	2	3	5	0	8	3	0	1	17	0	16	7	0	
Slots - Oct 1, 2018	2,773	594	291	454	19	6	13	28	46	4	81	31	0	7	169	0	157	62	0	
% Change in Providers	-5.3%	-10.2%	-8.1%	-16.3%	81.5%	-0.1%	-49.9%	5.3%	-6.6%	672%	4.5%	-20.0%	0%	0.0%	-2.4%	0%	-28.9%	-20.1%	-60.3%	
% Change in Slots	-6.2%	-13.1%	-10.3%	-17.2%	81.5%	-0.1%	-56.1%	3.0%	-6.8%	672%	1.0%	-13.1%	0%	-2.7%	-2.5%	0%	-27.8%	-25.4%	-60.3%	



**Appendix H-IV: Select State Assistance Program Caseloads of Population Under Age 6 in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Early Head Start	All Phoenix Head Start Delegates	Alhambra	Phoenix Elem. Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Pendergast	Phoenix Elem.	Riverside	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
<b>Dept. Of Economic Security Child Care Assistance (Under 6 Years Only)</b>																			
Total Children - Jul. 2015	19,393	5,259	4,866	3,879	398	92	257	134	891	276	81	239	219	52	1,758	62	577	1,035	18
Total Children - Jun. 2016	18,883	5,091	2,864	3,716	363	99	263	123	565	170	241	156	112	25	1,269	61	564	957	17
Total Children - Jun. 2017	19,022	5,370	2,961	3,879	338	110	262	123	574	162	259	187	129	25	1,335	57	603	1,033	19
Total Children - Jun. 2018	20,397	5,787	3,223	4,151	408	122	282	132	587	194	241	202	129	27	1,380	70	586	1,150	21
% Change Since 2015	5.2%	10.0%	-33.8%	7.0%	2.4%	33.3%	9.8%	-1.9%	-34.1%	-29.8%	196.6%	-15.5%	-40.9%	-48.7%	-21.5%	12.3%	1.6%	11.2%	14.0%
Waitlist Under 6 - July 2015	4,846	1,217	1,059	1,369	166	26	65	44	204	56	16	77	53	10	415	19	205	344	7
Waitlist Under 6 - June 2016	5,359	1,458	792	1,053	107	19	61	42	164	51	62	49	41	9	375	18	180	248	4
Waitlist Under 6 - July 2017	4,506	1,217	650	873	85	18	64	32	110	35	60	47	27	7	285	12	162	210	4
Waitlist Under 6 - July 2018	3,338	962	530	688	81	17	33	22	113	42	30	32	21	5	243	17	99	173	4
% Change Since 2015	-31.1%	-20.9%	-49.9%	-49.7%	-51.3%	-35.6%	-50.0%	-50.0%	-44.8%	-24.0%	92.5%	-58.2%	-60.5%	-50.8%	-41.4%	-9.9%	-51.7%	-49.8%	-44.7%
<b>Child Protective Services Investigations</b>																			
Total - FY 2013	44,055	10,525	6,984	8,318	1,051	298	440	295	1,170	461	120	359	354	68	2,532	186	1,205	2,259	52
Total - FY 2014	45,944	10,924	7,285	8,705	1,028	310	456	297	1,287	511	116	379	386	66	2,744	209	1,196	2,412	55
Total - FY 2015	51,784	12,093	7,997	9,666	1,138	353	486	332	1,381	588	133	423	449	73	3,047	240	1,379	2,633	58
Total - FY 2016	49,939	12,254	6,679	8,689	931	273	738	312	1,200	455	471	365	349	69	2,909	178	1,167	2,137	44
Total - FY 2017	47,995	11,756	6,359	8,324	794	249	725	278	1,126	447	421	370	349	62	2,775	184	1,141	2,135	42
Total - FY 2018	48,046	12,181	6,704	8,691	861	242	734	269	1,173	453	499	380	367	59	2,932	180	1,158	2,276	41
% Change Since 2013	9.1%	15.7%	-4.0%	4.5%	-18.1%	-19.0%	66.6%	-8.8%	0.2%	-1.8%	316.9%	5.9%	3.5%	-13.1%	15.8%	-3.5%	-3.9%	0.7%	-21.2%
% Change Since 2015	-7.2%	0.7%	-16.2%	-10.1%	-24.4%	-31.5%	51.1%	-19.1%	-15.1%	-23.0%	276.5%	-10.0%	-18.4%	-19.5%	-3.8%	-25.1%	-16.0%	-13.6%	-30.0%
w/ Child Under 6 - FY 2013	17,623	4,525	2,979	3,594	475	130	194	106	504	186	45	139	154	23	1,051	73	490	1,052	23
w/ Child Under 6 - FY 2014	18,268	4,706	3,080	3,756	445	132	216	100	554	197	44	136	185	22	1,137	80	493	1,126	26
w/ Child Under 6 - FY 2015	20,333	5,140	3,348	4,083	497	151	219	138	570	244	50	141	204	30	1,239	98	553	1,161	26
w/ Child Under 6 - FY 2016	21,342	5,526	3,022	3,937	444	112	307	132	573	202	184	147	162	28	1,297	77	542	1,004	22
w/ Child Under 6 - FY 2017	20,472	5,238	2,879	3,733	385	104	309	122	512	200	174	166	159	27	1,238	82	489	983	20
w/ Child Under 6 - FY 2018	20,427	5,406	3,035	3,913	394	117	314	114	511	205	195	152	171	25	1,260	83	487	1,124	20
% Change Since 2013	15.9%	19.5%	1.9%	8.9%	-16.9%	-10.5%	61.4%	7.3%	1.3%	10.5%	334.8%	9.7%	11.0%	8.7%	19.9%	14.8%	-0.7%	6.9%	-13.2%
% Change Since 2015	0.5%	5.2%	-9.3%	-4.2%	-20.7%	-22.9%	43.1%	-17.4%	-10.3%	-15.9%	290.9%	7.8%	-16.6%	-14.2%	1.6%	-15.2%	-11.9%	-3.2%	-24.0%

**Appendix H-IV: Select State Assistance Program Caseloads of Population Under Age 6 in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Early Head Start	All Phoenix Head Start Delegates	Alhambra	Booker T. Washington Phoenix Elem.	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Phoenix Urban League (GPUL)	Pendergast	Phoenix Elem.	Riverside	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
<b>Children in Foster Care</b>																				
Total - FY 2013	14,406	3,472	2,037	2,441	287	83	150	86	404	144	39	100	103	19	809	58	357	599	11	
Total - FY 2014	16,414	4,165	2,417	2,852	378	99	177	95	437	158	39	114	112	21	881	63	401	740	16	
Total - FY 2015	17,981	4,701	2,706	3,242	392	129	176	104	509	179	48	115	134	22	1,008	72	485	857	21	
Total - FY 2016	18,215	4,511	1,098	1,429	127	38	130	56	216	53	110	68	48	12	508	19	219	326	6	
Total - FY 2017	16,552	3,887	891	1,184	94	33	114	40	175	43	83	77	41	8	427	15	199	257	5	
Total - FY 2018	14,433	3,422	815	1,089	107	32	103	39	136	46	74	59	39	9	363	18	180	242	5	
% Change Since 2013	0.2%	-1.4%	-60.0%	-55.4%	-62.7%	-61.6%	-31.4%	-54.7%	-66.4%	-68.2%	88.8%	-40.8%	-61.7%	-53.7%	-55.2%	-69.5%	-49.7%	-59.5%	-55.4%	
% Change Since 2015	-19.7%	-27.2%	-69.9%	-66.4%	-72.6%	-75.2%	-41.5%	-62.5%	-73.3%	-74.5%	52.8%	-48.9%	-70.7%	-59.5%	-64.0%	-75.6%	-62.9%	-71.7%	-75.3%	
w/ Child Under 6 - FY 2013	6,593	1,541	914	1,073	144	37	50	31	200	64	14	43	51	6	378	24	130	273	5	
w/ Child Under 6 - FY 2014	7,400	1,797	1,065	1,225	185	39	69	36	199	57	15	50	53	7	382	21	156	330	7	
w/ Child Under 6 - FY 2015	7,935	1,977	1,121	1,353	184	60	66	38	205	68	19	46	63	8	409	27	191	369	10	
w/ Child Under 6 - FY 2016	8,477	1,972	1,098	1,429	127	38	130	56	216	53	110	68	48	12	508	19	219	326	6	
w/ Child Under 6 - FY 2017	7,454	1,654	891	1,184	94	33	114	40	175	43	83	77	41	8	427	15	199	257	5	
w/ Child Under 6 - FY 2018	6,408	1,495	815	1,089	107	32	103	39	136	46	74	59	39	9	363	18	180	242	5	
% Change Since 2013	-2.8%	-3.0%	-10.9%	1.5%	-25.5%	-14.1%	106.4%	26.8%	-32.1%	-28.5%	437.6%	36.5%	-23.2%	50.6%	-4.1%	-26.3%	37.8%	-11.5%	0.5%	
% Change Since 2015	-19.2%	-24.4%	-27.3%	-19.6%	-41.7%	-46.3%	56.5%	2.4%	-33.9%	-33.3%	293.2%	29.4%	-37.5%	11.6%	-11.4%	-34.5%	-6.0%	-34.4%	-47.0%	
<b>Subsidized Adoption</b>																				
Total - FY 2013	16,159	2,435	1,428	1,808	138	20	277	59	223	63	191	100	36	14	627	23	297	363	4	
Total - FY 2014	18,843	3,044	1,823	2,309	186	29	314	82	297	85	247	127	55	19	830	31	367	466	5	
Total - FY 2015	21,436	3,655	2,190	2,786	216	38	365	108	358	104	292	160	63	25	1,002	37	451	561	7	
Total - FY 2016	20,279	3,564	1,988	2,585	173	39	342	99	307	106	235	148	71	23	889	40	441	555	5	
Total - FY 2017	22,365	4,035	2,260	2,941	191	45	369	116	355	116	279	156	75	27	1,009	44	511	650	6	
Total - FY 2018	24,264	4,421	2,456	3,207	211	52	387	130	393	122	309	174	83	30	1,111	45	564	700	7	
% Change Since 2013	50.2%	81.6%	72.0%	77.4%	53.3%	155.2%	39.4%	120.6%	76.0%	94.1%	61.9%	74.4%	129.0%	119.7%	77.3%	97.2%	90.2%	92.9%	65.8%	
% Change Since 2015	13.2%	21.0%	12.2%	15.1%	-2.5%	35.9%	5.9%	20.0%	9.7%	17.4%	6.0%	8.5%	31.2%	18.2%	10.8%	20.3%	25.1%	25.0%	3.4%	

**Appendix H-IV: Select State Assistance Program Caseloads of Population Under Age 6 in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Early Head Start	All Phoenix Head Start Delegates	Alhambra	Phoenix Elem. Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Greater Phoenix Urban League (GPUL)	Pendergast	Phoenix Elem.	Riverside	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
<b>Subsidized Guardianship</b>																				
Total - FY 2013	1,939	399	237	329	30	10	9	16	60	24	11	17	6	4	121	9	66	66	1	
Total - FY 2014	2,202	446	255	354	33	10	9	20	66	25	13	18	8	5	135	10	71	66	1	
Total - FY 2015	2,510	525	303	420	36	11	14	23	76	28	16	22	13	6	162	11	80	81	1	
Total - FY 2016	3,243	838	489	625	69	18	48	21	129	40	19	31	18	4	240	15	81	129	3	
Total - FY 2017	3,339	887	510	652	72	20	48	19	129	39	19	34	21	4	246	15	84	146	3	
Total - FY 2018	3,361	875	498	631	69	24	47	15	129	31	22	34	19	3	238	11	77	147	4	
% Change Since 2013	73.3%	119.2%	110.0%	91.8%	128.2%	133.1%	397.5%	-6.7%	113.7%	29.4%	106.9%	103.1%	219.4%	-19.8%	96.4%	18.8%	16.5%	122.6%	279.5%	
% Change Since 2015	33.9%	66.7%	64.2%	50.3%	92.4%	113.3%	227.0%	-36.3%	69.8%	8.2%	36.3%	53.5%	47.7%	-49.2%	47.5%	-5.0%	-4.3%	81.8%	185.5%	
<b>Arizona Early Intervention Program (AzEIP)</b>																				
Individuals - July 2013	4,814	1,185	611	777	68	31	124	27	78	38	8	23	23	6	177	15	92	206	6	
Individuals - July 2014	5,215	1,378	713	911	84	25	132	33	115	56	11	30	25	7	244	23	121	201	5	
Individuals - July 2015	5,531	1,574	848	1,069	91	32	165	44	160	48	11	35	33	10	298	17	133	234	5	
Individuals - June 2016	5,710	1,468	786	978	69	22	151	31	128	50	60	40	18	7	303	20	128	249	4	
Individuals - June 2017	5,691	1,434	753	934	81	18	146	32	126	54	50	37	9	7	284	22	127	219	4	
Individuals - June 2018	6,043	1,547	812	1,022	80	20	131	39	159	60	60	45	21	9	354	25	139	229	4	
% Change Since 2013	25.5%	30.5%	33.1%	31.5%	17.9%	-36.3%	5.2%	47.8%	102.3%	58.6%	603.1%	96.1%	-7.2%	47.0%	100.1%	63.2%	51.4%	11.4%	-20.3%	
% Change Since 2015	9.3%	-1.7%	-4.2%	-4.4%	-11.7%	-38.3%	-21.0%	-9.9%	-0.9%	25.7%	420.1%	27.9%	-36.3%	-5.1%	18.9%	46.7%	4.5%	-2.0%	-18.7%	

**Appendix H-IV: Select State Assistance Program Caseloads of Population Under Age 6 in Areas Served by Phoenix Head Start Delegate Agencies**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Early Head Start	All Phoenix Head Start Delegates	Alhambra	Phoenix Elem. Booker T. Washington	Deer Valley	Fowler	Cartwright	Isaac	Laveen	Pendergast	Phoenix Elem. (GPUL)	Riverside	Total	Murphy	Roosevelt	Washington	Wilson
<b>Division of Developmental Disabilities</b>																			
Individuals - July 2013	31,696	7,466	3,877	4,799	385	131	691	167	600	192	251	234	108	39	1,423	71	597	1,319	15
Individuals - July 2014	32,720	7,779	4,048	4,994	396	139	696	194	638	204	280	251	109	45	1,527	75	608	1,343	16
Individuals - July 2015	34,210	8,132	4,255	5,243	411	139	732	207	664	221	296	269	113	48	1,612	82	638	1,406	16
Individuals - June 2016	50,971	13,071	6,984	8,690	742	318	1,097	320	1,138	371	460	439	208	72	2,688	136	1,012	2,346	30
Individuals - June 2017	44,285	10,976	5,804	7,239	597	228	935	264	960	303	425	406	174	59	2,327	110	896	1,854	26
Individuals - June 2018	41,334	9,968	5,298	6,587	531	157	871	232	873	312	423	365	154	53	2,180	121	833	1,638	24
% Change Since 2013	30.4%	33.5%	36.6%	37.3%	37.9%	20.1%	25.9%	38.8%	45.5%	62.5%	68.5%	56.1%	43.0%	36.0%	53.2%	70.4%	39.5%	24.2%	60.6%
% Change Since 2015	20.8%	22.6%	24.5%	25.6%	29.1%	12.5%	18.9%	12.1%	31.4%	41.1%	42.9%	35.7%	36.3%	9.9%	35.3%	48.0%	30.7%	16.6%	44.3%
Individuals Under 6 - July 2013	5,133	1,419	741	915	71	24	154	31	122	43	49	34	21	7	277	18	108	230	4
Individuals Under 6 - July 2014	4,783	1,318	697	849	70	19	136	34	111	46	54	38	16	8	273	19	95	200	4
Individuals Under 6 - July 2015	4,850	1,327	695	855	60	17	134	42	109	42	63	35	17	10	275	16	106	200	4
Individuals Under 6 - June 2016	8,605	2,466	1,373	1,700	140	46	215	80	256	85	105	83	42	18	589	32	199	391	8
Individuals Under 6 - June 2017	8,373	2,265	1,271	1,550	125	36	203	64	262	75	95	89	29	14	564	27	180	344	7
Individuals Under 6 - June 2018	8,731	2,295	1,284	1,571	119	36	187	66	254	83	105	88	37	15	581	32	175	368	7
% Change Since 2013	70.1%	61.8%	73.3%	71.8%	68.2%	51.1%	21.6%	111.5%	108.4%	91.4%	111.5%	162.2%	73.2%	97.9%	109.9%	81.4%	62.9%	60.1%	103.5%
% Change Since 2015	80.0%	73.0%	84.7%	83.8%	99.7%	106.9%	39.1%	54.9%	133.7%	95.6%	66.8%	154.9%	119.8%	48.6%	111.4%	96.2%	65.7%	83.5%	112.7%

\*Counts of providers are slots are allocated based upon provider zip code using the allocation table in Appendix A-III. This allocation methodology produces provider counts that are not whole numbers although the decimal values are not included. As a result, there are instances in which there are zero reported providers (as the allocation formula resulted in fewer than 0.5 providers, which rounded down to zero) with an allocated number of beds. Similarly, there are percentage change figures although the rounded whole numbers appear to be the same.

**Early Head Start Demographic Information**

		Total Population		Total with Income Under 100% FPL		Total Under 5 Years and Income Under 100% FPL	
		Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.
<b>Total Residents</b>		<b>767,459</b>		<b>186,424</b>	<b>24.3%</b>	<b>22,578</b>	<b>12.1%</b>
<b>Total Households</b>		<b>242,583</b>		<b>54,356</b>		<b>13,744</b>	
Age	Under 5 years	64,359	8.4%	22,578	12.1%		
	5 to 14 years	126,732	16.5%	43,968	23.6%		
	15 to 17 years	34,911	4.5%	10,657	5.7%		
	18 to 24 years	83,750	10.9%	23,276	12.5%		
	25 to 34 years	117,802	15.3%	26,028	14.0%		
	35 to 44 years	105,073	13.7%	23,825	12.8%		
	45 to 54 years	94,151	12.3%	16,159	8.7%		
	55 to 64 years	73,386	9.6%	11,913	6.4%		
	65 to 74 years	41,612	5.4%	4,987	2.7%		
75 years and over	25,684	3.3%	3,032	1.6%			
Household Type	Family Households	166,928	68.8%	35,153	64.7%	13,744	100.0%
	<i>Married-couple family</i>	105,457	43.5%	14,066	25.9%	5,224	38.0%
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	19,947	8.2%	4,665	8.6%	1,697	12.3%
	<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	41,524	17.1%	16,422	30.2%	6,823	49.6%
	Non-Family Households	75,654	31.2%	19,203	35.3%		
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	39,808	16.4%	9,800	18.0%		
<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	35,846	14.8%	9,403	17.3%			
Race/Ethnicity	American Indian or Alaska Native	12,859	1.7%	3,642	2.0%	426	1.9%
	Asian	25,977	3.4%	3,317	1.8%	455	2.0%
	Black/African-American	48,968	6.4%	12,164	6.5%	1,452	6.4%
	Hispanic	445,150	58.0%	138,403	74.2%	16,824	74.5%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	2,934	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	White/Caucasian	207,647	27.1%	24,446	13.1%	2,947	13.1%
	Two or More Races	22,710	3.0%	4,252	2.3%	451	2.0%
	Other	1,214	0.2%	198	0.1%	24	0.1%
Citizen.	Citizen	647,564	84.4%	145,093	77.8%		
	Non-Citizen	119,895	15.6%	41,331	22.2%		
Language at Home (Households)	English Only	140,737	58.0%				
	Primarily Spanish	82,374	34.0%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	16,987	7.0%				
	<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	65,386	27.0%				
	Primarily Other Languages	19,472	8.0%				
<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	4,612	1.9%					
<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	14,860	6.1%					
Education (Age 25+)	Less than high school graduation	106,823	23.3%	35,361	41.1%		
	High School graduates	123,831	27.1%	25,397	29.6%		
	Some college, associates degree	138,517	30.3%	19,484	22.7%		
	Bachelor's degree or higher	88,536	19.3%	5,703	6.6%		
Emp. (16+)	Employed	417,014	60.4%	47,793	33.8%		
	Not Employed	273,609	39.6%	93,689	66.2%		
Income (Households)	Less than \$15,000	33,181	13.7%				
	\$15,000 to \$24,999	28,444	11.7%				
	\$25,000 to \$34,999	27,678	11.4%				
	\$35,000 to \$49,999	38,061	15.7%				
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	47,373	19.5%				
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	27,494	11.3%				
	\$100,000 or more	40,351	16.6%				

**Early Head Start Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs**

Child Care Providers	DHS Licensed Providers				Slots in Licensed Providers			
	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes
Oct. 1, 2015	236	118	84	33	26,052	15,516	10,213	324
Oct. 1, 2018	241	123	87	31	28,200	16,160	11,750	291
% Change '15	2.1%	4.2%	3.6%	-6.1%	8.2%	4.2%	15.0%	-10.2%


DES Child Care	DES Subsidies	DES Waitlist
	Jul-15	4,866
Jun-16	2,864	792
Jun-17	2,961	650
Jun-18	3,223	530
% Change '15	-33.8%	-50.0%

Disability	Develop. Disability		Az Early. Inter. Prog.	
	All Ages	Under 6	Jul-15	Jun-18
Jul-15	4,255	695	848	
Jun-16	6,984	1,373	786	
Jun-17	5,804	1,271	753	
Jun-18	5,298	1,284	812	
% Change '15	24.5%	84.7%	% Change '15	-4.2%

Food and Income Support	SNAP (Food Stamps)		TANF - Cash Asst.	
	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6
Jul-15	66,451	22,141	1,906	952
Jun-16	61,102	20,412	1,625	875
Jun-17	58,400	18,677	1,365	687
Jun-18	53,949	16,566	1,062	478
% Change '15	-18.8%	-25.2%	-44.3%	-49.8%

Child Protection	CPS Investigations		Children in Foster Care		Permanency Placements		
	Total	w/ Child 6 & Under	Total	Children 6 & Under	Adoption	Guardianship	
					All Ages	All Ages	
FY 2015	7,997	3,348	FY 2015	2,706	1,121	2,190	303
FY 2016	6,679	3,022	FY 2016	1,098	1,098	1,988	489
FY 2017	6,359	2,879	FY 2017	891	891	2,260	510
FY 2018	6,704	3,035	FY 2018	815	815	2,456	498
% Change '15	-16.2%	-9.3%	% Change '15	-69.9%	-27.3%	12.1%	64.4%

**Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Early Head Start**



**Alhambra Demographic Information**

		Total Population		Total with Income Under 100% FPL		Total Under 5 Years and Income Under 100% FPL	
		Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.
<b>Total Residents</b>		<b>76,106</b>		<b>29,264</b>	<b>38.5%</b>	<b>3,627</b>	<b>12.4%</b>
<b>Total Households</b>		<b>26,846</b>		<b>9,178</b>		<b>2,330</b>	
Age	Under 5 years	6,680	8.8%	3,627	12.4%		
	5 to 14 years	13,947	18.3%	7,086	24.2%		
	15 to 17 years	3,929	5.2%	1,791	6.1%		
	18 to 24 years	10,047	13.2%	3,838	13.1%		
	25 to 34 years	11,587	15.2%	4,067	13.9%		
	35 to 44 years	10,665	14.0%	3,852	13.2%		
	45 to 54 years	8,496	11.2%	2,280	7.8%		
	55 to 64 years	5,585	7.3%	1,527	5.2%		
	65 to 74 years	3,575	4.7%	888	3.0%		
75 years and over	1,596	2.1%	308	1.1%			
Household Type	Family Households	18,086	67.4%	6,253	68.1%	2,330	100.0%
	<i>Married-couple family</i>	9,228	34.4%	2,073	22.6%	668	28.7%
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	2,794	10.4%	971	10.6%	392	16.8%
	<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	6,064	22.6%	3,209	35.0%	1,270	54.5%
	Non-Family Households	8,760	32.6%	2,925	31.9%		
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	3,513	13.1%	1,511	16.5%		
<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	5,247	19.5%	1,414	15.4%			
Race/Ethnicity	American Indian or Alaska Native	1,965	2.6%	965	3.3%	130	3.6%
	Asian	3,012	4.0%	1,415	4.8%	194	5.3%
	Black/African-American	4,644	6.1%	1,489	5.1%	188	5.2%
	Hispanic	58,015	76.2%	23,083	78.9%	2,794	77.0%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	White/Caucasian	7,375	9.7%	1,741	5.9%	245	6.7%
	Two or More Races	1,007	1.3%	539	1.8%	72	2.0%
	Other	88	0.1%	32	0.1%	4	0.1%
Citizen.	Citizen	57,397	75.4%	21,468	73.4%		
	Non-Citizen	18,709	24.6%	7,797	26.6%		
Language at Home (Households)	English Only	10,936	40.7%				
	Primarily Spanish	13,409	49.9%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	3,411	12.7%				
	<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	9,997	37.2%				
	Primarily Other Languages	2,501	9.3%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	1,010	3.8%				
<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	1,491	5.6%					
Education (Age 25+)	Less than high school graduation	16,320	39.3%	6,329	49.0%		
	High School graduates	11,813	28.5%	3,749	29.0%		
	Some college, associates degree	10,201	24.6%	2,416	18.7%		
	Bachelor's degree or higher	3,171	7.6%	429	3.3%		
Emp. (16+)	Employed	35,797	55.6%	7,207	34.3%		
	Not Employed	28,600	44.4%	13,780	65.7%		
Income (Households)	Less than \$15,000	6,239	23.2%				
	\$15,000 to \$24,999	4,841	18.0%				
	\$25,000 to \$34,999	3,622	13.5%				
	\$35,000 to \$49,999	4,393	16.4%				
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	4,424	16.5%				
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,773	6.6%				
	\$100,000 or more	1,553	5.8%				



**Alhambra Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs**

Child Care Providers	DHS Licensed Providers				Slots in Licensed Providers			
	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes
Oct. 1, 2015	23	15	7	1	2,271	1,605	656	10
Oct. 1, 2018	24	15	7	2	2,505	1,656	829	19
% Change '15	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	10.3%	3.2%	26.4%	90.0%


DES Child Care	DES Subsidies	DES Waitlist
	Jul-15	398
Jun-16	363	107
Jun-17	338	85
Jun-18	408	81
% Change '15	2.5%	-51.2%

Disability	Develop. Disability		Az Early. Inter. Prog.	
	All Ages	Under 6	Jul-15	Jun-18
Jul-15	411	60	91	
Jun-16	742	140	69	
Jun-17	597	125	81	
Jun-18	531	119	80	
% Change '15	29.2%	98.3%	% Change '15	-12.1%

Food and Income Support	SNAP (Food Stamps)		TANF - Cash Asst.	
	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6
Jul-15	9,999	3,392	288	150
Jun-16	9,143	3,086	257	158
Jun-17	8,841	2,899	225	114
Jun-18	8,101	2,555	170	77
% Change '15	-19.0%	-24.7%	-41.0%	-48.7%


Child Protection	CPS Investigations		Children in Foster Care		Permanency Placements		
	Total	w/ Child 6 & Under	Total	Children 6 & Under	Adoption	Guardianship	
					All Ages	All Ages	
FY 2015	1,138	497	FY 2015	392	184	216	36
FY 2016	931	444	FY 2016	127	127	173	69
FY 2017	794	385	FY 2017	94	94	191	72
FY 2018	861	394	FY 2018	107	107	211	69
% Change '15	-24.3%	-20.7%	% Change '15	-72.7%	-41.8%	-2.3%	91.7%

**Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Alhambra**



Children Under 5

- 0 - 50
- 51 - 100
- 101 - 150
- 151 - 200
- 200+



**Booker T. Washington Demographic Information**

		Total Population		Total with Income Under 100% FPL		Total Under 5 Years and Income Under 100% FPL	
		Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.
<b>Total Residents</b>		<b>23,802</b>		<b>9,478</b>	<b>39.8%</b>	<b>978</b>	<b>10.3%</b>
<b>Total Households</b>		<b>9,133</b>		<b>2,987</b>		<b>563</b>	
Age	Under 5 years	1,614	6.8%	978	10.3%		
	5 to 14 years	3,005	12.6%	1,785	18.8%		
	15 to 17 years	853	3.6%	556	5.9%		
	18 to 24 years	2,952	12.4%	1,430	15.1%		
	25 to 34 years	4,575	19.2%	1,074	11.3%		
	35 to 44 years	3,297	13.9%	1,277	13.5%		
	45 to 54 years	2,655	11.2%	938	9.9%		
	55 to 64 years	2,541	10.7%	827	8.7%		
	65 to 74 years	1,537	6.5%	416	4.4%		
75 years and over	772	3.2%	198	2.1%			
Household Type	Family Households	3,974	43.5%	1,417	47.4%	563	100.0%
	<i>Married-couple family</i>	2,180	23.9%	540	18.1%	224	39.7%
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	577	6.3%	155	5.2%	83	14.8%
	<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	1,218	13.3%	722	24.2%	256	45.5%
	Non-Family Households	5,158	56.5%	1,571	52.6%		
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	2,486	27.2%	779	26.1%		
<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	2,672	29.3%	791	26.5%			
Race/Ethnicity	American Indian or Alaska Native	1,278	5.4%	128	1.4%	14	1.5%
	Asian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Black/African-American	2,348	9.9%	162	1.7%	17	1.8%
	Hispanic	12,757	53.6%	8,362	88.2%	858	87.7%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	White/Caucasian	7,014	29.5%	818	8.6%	89	9.1%
	Two or More Races	377	1.6%	5	0.1%	0	0.0%
	Other	27	0.1%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%
Citizen.	Citizen	19,931	83.7%	7,455	78.7%		
	Non-Citizen	3,869	16.3%	2,023	21.3%		
Language at Home (Households)	English Only	5,452	59.7%				
	Primarily Spanish	3,137	34.4%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	803	8.8%				
	<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	2,334	25.6%				
	Primarily Other Languages	544	6.0%				
<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	45	0.5%					
<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	499	5.5%					
Education (Age 25+)	Less than high school graduation	4,207	27.4%	2,279	48.2%		
	High School graduates	2,985	19.4%	1,088	23.0%		
	Some college, associates degree	3,637	23.6%	921	19.5%		
	Bachelor's degree or higher	4,548	29.6%	442	9.3%		
Emp. (16+)	Employed	10,744	56.9%	1,951	30.3%		
	Not Employed	8,124	43.1%	4,496	69.7%		
Income (Households)	Less than \$15,000	2,535	27.8%				
	\$15,000 to \$24,999	1,117	12.2%				
	\$25,000 to \$34,999	1,030	11.3%				
	\$35,000 to \$49,999	1,144	12.5%				
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,359	14.9%				
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	609	6.7%				
	\$100,000 or more	1,339	14.7%				

**Booker T. Washington Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs**

Child Care Providers	DHS Licensed Providers				Slots in Licensed Providers			
	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes
Oct. 1, 2015	19	13	5	1	2,721	1,204	1,511	6
Oct. 1, 2018	18	12	6	1	2,718	1,212	1,500	6
% Change '15	-5.3%	-7.7%	20.0%	0.0%	-0.1%	0.7%	-0.7%	0.0%


DES Child Care	DES Subsidies	DES Waitlist
	Jul-15	92
Jun-16	99	19
Jun-17	110	18
Jun-18	122	17
% Change '15	32.6%	-34.6%

Disability	Develop. Disability		Az Early. Inter. Prog.	
	All Ages	Under 6	Jul-15	Jun-16
Jul-15	139	17	32	22
Jun-16	318	46	18	20
Jun-17	228	36	20	
Jun-18	157	36		
% Change '15	12.9%	111.8%	% Change '15	-37.5%


Food and Income Support	SNAP (Food Stamps)		TANF - Cash Asst.	
	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6
Jul-15	3,639	823	78	43
Jun-16	3,221	751	51	28
Jun-17	3,426	731	54	23
Jun-18	3,106	611	51	29
% Change '15	-14.6%	-25.8%	-34.6%	-32.6%

Child Protection	CPS Investigations		Children in Foster Care		Permanency Placements		
	Total	w/ Child 6 & Under	Total	Children 6 & Under	Adoption	Guardianship	
					All Ages	All Ages	
FY 2015	353	151	FY 2015	129	60	38	11
FY 2016	273	112	FY 2016	38	38	39	18
FY 2017	249	104	FY 2017	33	33	45	20
FY 2018	242	117	FY 2018	32	32	52	24
% Change '15	-31.4%	-22.5%	% Change '15	-75.2%	-46.7%	36.8%	118.2%

**Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Booker T. Washington**



**Children Under 5**



**Deer Valley Demographic Information**

		Total Population		Total with Income Under 100% FPL		Total Under 5 Years and Income Under 100% FPL	
		Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.
<b>Total Residents</b>		<b>144,037</b>		<b>13,553</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>969</b>	<b>7.1%</b>
<b>Total Households</b>		<b>90,627</b>		<b>6,371</b>		<b>600</b>	
Age	Under 5 years	10,033	7.0%	969	7.1%		
	5 to 14 years	19,235	13.4%	2,183	16.1%		
	15 to 17 years	5,529	3.8%	748	5.5%		
	18 to 24 years	11,845	8.2%	2,104	15.5%		
	25 to 34 years	23,034	16.0%	2,192	16.2%		
	35 to 44 years	21,361	14.8%	1,700	12.5%		
	45 to 54 years	20,866	14.5%	1,485	11.0%		
	55 to 64 years	17,206	11.9%	1,352	10.0%		
	65 to 74 years	10,093	7.0%	443	3.3%		
75 years and over	4,836	3.4%	376	2.8%			
Household Type	Family Households	64,300	71.0%	2,801	44.0%	600	100.0%
	<i>Married-couple family</i>	51,911	57.3%	1,375	21.6%	240	40.0%
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	4,467	4.9%	345	5.4%	68	11.3%
	<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	7,923	8.7%	1,081	17.0%	292	48.7%
	Non-Family Households	26,326	29.0%	3,571	56.0%		
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	13,134	14.5%	1,825	28.6%		
<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	13,192	14.6%	1,746	27.4%			
Race/Ethnicity	American Indian or Alaska Native	1,862	1.3%	489	3.6%	43	4.5%
	Asian	11,766	8.2%	530	3.9%	45	4.6%
	Black/African-American	4,823	3.3%	374	2.8%	28	2.9%
	Hispanic	26,269	18.2%	5,382	39.7%	346	35.7%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1,679	1.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	White/Caucasian	90,724	63.0%	6,416	47.3%	481	49.7%
	Two or More Races	6,755	4.7%	357	2.6%	26	2.6%
	Other	159	0.1%	5	0.0%	0	0.0%
Citizen.	Citizen	135,561	94.1%	12,499	92.2%		
	Non-Citizen	8,476	5.9%	1,054	7.8%		
Language at Home (Households)	English Only	74,960	82.7%				
	Primarily Spanish	6,735	7.4%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	607	0.7%				
	<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	6,128	6.8%				
	Primarily Other Languages	8,932	9.9%				
<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	974	1.1%					
<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	7,957	8.8%					
Education (Age 25+)	Less than high school graduation	6,869	7.1%	1,269	16.8%		
	High School graduates	21,255	21.8%	2,009	26.6%		
	Some college, associates degree	36,305	37.3%	2,766	36.6%		
	Bachelor's degree or higher	32,965	33.8%	1,505	19.9%		
Emp. (16+)	Employed	127,406	64.5%	5,005	30.9%		
	Not Employed	70,148	35.5%	11,172	69.1%		
Income (Households)	Less than \$15,000	5,119	5.6%				
	\$15,000 to \$24,999	4,866	5.4%				
	\$25,000 to \$34,999	6,707	7.4%				
	\$35,000 to \$49,999	10,437	11.5%				
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	17,251	19.0%				
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	12,846	14.2%				
	\$100,000 or more	33,400	36.9%				

**Deer Valley Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs**

Child Care Providers	DHS Licensed Providers				Slots in Licensed Providers			
	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes
Oct. 1, 2015	46	26	17	3	7,465	4,208	3,226	31
Oct. 1, 2018	45	27	17	2	7,769	4,597	3,158	13
% Change '15	-2.2%	3.8%	0.0%	-33.3%	4.1%	9.2%	-2.1%	-58.1%


DES Child Care	DES Subsidies	DES Waitlist
	Jul-15	257
Jun-16	263	61
Jun-17	262	64
Jun-18	282	33
% Change '15	9.7%	-49.2%

Disability	Develop. Disability		Az Early. Inter. Prog.	
	All Ages	Under 6	Jul-15	Jun-16
Jul-15	732	134	165	151
Jun-16	1,097	215	151	146
Jun-17	935	203	146	131
Jun-18	871	187	131	
% Change '15	19.0%	39.6%	% Change '15	-20.6%

Food and Income Support	SNAP (Food Stamps)		TANF - Cash Asst.	
	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6
Jul-15	4,909	1,256	97	47
Jun-16	4,586	1,204	80	36
Jun-17	4,420	1,080	71	26
Jun-18	4,146	988	66	26
% Change '15	-15.5%	-21.3%	-32.0%	-44.7%


Child Protection	CPS Investigations		Children in Foster Care		Permanency Placements		
	Total	w/ Child 6 & Under	Total	Children 6 & Under	Adoption	Guardianship	
					All Ages	All Ages	
FY 2015	486	219	FY 2015	176	66	365	14
FY 2016	738	307	FY 2016	130	130	342	48
FY 2017	725	309	FY 2017	114	114	369	48
FY 2018	734	314	FY 2018	103	103	387	47
% Change '15	51.0%	43.4%	% Change '15	-41.5%	56.1%	6.0%	235.7%

### Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Deer Valley



#### Children Under 5

- 0 - 50
- 51 - 100
- 101 - 150
- 151 - 200
- 200+





**Fowler Demographic Information**

		Total Population		Total with Income Under 100% FPL		Total Under 5 Years and Income Under 100% FPL	
		Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.
<b>Total Residents</b>		<b>37,762</b>		<b>9,928</b>	<b>26.3%</b>	<b>1,270</b>	<b>12.8%</b>
<b>Total Households</b>		<b>9,731</b>		<b>2,173</b>		<b>949</b>	
Age	Under 5 years	4,036	10.7%	1,270	12.8%		
	5 to 14 years	7,640	20.2%	2,964	29.9%		
	15 to 17 years	2,026	5.4%	508	5.1%		
	18 to 24 years	4,888	12.9%	1,155	11.6%		
	25 to 34 years	6,603	17.5%	1,730	17.4%		
	35 to 44 years	5,368	14.2%	1,295	13.0%		
	45 to 54 years	3,298	8.7%	373	3.8%		
	55 to 64 years	2,380	6.3%	363	3.7%		
	65 to 74 years	946	2.5%	122	1.2%		
75 years and over	576	1.5%	149	1.5%			
Household Type	Family Households	7,724	79.4%	1,792	82.5%	949	100.0%
	<i>Married-couple family</i>	4,413	45.4%	699	32.2%	392	41.3%
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	892	9.2%	174	8.0%	60	6.4%
	<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	2,418	24.9%	919	42.3%	497	52.4%
	Non-Family Households	2,007	20.6%	381	17.5%		
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	911	9.4%	185	8.5%		
<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	1,096	11.3%	196	9.0%			
Race/Ethnicity	American Indian or Alaska Native	753	2.0%	200	2.0%	23	1.8%
	Asian	333	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Black/African-American	2,033	5.4%	611	6.2%	57	4.5%
	Hispanic	31,173	82.6%	8,787	88.5%	1,149	90.5%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	877	2.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	White/Caucasian	2,090	5.5%	321	3.2%	40	3.2%
	Two or More Races	453	1.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Other	50	0.1%	9	0.1%	1	0.1%
Citizen.	Citizen	30,814	81.6%	7,560	76.1%		
	Non-Citizen	6,948	18.4%	2,368	23.9%		
Language at Home (Households)	English Only	3,493	35.9%				
	Primarily Spanish	5,854	60.2%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	805	8.3%				
	<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	5,049	51.9%				
	Primarily Other Languages	384	3.9%				
<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	28	0.3%					
<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	356	3.7%					
Education (Age 25+)	Less than high school graduation	6,282	32.8%	2,052	50.9%		
	High School graduates	6,101	31.8%	1,014	25.1%		
	Some college, associates degree	4,889	25.5%	857	21.3%		
	Bachelor's degree or higher	1,899	9.9%	108	2.7%		
Emp. (16+)	Employed	16,064	62.8%	2,139	38.1%		
	Not Employed	9,525	37.2%	3,477	61.9%		
Income (Households)	Less than \$15,000	1,256	12.9%				
	\$15,000 to \$24,999	1,079	11.1%				
	\$25,000 to \$34,999	1,286	13.2%				
	\$35,000 to \$49,999	1,676	17.2%				
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,196	22.6%				
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,075	11.0%				
	\$100,000 or more	1,162	11.9%				

**Fowler Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs**

Child Care Providers	DHS Licensed Providers				Slots in Licensed Providers			
	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes
Oct. 1, 2015	13	2	9	3	957	424	506	27
Oct. 1, 2018	14	3	8	3	978	464	487	28
% Change '15	7.7%	50.0%	-11.1%	0.0%	2.2%	9.4%	-3.8%	3.7%


DES Child Care	DES Subsidies	DES Waitlist
	Jul-15	134
Jun-16	123	42
Jun-17	123	32
Jun-18	132	22
% Change '15	-1.5%	-50.0%

Disability	Develop. Disability		Az Early. Inter. Prog.	
	All Ages	Under 6	Jul-15	Jun-16
Jul-15	207	42	44	31
Jun-16	320	80	31	32
Jun-17	264	64	32	39
Jun-18	232	66	39	
% Change '15	12.1%	57.1%	% Change '15	-11.4%



Food and Income Support	SNAP (Food Stamps)		TANF - Cash Asst.	
	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6
Jul-15	2,893	1,174	94	44
Jun-16	2,529	1,016	72	39
Jun-17	2,400	948	69	37
Jun-18	2,237	854	48	23
% Change '15	-22.7%	-27.3%	-48.9%	-47.7%

Child Protection	CPS Investigations		Children in Foster Care		Permanency Placements		
	Total	w/ Child 6 & Under	Total	Children 6 & Under	Adoption	Guardianship	
					All Ages	All Ages	
FY 2015	332	138	FY 2015	104	38	108	23
FY 2016	312	132	FY 2016	56	56	99	21
FY 2017	278	122	FY 2017	40	40	116	19
FY 2018	269	114	FY 2018	39	39	130	15
% Change '15	-19.0%	-17.4%	% Change '15	-62.5%	2.6%	20.4%	-34.8%

### Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Fowler



#### Children Under 5



**Greater Phoenix Urban League Demographic Information**

		Total Population		Total with Income Under 100% FPL		Total Under 5 Years and Income Under 100% FPL	
		Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.
<b>Total Residents</b>		<b>312,078</b>		<b>94,106</b>	<b>30.2%</b>	<b>11,733</b>	<b>12.5%</b>
<b>Total Households</b>		<b>93,554</b>		<b>23,541</b>		<b>6,865</b>	
Age	Under 5 years	28,601	9.2%	11,733	12.5%		
	5 to 14 years	58,994	18.9%	23,747	25.2%		
	15 to 17 years	16,521	5.3%	6,109	6.5%		
	18 to 24 years	37,673	12.1%	10,974	11.7%		
	25 to 34 years	47,678	15.3%	12,051	12.8%		
	35 to 44 years	42,452	13.6%	12,245	13.0%		
	45 to 54 years	35,394	11.3%	8,023	8.5%		
	55 to 64 years	24,803	7.9%	5,501	5.8%		
	65 to 74 years	12,714	4.1%	2,347	2.5%		
75 years and over	7,249	2.3%	1,376	1.5%			
Household Type	Family Households	70,173	75.0%	16,741	71.1%	6,865	100.0%
	<i>Married-couple family</i>	42,344	45.3%	6,967	29.6%	2,856	41.6%
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	9,136	9.8%	2,232	9.5%	873	12.7%
	<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	18,693	20.0%	7,543	32.0%	3,136	45.7%
	Non-Family Households	23,381	25.0%	6,799	28.9%		
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	10,443	11.2%	3,751	15.9%		
<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	12,938	13.8%	3,048	12.9%			
Race/Ethnicity	American Indian or Alaska Native	3,633	1.2%	1,236	1.3%	144	1.2%
	Asian	4,048	1.3%	390	0.4%	46	0.4%
	Black/African-American	21,106	6.8%	4,815	5.1%	647	5.5%
	Hispanic	243,886	78.1%	80,976	86.0%	10,007	85.3%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	377	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	White/Caucasian	33,194	10.6%	5,391	5.7%	733	6.3%
	Two or More Races	5,156	1.7%	1,191	1.3%	143	1.2%
	Other	677	0.2%	106	0.1%	13	0.1%
Citizen	Citizen	248,476	79.6%	70,788	75.2%		
	Non-Citizen	63,602	20.4%	23,318	24.8%		
Language at Home (Households)	English Only	39,730	42.5%				
	Primarily Spanish	48,849	52.2%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	9,705	10.4%				
	<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	39,145	41.8%				
	Primarily Other Languages	4,975	5.3%				
<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	1,129	1.2%					
<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	3,846	4.1%					
Education (Age 25+)	Less than high school graduation	60,898	35.8%	21,159	50.9%		
	High School graduates	47,448	27.9%	11,541	27.8%		
	Some college, associates degree	41,517	24.4%	7,089	17.1%		
	Bachelor's degree or higher	20,426	12.0%	1,753	4.2%		
Emp. (16+)	Employed	141,277	58.2%	20,543	33.8%		
	Not Employed	101,497	41.8%	40,173	66.2%		
Income (Households)	Less than \$15,000	14,853	15.9%				
	\$15,000 to \$24,999	10,909	11.7%				
	\$25,000 to \$34,999	11,497	12.3%				
	\$35,000 to \$49,999	15,492	16.6%				
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	18,025	19.3%				
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	10,222	10.9%				
	\$100,000 or more	12,556	13.4%				

**Greater Phoenix Urban League Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs**

Child Care Providers	DHS Licensed Providers				Slots in Licensed Providers			
	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes
Oct. 1, 2015	78	32	27	18	7,315	4,136	3,007	173
Oct. 1, 2018	84	38	29	17	8,129	4,145	3,814	169
% Change '15	7.7%	18.8%	7.4%	-5.6%	11.1%	0.2%	26.8%	-2.3%


DES Child Care	DES Subsidies	DES Waitlist
	Jul-15	1,758
Jun-16	1,269	375
Jun-17	1,335	285
Jun-18	1,380	243
% Change '15	-21.5%	-41.4%

Disability	Develop. Disability		Az Early. Inter. Prog.	
	All Ages	Under 6	Jul-15	Jun-18
Jul-15	1,612	275	298	
Jun-16	2,688	589	303	
Jun-17	2,327	564	284	
Jun-18	2,180	581	354	
% Change '15	35.2%	111.3%	% Change '15	18.8%

Food and Income Support	SNAP (Food Stamps)		TANF - Cash Asst.	
	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6
Jul-15	33,152	11,254	972	481
Jun-16	30,550	10,338	780	424
Jun-17	28,717	9,317	633	325
Jun-18	26,520	8,224	480	224
% Change '15	-20.0%	-26.9%	-50.6%	-53.4%

Child Protection	CPS Investigations		Children in Foster Care		Permanency Placements		
	Total	w/ Child 6 & Under	Total	Children 6 & Under	Adoption	Guardianship	
					All Ages	All Ages	
FY 2015	3,047	1,239	FY 2015	1,008	409	1,002	162
FY 2016	2,909	1,297	FY 2016	508	508	889	240
FY 2017	2,775	1,238	FY 2017	427	427	1,009	246
FY 2018	2,932	1,260	FY 2018	363	363	1,111	238
% Change '15	-3.8%	1.7%	% Change '15	-64.0%	-11.2%	10.9%	46.9%



**Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Greater Phoenix Urban League**



**School Legend**

- 1 - Mitchell Elementary
- 2 - Heard Elementary
- 3 - Alta E. Butler Elementary
- 4 - Bret Tarver School
- 5 - Byron Barry School
- 6 - Cartwright Child Care Center
- 7 - J.B. Sutton Elementary
- 8 - Marcos De Niza
- 9 - M.C. Cash Elementary
- 10 - Moya Elementary
- 11 - Pendergast Early Childhood Center
- 12 - Peralta Elementary
- 13 - P.T. Coe Elementary
- 14 - Starlight Park
- 15 - Travis L. Williams

**Children Under 5**



**Cartwright Demographic Information**

		Total Population		Total with Income Under 100% FPL		Total Under 5 Years and Income Under 100% FPL	
		Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.
<b>Total Residents</b>		<b>131,245</b>		<b>45,485</b>	<b>34.7%</b>	<b>5,701</b>	<b>12.5%</b>
<b>Total Households</b>		<b>31,849</b>		<b>9,907</b>		<b>3,352</b>	
Age	Under 5 years	12,921	9.8%	5,701	12.5%		
	5 to 14 years	26,143	19.9%	11,911	26.2%		
	15 to 17 years	7,546	5.7%	3,245	7.1%		
	18 to 24 years	17,952	13.7%	5,590	12.3%		
	25 to 34 years	18,894	14.4%	5,626	12.4%		
	35 to 44 years	16,704	12.7%	5,915	13.0%		
	45 to 54 years	14,755	11.2%	3,943	8.7%		
	55 to 64 years	9,107	6.9%	2,242	4.9%		
	65 to 74 years	4,276	3.3%	827	1.8%		
75 years and over	2,947	2.2%	483	1.1%			
Household Type	Family Households	25,641	80.5%	7,798	78.7%	3,352	100.0%
	<i>Married-couple family</i>	14,361	45.1%	3,371	34.0%	1,457	43.5%
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	3,935	12.4%	943	9.5%	319	9.5%
	<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	7,345	23.1%	3,484	35.2%	1,576	47.0%
	Non-Family Households	6,208	19.5%	2,110	21.3%		
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	2,911	9.1%	1,371	13.8%		
<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	3,297	10.4%	739	7.5%			
Race/Ethnicity	American Indian or Alaska Native	813	0.6%	803	1.8%	104	1.8%
	Asian	446	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Black/African-American	6,892	5.3%	1,253	2.8%	131	2.3%
	Hispanic	114,632	87.3%	40,651	89.4%	5,086	89.2%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	15	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	White/Caucasian	5,812	4.4%	1,809	4.0%	267	4.7%
	Two or More Races	2,424	1.8%	897	2.0%	104	1.8%
	Other	212	0.2%	72	0.2%	8	0.1%
Citizen.	Citizen	99,214	75.6%	33,110	72.8%		
	Non-Citizen	32,032	24.4%	12,375	27.2%		
Language at Home (Households)	English Only	8,988	28.2%				
	Primarily Spanish	21,852	68.6%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	4,288	13.5%				
	<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	17,563	55.1%				
	Primarily Other Languages	1,009	3.2%				
<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	190	0.6%					
<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	820	2.6%					
Education (Age 25+)	Less than high school graduation	29,554	44.3%	10,375	54.5%		
	High School graduates	20,083	30.1%	5,404	28.4%		
	Some college, associates degree	13,641	20.5%	2,770	14.6%		
	Bachelor's degree or higher	3,405	5.1%	488	2.6%		
Emp. (16+)	Employed	50,091	55.8%	9,720	35.0%		
	Not Employed	39,749	44.2%	18,041	65.0%		
Income (Households)	Less than \$15,000	5,372	16.9%				
	\$15,000 to \$24,999	4,785	15.0%				
	\$25,000 to \$34,999	4,604	14.5%				
	\$35,000 to \$49,999	6,198	19.5%				
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	6,062	19.0%				
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	2,656	8.3%				
	\$100,000 or more	2,173	6.8%				

**Cartwright Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs**

Child Care Providers	DHS Licensed Providers				Slots in Licensed Providers			
	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes
Oct. 1, 2015	19	11	3	5	1,970	1,773	148	49
Oct. 1, 2018	21	12	4	5	2,149	1,440	664	46
% Change '15	10.5%	9.1%	33.3%	0.0%	9.1%	-18.8%	348.6%	-6.1%

DES Child Care	DES Subsidies	DES Waitlist
	Jul-15	891
Jun-16	565	164
Jun-17	574	110
Jun-18	587	113
% Change '15	-34.1%	-44.6%

Disability	Develop. Disability		Az Early. Inter. Prog.	
	All Ages	Under 6	Jul-15	Jun-16
Jul-15	664	109	160	128
Jun-16	1,138	256	128	126
Jun-17	960	262	126	159
Jun-18	873	254	159	
% Change '15	31.5%	133.0%	% Change '15	-0.6%

Food and Income Support	SNAP (Food Stamps)		TANF - Cash Asst.	
	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6
Jul-15	13,988	5,593	428	208
Jun-16	12,700	5,081	350	203
Jun-17	11,691	4,513	276	143
Jun-18	10,561	3,869	186	89
% Change '15	-24.5%	-30.8%	-56.5%	-57.2%

Child Protection	CPS Investigations		Children in Foster Care		Permanency Placements		
	Total	w/ Child 6 & Under	Total	Children 6 & Under	Adoption	Guardianship	
					All Ages	All Ages	
FY 2015	1,381	570	FY 2015	509	205	358	76
FY 2016	1,200	573	FY 2016	216	216	307	129
FY 2017	1,126	512	FY 2017	175	175	355	129
FY 2018	1,173	511	FY 2018	136	136	393	129
% Change '15	-15.1%	-10.4%	% Change '15	-73.3%	-33.7%	9.8%	69.7%



**Isaac Demographic Information**

		Total Population		Total with Income Under 100% FPL		Total Under 5 Years and Income Under 100% FPL	
		Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.
<b>Total Residents</b>		<b>43,958</b>		<b>18,646</b>	<b>42.4%</b>	<b>2,374</b>	<b>12.7%</b>
<b>Total Households</b>		<b>11,647</b>		<b>4,895</b>		<b>1,422</b>	
Age	Under 5 years	3,888	8.8%	2,374	12.7%		
	5 to 14 years	8,717	19.8%	4,846	26.0%		
	15 to 17 years	2,355	5.4%	1,267	6.8%		
	18 to 24 years	5,172	11.8%	1,702	9.1%		
	25 to 34 years	6,427	14.6%	2,471	13.3%		
	35 to 44 years	5,977	13.6%	2,629	14.1%		
	45 to 54 years	4,842	11.0%	1,463	7.8%		
	55 to 64 years	3,347	7.6%	890	4.8%		
	65 to 74 years	1,997	4.5%	646	3.5%		
75 years and over	1,235	2.8%	358	1.9%			
Household Type	Family Households	8,467	72.7%	3,259	66.6%	1,422	100.0%
	<i>Married-couple family</i>	4,840	41.6%	1,414	28.9%	598	42.1%
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	954	8.2%	380	7.8%	195	13.7%
	<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	2,673	22.9%	1,465	29.9%	628	44.2%
	Non-Family Households	3,180	27.3%	1,636	33.4%		
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	1,432	12.3%	874	17.9%		
<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	1,748	15.0%	761	15.6%			
Race/Ethnicity	American Indian or Alaska Native	200	0.5%	116	0.6%	16	0.7%
	Asian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Black/African-American	826	1.9%	267	1.4%	34	1.4%
	Hispanic	39,716	90.4%	17,677	94.8%	2,246	94.6%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	White/Caucasian	2,882	6.6%	533	2.9%	71	3.0%
	Two or More Races	79	0.2%	47	0.3%	6	0.3%
	Other	255	0.6%	5	0.0%	1	0.0%
Citizen.	Citizen	30,539	69.5%	12,411	66.6%		
	Non-Citizen	13,419	30.5%	6,234	33.4%		
Language at Home (Households)	English Only	2,627	22.6%				
	Primarily Spanish	8,690	74.6%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	2,714	23.3%				
	<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	5,976	51.3%				
	Primarily Other Languages	330	2.8%				
<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	192	1.7%					
<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	137	1.2%					
Education (Age 25+)	Less than high school graduation	12,218	51.3%	5,237	61.9%		
	High School graduates	6,575	27.6%	2,077	24.6%		
	Some college, associates degree	4,179	17.5%	995	11.8%		
	Bachelor's degree or higher	854	3.6%	148	1.8%		
Emp. (16+)	Employed	15,117	49.4%	3,900	32.3%		
	Not Employed	15,478	50.6%	8,170	67.7%		
Income (Households)	Less than \$15,000	3,409	29.3%				
	\$15,000 to \$24,999	1,856	15.9%				
	\$25,000 to \$34,999	1,681	14.4%				
	\$35,000 to \$49,999	1,694	14.5%				
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,617	13.9%				
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	741	6.4%				
	\$100,000 or more	649	5.6%				

**Isaac Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs**

Child Care Providers	DHS Licensed Providers				Slots in Licensed Providers			
	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes
Oct. 1, 2015	11	7	5	0	1,068	628	440	0
Oct. 1, 2018	14	9	5	0	1,204	712	488	4
% Change '15	27.3%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	12.7%	13.4%	10.9%	0.0%

DES Child Care	DES Subsidies	DES Waitlist
	Jul-15	276
Jun-16	170	51
Jun-17	162	35
Jun-18	194	42
% Change '15	-29.7%	-25.0%

Disability	Develop. Disability		Az Early. Inter. Prog.	
	All Ages	Under 6	Jul-15	Jun-18
Jul-15	221	42	48	
Jun-16	371	85	50	
Jun-17	303	75	54	
Jun-18	312	83	60	
% Change '15	41.2%	97.6%	25.0%	

Food and Income Support	SNAP (Food Stamps)		TANF - Cash Asst.	
	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6
Jul-15	5,170	1,922	153	75
Jun-16	4,910	1,788	123	69
Jun-17	4,650	1,637	98	51
Jun-18	4,341	1,460	85	36
% Change '15	-16.0%	-24.0%	-44.4%	-52.0%

Child Protection	CPS Investigations		Children in Foster Care		Permanency Placements		
	Total	w/ Child 6 & Under	Total	Children 6 & Under	Adoption	Guardianship	
					All Ages	All Ages	
FY 2015	588	244	FY 2015	179	68	104	28
FY 2016	455	202	FY 2016	53	53	106	40
FY 2017	447	200	FY 2017	43	43	116	39
FY 2018	453	205	FY 2018	46	46	122	31
% Change '15	-23.0%	-16.0%	% Change '15	-74.3%	-32.4%	17.3%	10.7%

**Laveen Demographic Information**

		Total Population		Total with Income Under 100% FPL		Total Under 5 Years and Income Under 100% FPL	
		Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.
<b>Total Residents</b>		<b>51,188</b>		<b>9,066</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>1,533</b>	<b>16.9%</b>
<b>Total Households</b>		<b>13,718</b>		<b>1,843</b>		<b>795</b>	
Age	Under 5 years	5,136	10.0%	1,533	16.9%		
	5 to 14 years	9,782	19.1%	2,724	30.0%		
	15 to 17 years	2,701	5.3%	497	5.5%		
	18 to 24 years	4,380	8.6%	731	8.1%		
	25 to 34 years	8,375	16.4%	1,274	14.1%		
	35 to 44 years	7,637	14.9%	1,119	12.3%		
	45 to 54 years	5,856	11.4%	581	6.4%		
	55 to 64 years	4,257	8.3%	425	4.7%		
	65 to 74 years	2,107	4.1%	118	1.3%		
75 years and over	959	1.9%	63	0.7%			
Household Type	Family Households	11,396	83.1%	1,560	84.6%	795	100.0%
	<i>Married-couple family</i>	7,508	54.7%	575	31.2%	335	42.2%
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	1,325	9.7%	360	19.5%	216	27.2%
	<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	2,563	18.7%	626	33.9%	244	30.7%
	Non-Family Households	2,322	16.9%	283	15.4%		
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	1,087	7.9%	183	9.9%		
<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	1,234	9.0%	100	5.4%			
Race/Ethnicity	American Indian or Alaska Native	599	1.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Asian	1,500	2.9%	178	2.0%	27	1.7%
	Black/African-American	8,890	17.4%	2,376	26.2%	378	24.7%
	Hispanic	28,219	55.1%	5,293	58.4%	925	60.4%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	362	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	White/Caucasian	10,076	19.7%	1,079	11.9%	178	11.6%
	Two or More Races	1,436	2.8%	122	1.3%	22	1.5%
	Other	104	0.2%	19	0.2%	3	0.2%
Citizen.	Citizen	46,081	90.0%	8,126	89.6%		
	Non-Citizen	5,107	10.0%	940	10.4%		
Language at Home (Households)	English Only	7,543	55.0%				
	Primarily Spanish	4,615	33.6%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	450	3.3%				
	<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	4,165	30.4%				
	Primarily Other Languages	1,560	11.4%				
<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	160	1.2%					
<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	1,400	10.2%					
Education (Age 25+)	Less than high school graduation	5,085	17.4%	1,236	34.5%		
	High School graduates	7,262	24.9%	976	27.3%		
	Some college, associates degree	9,552	32.7%	1,065	29.7%		
	Bachelor's degree or higher	7,291	25.0%	303	8.5%		
Emp. (16+)	Employed	22,146	62.8%	1,495	31.9%		
	Not Employed	13,103	37.2%	3,195	68.1%		
Income (Households)	Less than \$15,000	1,065	7.8%				
	\$15,000 to \$24,999	729	5.3%				
	\$25,000 to \$34,999	1,140	8.3%				
	\$35,000 to \$49,999	1,869	13.6%				
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	3,015	22.0%				
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	2,316	16.9%				
	\$100,000 or more	3,583	26.1%				

**Laveen Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs**

Child Care Providers	DHS Licensed Providers				Slots in Licensed Providers			
	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes
Oct. 1, 2015	18	3	7	8	1,311	632	599	80
Oct. 1, 2018	19	3	8	8	1,676	623	972	81
% Change '15	5.6%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	27.8%	-1.4%	62.3%	1.3%

DES Child Care	DES Subsidies	DES Waitlist
	Jul-15	81
Jun-16	241	62
Jun-17	259	60
Jun-18	241	30
% Change '15	197.5%	87.5%

Disability	Develop. Disability		Az Early. Inter. Prog.	
	All Ages	Under 6	Jul-15	Jun-16
Jul-15	296	63	11	60
Jun-16	460	105	60	50
Jun-17	425	95	50	60
Jun-18	423	105	60	
% Change '15	42.9%	66.7%	% Change '15	445.5%

Food and Income Support	SNAP (Food Stamps)		TANF - Cash Asst.	
	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6
Jul-15	3,621	1,248	145	74
Jun-16	3,395	1,200	111	53
Jun-17	3,241	1,095	99	53
Jun-18	3,152	1,056	87	38
% Change '15	-13.0%	-15.4%	-40.0%	-48.6%

Child Protection	CPS Investigations		Children in Foster Care		Permanency Placements		
	Total	w/ Child 6 & Under	Total	Children 6 & Under	Adoption	Guardianship	
					All Ages	All Ages	
FY 2015	133	50	FY 2015	48	19	292	16
FY 2016	471	184	FY 2016	110	110	235	19
FY 2017	421	174	FY 2017	83	83	279	19
FY 2018	499	195	FY 2018	74	74	309	22
% Change '15	275.2%	290.0%	% Change '15	54.2%	289.5%	5.8%	37.5%

**Pendergast Demographic Information**

		Total Population		Total with Income Under 100% FPL		Total Under 5 Years and Income Under 100% FPL	
		Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.
<b>Total Residents</b>		<b>45,344</b>		<b>8,104</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>979</b>	<b>12.1%</b>
<b>Total Households</b>		<b>22,649</b>		<b>2,956</b>		<b>636</b>	
Age	Under 5 years	3,839	8.5%	979	12.1%		
	5 to 14 years	8,507	18.8%	1,992	24.6%		
	15 to 17 years	2,360	5.2%	362	4.5%		
	18 to 24 years	5,605	12.4%	1,142	14.1%		
	25 to 34 years	6,687	14.7%	1,079	13.3%		
	35 to 44 years	6,375	14.1%	932	11.5%		
	45 to 54 years	5,162	11.4%	595	7.3%		
	55 to 64 years	4,012	8.8%	806	9.9%		
	65 to 74 years	1,938	4.3%	140	1.7%		
75 years and over	860	1.9%	77	1.0%			
Household Type	Family Households	17,176	75.8%	2,196	74.3%	636	100.0%
	<i>Married-couple family</i>	11,311	49.9%	965	32.6%	213	33.5%
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	1,836	8.1%	157	5.3%	24	3.8%
	<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	4,029	17.8%	1,074	36.3%	399	62.7%
	Non-Family Households	5,474	24.2%	761	25.7%		
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	2,284	10.1%	382	12.9%		
<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	3,189	14.1%	378	12.8%			
Race/Ethnicity	American Indian or Alaska Native	676	1.5%	14	0.2%	0	0.0%
	Asian	749	1.7%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Black/African-American	2,433	5.4%	4	0.1%	0	0.0%
	Hispanic	35,694	78.7%	7,628	94.1%	881	90.0%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	White/Caucasian	4,997	11.0%	453	5.6%	97	9.9%
	Two or More Races	737	1.6%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Other	57	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Citizen.	Citizen	38,355	84.6%	6,711	82.8%		
	Non-Citizen	6,989	15.4%	1,394	17.2%		
Language at Home (Households)	English Only	12,691	56.0%				
	Primarily Spanish	8,739	38.6%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	1,276	5.6%				
	<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	7,464	33.0%				
	Primarily Other Languages	1,219	5.4%				
<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	244	1.1%					
<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	975	4.3%					
Education (Age 25+)	Less than high school graduation	7,176	28.7%	1,439	39.7%		
	High School graduates	7,517	30.0%	1,219	33.6%		
	Some college, associates degree	7,391	29.5%	709	19.5%		
	Bachelor's degree or higher	2,951	11.8%	263	7.2%		
Emp. (16+)	Employed	36,684	65.6%	3,053	41.5%		
	Not Employed	19,207	34.4%	4,308	58.5%		
Income (Households)	Less than \$15,000	1,775	7.8%				
	\$15,000 to \$24,999	1,869	8.3%				
	\$25,000 to \$34,999	2,238	9.9%				
	\$35,000 to \$49,999	3,838	16.9%				
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	5,359	23.7%				
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	3,444	15.2%				
	\$100,000 or more	4,127	18.2%				

**Pendergast Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs**

Child Care Providers	Total	DHS Licensed Providers			Total	Slots in Licensed Providers		
		Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes		Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes
Oct. 1, 2015	13	3	6	4	907	414	457	36
Oct. 1, 2018	11	3	5	3	856	498	327	31
% Change '15	-15.4%	0.0%	-16.7%	-25.0%	-5.6%	20.3%	-28.4%	-13.9%

DES Child Care	DES Subsidies	
	DES	DES Waitlist
Jul-15	239	77
Jun-16	156	49
Jun-17	187	47
Jun-18	202	32
% Change '15	-15.5%	-58.4%

Disability	Develop. Disability		Az Early. Inter. Prog.	
	All Ages	Under 6	Jul-15	Jun-18
Jul-15	269	35	35	
Jun-16	439	83	40	
Jun-17	406	89	37	
Jun-18	365	88	45	
% Change '15	35.7%	151.4%	28.6%	

Food and Income Support	SNAP (Food Stamps)		TANF - Cash Asst.	
	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6
Jul-15	3,282	1,247	103	57
Jun-16	3,021	1,125	76	35
Jun-17	2,777	981	59	27
Jun-18	2,564	862	46	20
% Change '15	-21.9%	-30.9%	-55.3%	-64.9%

Child Protection	CPS Investigations		Children in Foster Care		Permanency Placements		
	Total	w/ Child 6 & Under	Total	Children 6 & Under	Adoption	Guardianship	
					All Ages	All Ages	
FY 2015	423	141	FY 2015	115	46	160	22
FY 2016	365	147	FY 2016	68	68	148	31
FY 2017	370	166	FY 2017	77	77	156	34
FY 2018	380	152	FY 2018	59	59	174	34
% Change '15	-10.2%	7.8%	% Change '15	-48.7%	28.3%	8.8%	54.5%

**Phoenix Elem. Demographic Information**

		Total Population		Total with Income Under 100% FPL		Total Under 5 Years and Income Under 100% FPL	
		Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.
<b>Total Residents</b>		<b>32,288</b>		<b>11,216</b>	<b>34.7%</b>	<b>944</b>	<b>8.4%</b>
<b>Total Households</b>		<b>11,558</b>		<b>3,524</b>		<b>531</b>	
Age	Under 5 years	2,145	6.6%	944	8.4%		
	5 to 14 years	4,241	13.1%	1,873	16.7%		
	15 to 17 years	1,176	3.6%	639	5.7%		
	18 to 24 years	3,543	11.0%	1,540	13.7%		
	25 to 34 years	6,077	18.8%	1,438	12.8%		
	35 to 44 years	4,386	13.6%	1,422	12.7%		
	45 to 54 years	4,075	12.6%	1,349	12.0%		
	55 to 64 years	3,349	10.4%	1,027	9.2%		
	65 to 74 years	2,091	6.5%	601	5.4%		
75 years and over	1,206	3.7%	384	3.4%			
Household Type	Family Households	5,824	50.4%	1,631	46.3%	531	100.0%
	<i>Married-couple family</i>	3,294	28.5%	543	15.4%	196	37.0%
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	865	7.5%	306	8.7%	71	13.3%
	<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	1,664	14.4%	783	22.2%	264	49.7%
	Non-Family Households	5,735	49.6%	1,893	53.7%		
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	2,553	22.1%	874	24.8%		
<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	3,182	27.5%	1,020	28.9%			
Race/Ethnicity	American Indian or Alaska Native	1,154	3.6%	302	2.7%	24	2.5%
	Asian	1,255	3.9%	211	1.9%	19	2.0%
	Black/African-American	1,742	5.4%	666	5.9%	73	7.8%
	Hispanic	18,763	58.1%	8,571	76.4%	722	76.4%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	White/Caucasian	8,905	27.6%	1,363	12.2%	99	10.5%
	Two or More Races	426	1.3%	95	0.8%	7	0.7%
	Other	42	0.1%	8	0.1%	1	0.1%
Citizen.	Citizen	27,213	84.3%	9,057	80.7%		
	Non-Citizen	5,075	15.7%	2,160	19.3%		
Language at Home (Households)	English Only	6,887	59.6%				
	Primarily Spanish	3,915	33.9%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	846	7.3%				
	<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	3,069	26.6%				
	Primarily Other Languages	756	6.5%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	337	2.9%				
<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	419	3.6%					
Education (Age 25+)	Less than high school graduation	5,844	27.6%	2,673	43.0%		
	High School graduates	4,705	22.2%	1,688	27.1%		
	Some college, associates degree	5,370	25.4%	1,324	21.3%		
	Bachelor's degree or higher	5,264	24.8%	535	8.6%		
Emp. (16+)	Employed	13,496	52.8%	2,066	26.2%		
	Not Employed	12,043	47.2%	5,831	73.8%		
Income (Households)	Less than \$15,000	3,002	26.0%				
	\$15,000 to \$24,999	1,544	13.4%				
	\$25,000 to \$34,999	1,523	13.2%				
	\$35,000 to \$49,999	1,478	12.8%				
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,458	12.6%				
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	850	7.4%				
	\$100,000 or more	1,703	14.7%				

**Phoenix Elem. Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs**

Child Care Providers	DHS Licensed Providers				Slots in Licensed Providers			
	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes
Oct. 1, 2015	13	8	5	0	1,786	567	1,219	0
Oct. 1, 2018	15	10	6	0	1,975	739	1,236	0
% Change '15	15.4%	25.0%	20.0%	0.0%	10.6%	30.3%	1.4%	0.0%

DES Child Care	DES Subsidies	DES Waitlist
	Jul-15	219
Jun-16	112	41
Jun-17	129	27
Jun-18	129	21
% Change '15	-41.1%	-60.4%

Disability	Develop. Disability		Az Early. Inter. Prog.	
	All Ages	Under 6	Jul-15	Jun-18
Jul-15	113	17	33	
Jun-16	208	42	18	
Jun-17	174	29	9	
Jun-18	154	37	21	
% Change '15	36.3%	117.6%	% Change '15	-36.4%

Food and Income Support	SNAP (Food Stamps)		TANF - Cash Asst.	
	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6
Jul-15	6,470	1,001	123	59
Jun-16	5,984	937	103	56
Jun-17	5,842	894	85	42
Jun-18	5,412	795	64	35
% Change '15	-16.4%	-20.6%	-48.0%	-40.7%

Child Protection	CPS Investigations		Children in Foster Care		Permanency Placements		
	Total	w/ Child 6 & Under	Total	Children 6 & Under	Adoption	Guardianship	
					All Ages	All Ages	
FY 2015	449	204	FY 2015	134	63	13	
FY 2016	349	162	FY 2016	48	48	18	
FY 2017	349	159	FY 2017	41	41	21	
FY 2018	367	171	FY 2018	39	39	19	
% Change '15	-18.3%	-16.2%	% Change '15	-70.9%	-38.1%	31.7%	46.2%



**Riverside Demographic Information**

		Total Population		Total with Income Under 100% FPL		Total Under 5 Years and Income Under 100% FPL	
		Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.
<b>Total Residents</b>		<b>8,055</b>		<b>1,588</b>	<b>19.7%</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>12.6%</b>
<b>Total Households</b>		<b>2,132</b>		<b>415</b>		<b>130</b>	
Age	Under 5 years	672	8.3%	201	12.6%		
	5 to 14 years	1,604	19.9%	400	25.2%		
	15 to 17 years	383	4.8%	99	6.3%		
	18 to 24 years	1,021	12.7%	269	16.9%		
	25 to 34 years	1,218	15.1%	163	10.3%		
	35 to 44 years	1,373	17.0%	228	14.3%		
	45 to 54 years	704	8.7%	93	5.8%		
	55 to 64 years	731	9.1%	110	6.9%		
	65 to 74 years	305	3.8%	14	0.9%		
75 years and over	43	0.5%	11	0.7%			
Household Type	Family Households	1,669	78.3%	298	71.9%	130	100.0%
	<i>Married-couple family</i>	1,029	48.3%	99	24.0%	57	43.7%
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	221	10.4%	87	20.9%	48	37.2%
	<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	419	19.6%	112	27.0%	25	19.1%
	Non-Family Households	463	21.7%	116	28.1%		
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	175	8.2%	68	16.3%		
<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	288	13.5%	49	11.8%			
Race/Ethnicity	American Indian or Alaska Native	192	2.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Asian	98	1.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Black/African-American	322	4.0%	248	15.6%	30	15.1%
	Hispanic	6,861	85.2%	1,157	72.8%	146	72.7%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	White/Caucasian	522	6.5%	153	9.6%	21	10.5%
	Two or More Races	53	0.7%	27	1.7%	3	1.6%
	Other	7	0.1%	3	0.2%	0	0.2%
Citizen.	Citizen	7,075	87.8%	1,373	86.4%		
	Non-Citizen	979	12.2%	215	13.6%		
Language at Home (Households)	English Only	992	46.5%				
	Primarily Spanish	1,039	48.7%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	130	6.1%				
	<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	908	42.6%				
	Primarily Other Languages	101	4.7%				
<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	5	0.3%					
<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	96	4.5%					
Education (Age 25+)	Less than high school graduation	1,022	23.4%	198	32.0%		
	High School graduates	1,306	29.9%	178	28.8%		
	Some college, associates degree	1,385	31.7%	226	36.6%		
	Bachelor's degree or higher	662	15.1%	16	2.6%		
Emp. (16+)	Employed	3,744	66.1%	308	32.9%		
	Not Employed	1,917	33.9%	628	67.1%		
Income (Households)	Less than \$15,000	230	10.8%				
	\$15,000 to \$24,999	126	5.9%				
	\$25,000 to \$34,999	311	14.6%				
	\$35,000 to \$49,999	416	19.5%				
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	514	24.1%				
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	215	10.1%				
	\$100,000 or more	320	15.0%				

**Riverside Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs**

Child Care Providers	DHS Licensed Providers				Slots in Licensed Providers			
	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes
Oct. 1, 2015	4	1	2	1	273	121	145	7
Oct. 1, 2018	4	1	2	1	268	134	127	7
% Change '15	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-1.8%	10.7%	-12.4%	0.0%

DES Child Care	DES Subsidies	DES Waitlist
	Jul-15	52
Jun-16	25	9
Jun-17	25	7
Jun-18	27	5
% Change '15	-48.1%	-50.0%

Disability	Develop. Disability		Az Early. Inter. Prog.	
	All Ages	Under 6	Jul-15	Jun-16
Jul-15	48	10	10	7
Jun-16	72	18	7	7
Jun-17	59	14	7	9
Jun-18	53	15	9	
% Change '15	10.4%	50.0%	% Change '15	-10.0%

Food and Income Support	SNAP (Food Stamps)		TANF - Cash Asst.	
	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6
Jul-15	623	244	19	9
Jun-16	541	208	16	8
Jun-17	516	198	15	8
Jun-18	490	183	11	5
% Change '15	-21.3%	-25.0%	-42.1%	-44.4%

Child Protection	CPS Investigations		Children in Foster Care		Permanency Placements		
	Total	w/ Child 6 & Under	Total	Children 6 & Under	Adoption	Guardianship	
					All Ages	All Ages	
FY 2015	73	30	FY 2015	22	8	25	6
FY 2016	69	28	FY 2016	12	12	23	4
FY 2017	62	27	FY 2017	8	8	27	4
FY 2018	59	25	FY 2018	9	9	30	3
% Change '15	-19.2%	-16.7%	% Change '15	-59.1%	12.5%	20.0%	-50.0%

**Murphy Demographic Information**

		Total Population		Total with Income Under 100% FPL		Total Under 5 Years and Income Under 100% FPL	
		Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.
<b>Total Residents</b>		<b>13,179</b>		<b>6,761</b>	<b>51.3%</b>	<b>545</b>	<b>8.1%</b>
<b>Total Households</b>		<b>2,617</b>		<b>1,245</b>		<b>274</b>	
Age	Under 5 years	865	6.6%	545	8.1%		
	5 to 14 years	1,651	12.5%	904	13.4%		
	15 to 17 years	372	2.8%	192	2.8%		
	18 to 24 years	2,076	15.8%	1,295	19.2%		
	25 to 34 years	2,886	21.9%	1,118	16.5%		
	35 to 44 years	1,901	14.4%	1,111	16.4%		
	45 to 54 years	1,628	12.4%	717	10.6%		
	55 to 64 years	1,129	8.6%	579	8.6%		
	65 to 74 years	481	3.6%	219	3.2%		
75 years and over	188	1.4%	79	1.2%			
Household Type	Family Households	1,749	66.8%	765	61.4%	274	100.0%
	<i>Married-couple family</i>	795	30.4%	304	24.5%	112	41.0%
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	337	12.9%	108	8.7%	22	8.0%
	<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	617	23.6%	352	28.3%	140	51.0%
	Non-Family Households	869	33.2%	480	38.6%		
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	325	12.4%	206	16.6%		
<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	544	20.8%	274	22.0%			
Race/Ethnicity	American Indian or Alaska Native	218	1.7%	76	1.1%	5	0.9%
	Asian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Black/African-American	50	0.4%	50	0.7%	4	0.7%
	Hispanic	12,587	95.5%	6,436	95.2%	523	95.9%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	White/Caucasian	283	2.1%	181	2.7%	12	2.2%
	Two or More Races	39	0.3%	17	0.3%	1	0.2%
	Other	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Citizen	Citizen	10,511	79.8%	5,279	78.1%		
	Non-Citizen	2,668	20.2%	1,481	21.9%		
Language at Home (Households)	English Only	642	24.5%				
	Primarily Spanish	1,938	74.0%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	557	21.3%				
	<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	1,381	52.8%				
	Primarily Other Languages	38	1.4%				
<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	14	0.5%					
<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	24	0.9%					
Education (Age 25+)	Less than high school graduation	3,584	43.6%	1,645	43.0%		
	High School graduates	2,802	34.1%	1,279	33.5%		
	Some college, associates degree	1,397	17.0%	775	20.3%		
	Bachelor's degree or higher	431	5.2%	125	3.3%		
Emp. (16+)	Employed	2,984	28.4%	650	12.6%		
	Not Employed	7,535	71.6%	4,503	87.4%		
Income (Households)	Less than \$15,000	886	33.8%				
	\$15,000 to \$24,999	471	18.0%				
	\$25,000 to \$34,999	394	15.1%				
	\$35,000 to \$49,999	344	13.2%				
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	330	12.6%				
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	101	3.9%				
	\$100,000 or more	90	3.4%				

**Murphy Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs**

Child Care Providers	Total	DHS Licensed Providers			Total	Slots in Licensed Providers		
		Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes		Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes
Oct. 1, 2015	6	3	2	0	542	316	226	0
Oct. 1, 2018	7	5	2	0	601	365	236	0
% Change '15	16.7%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	10.9%	15.5%	4.4%	0.0%


DES Child Care	DES Subsidies	
	DES	DES Waitlist
Jul-15	62	19
Jun-16	61	18
Jun-17	57	12
Jun-18	70	17
% Change '15	12.9%	-10.5%

Disability	Develop. Disability		Az Early. Inter. Prog.	
	All Ages	Under 6	Jul-15	Jun-16
Jul-15	82	16	17	20
Jun-16	136	32	22	25
Jun-17	110	27	25	
Jun-18	121	32		
% Change '15	47.6%	100.0%	47.1%	

Food and Income Support	SNAP (Food Stamps)		TANF - Cash Asst.	
	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6
Jul-15	2,064	728	58	27
Jun-16	1,984	683	49	27
Jun-17	1,880	626	38	20
Jun-18	1,784	568	35	15
% Change '15	-13.6%	-22.0%	-39.7%	-44.4%


Child Protection	CPS Investigations		Children in Foster Care		Permanency Placements		
	Total	w/ Child 6 & Under	Total	Children 6 & Under	Adoption	Guardianship	
					All Ages	All Ages	
FY 2015	240	98	FY 2015	72	27	37	11
FY 2016	178	77	FY 2016	19	19	40	15
FY 2017	184	82	FY 2017	15	15	44	15
FY 2018	180	83	FY 2018	18	18	45	11
% Change '15	-25.0%	-15.3%	% Change '15	-75.0%	-33.3%	21.6%	0.0%

### Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Murphy



#### Children Under 5

- 0 - 50
- 51 - 100
- 101 - 150
- 151 - 200
- 200+



**Roosevelt Demographic Information**

		Total Population		Total with Income Under 100% FPL		Total Under 5 Years and Income Under 100% FPL	
		Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.
<b>Total Residents</b>		<b>114,304</b>		<b>29,790</b>	<b>26.1%</b>	<b>4,429</b>	<b>14.9%</b>
<b>Total Households</b>		<b>33,267</b>		<b>7,497</b>		<b>2,549</b>	
Age	Under 5 years	10,582	9.3%	4,429	14.9%		
	5 to 14 years	19,579	17.1%	7,064	23.7%		
	15 to 17 years	5,017	4.4%	1,482	5.0%		
	18 to 24 years	12,589	11.0%	3,262	10.9%		
	25 to 34 years	18,152	15.9%	4,588	15.4%		
	35 to 44 years	15,250	13.3%	3,492	11.7%		
	45 to 54 years	12,897	11.3%	1,921	6.4%		
	55 to 64 years	10,771	9.4%	1,944	6.5%		
	65 to 74 years	6,158	5.4%	1,003	3.4%		
75 years and over	3,309	2.9%	605	2.0%			
Household Type	Family Households	23,937	72.0%	5,043	67.3%	2,549	100.0%
	<i>Married-couple family</i>	13,310	40.0%	1,672	22.3%	859	33.7%
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	3,197	9.6%	741	9.9%	312	12.3%
	<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	7,430	22.3%	2,629	35.1%	1,378	54.1%
	Non-Family Households	9,330	28.0%	2,454	32.7%		
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	4,119	12.4%	1,020	13.6%		
<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	5,210	15.7%	1,435	19.1%			
Race/Ethnicity	American Indian or Alaska Native	4,351	3.8%	751	2.5%	68	1.5%
	Asian	2,215	1.9%	74	0.2%	11	0.2%
	Black/African-American	14,331	12.5%	3,277	11.0%	441	10.0%
	Hispanic	81,172	71.0%	24,591	82.5%	3,730	84.2%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	175	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	White/Caucasian	10,054	8.8%	803	2.7%	135	3.1%
	Two or More Races	1,802	1.6%	261	0.9%	39	0.9%
	Other	203	0.2%	33	0.1%	4	0.1%
Citizen.	Citizen	96,101	84.1%	23,600	79.2%		
	Non-Citizen	18,204	15.9%	6,190	20.8%		
Language at Home (Households)	English Only	17,688	53.2%				
	Primarily Spanish	14,013	42.1%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	3,082	9.3%				
	<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	10,931	32.9%				
	Primarily Other Languages	1,566	4.7%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	175	0.5%				
<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	1,391	4.2%					
Education (Age 25+)	Less than high school graduation	18,710	28.1%	6,369	47.0%		
	High School graduates	19,108	28.7%	4,166	30.7%		
	Some college, associates degree	17,875	26.9%	2,439	18.0%		
	Bachelor's degree or higher	10,846	16.3%	580	4.3%		
Emp. (16+)	Employed	47,800	58.1%	5,737	29.3%		
	Not Employed	34,418	41.9%	13,858	70.7%		
Income (Households)	Less than \$15,000	5,139	15.4%				
	\$15,000 to \$24,999	3,926	11.8%				
	\$25,000 to \$34,999	3,846	11.6%				
	\$35,000 to \$49,999	5,081	15.3%				
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	6,009	18.1%				
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	3,605	10.8%				
	\$100,000 or more	5,662	17.0%				

**Roosevelt Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs**

Child Care Providers	DHS Licensed Providers				Slots in Licensed Providers			
	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes
Oct. 1, 2015	55	24	9	22	3,329	2,523	588	217
Oct. 1, 2018	52	26	11	16	3,402	2,737	508	157
% Change '15	-5.5%	8.3%	22.2%	-27.3%	2.2%	8.5%	-13.6%	-27.6%


DES Child Care	DES Subsidies	DES Waitlist
	Jul-15	577
Jun-16	564	180
Jun-17	603	162
Jun-18	586	99
% Change '15	1.6%	-51.7%

Disability	Develop. Disability		Az Early. Inter. Prog.	
	All Ages	Under 6	Jul-15	Jun-18
Jul-15	638	106	133	
Jun-16	1,012	199	128	
Jun-17	896	180	127	
Jun-18	833	175	139	
% Change '15	30.6%	65.1%	4.5%	

Food and Income Support	SNAP (Food Stamps)		TANF - Cash Asst.	
	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6
Jul-15	12,522	3,951	334	182
Jun-16	11,373	3,645	252	140
Jun-17	10,683	3,206	220	118
Jun-18	10,038	2,884	183	85
% Change '15	-19.8%	-27.0%	-45.2%	-53.3%


Child Protection	CPS Investigations		Children in Foster Care		Permanency Placements		
	Total	w/ Child 6 & Under	Total	Children 6 & Under	Adoption	Guardianship	
					All Ages	All Ages	
FY 2015	1,379	553	FY 2015	485	191	451	80
FY 2016	1,167	542	FY 2016	219	219	441	81
FY 2017	1,141	489	FY 2017	199	199	511	84
FY 2018	1,158	487	FY 2018	180	180	564	77
% Change '15	-16.0%	-11.9%	% Change '15	-62.9%	-5.8%	25.1%	-3.8%

### Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Roosevelt



#### Children Under 5

- 0 - 50
- 51 - 100
- 101 - 150
- 151 - 200
- 200+





**Washington Demographic Information**

		Total Population		Total with Income Under 100% FPL		Total Under 5 Years and Income Under 100% FPL	
		Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.
<b>Total Residents</b>		<b>229,764</b>		<b>50,789</b>	<b>22.1%</b>	<b>5,925</b>	<b>11.7%</b>
<b>Total Households</b>		<b>88,371</b>		<b>16,619</b>		<b>3,531</b>	
Age	Under 5 years	17,154	7.5%	5,925	11.7%		
	5 to 14 years	31,157	13.6%	9,861	19.4%		
	15 to 17 years	8,083	3.5%	2,138	4.2%		
	18 to 24 years	22,839	9.9%	6,746	13.3%		
	25 to 34 years	34,977	15.2%	7,424	14.6%		
	35 to 44 years	29,613	12.9%	6,155	12.1%		
	45 to 54 years	30,171	13.1%	5,347	10.5%		
	55 to 64 years	26,762	11.6%	4,197	8.3%		
	65 to 74 years	16,374	7.1%	1,789	3.5%		
75 years and over	12,633	5.5%	1,207	2.4%			
Household Type	Family Households	53,103	60.1%	9,198	55.3%	3,531	100.0%
	<i>Married-couple family</i>	32,975	37.3%	3,496	21.0%	1,265	35.8%
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	6,317	7.1%	1,249	7.5%	375	10.6%
	<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	13,810	15.6%	4,453	26.8%	1,892	53.6%
	Non-Family Households	35,268	39.9%	7,421	44.7%		
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	17,506	19.8%	3,402	20.5%		
<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	17,763	20.1%	4,019	24.2%			
Race/Ethnicity	American Indian or Alaska Native	5,826	2.5%	1,080	2.1%	110	1.9%
	Asian	8,219	3.6%	1,339	2.6%	191	3.2%
	Black/African-American	18,131	7.9%	5,568	11.0%	609	10.3%
	Hispanic	102,673	44.7%	26,849	52.9%	3,233	54.6%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	White/Caucasian	84,835	36.9%	13,607	26.8%	1,558	26.3%
	Two or More Races	9,795	4.3%	2,290	4.5%	218	3.7%
	Other	285	0.1%	56	0.1%	6	0.1%
Citizen.	Citizen	202,529	88.1%	41,834	82.4%		
	Non-Citizen	27,235	11.9%	8,955	17.6%		
Language at Home (Households)	English Only	61,429	69.5%				
	Primarily Spanish	19,186	21.7%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	3,306	3.7%				
	<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	15,880	18.0%				
	Primarily Other Languages	7,756	8.8%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	1,808	2.0%				
<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	5,948	6.7%					
Education (Age 25+)	Less than high school graduation	22,298	14.8%	7,224	27.7%		
	High School graduates	41,919	27.8%	8,772	33.6%		
	Some college, associates degree	50,975	33.9%	7,680	29.4%		
	Bachelor's degree or higher	35,338	23.5%	2,444	9.4%		
Emp. (16+)	Employed	109,965	59.2%	11,647	32.1%		
	Not Employed	75,882	40.8%	24,646	67.9%		
Income (Households)	Less than \$15,000	12,665	14.3%				
	\$15,000 to \$24,999	11,372	12.9%				
	\$25,000 to \$34,999	10,442	11.8%				
	\$35,000 to \$49,999	13,837	15.7%				
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	16,646	18.8%				
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	9,661	10.9%				
	\$100,000 or more	13,748	15.6%				

**Washington Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs**

Child Care Providers	DHS Licensed Providers				Slots in Licensed Providers			
	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes
Oct. 1, 2015	89	51	29	8	9,829	5,709	4,036	83
Oct. 1, 2018	88	51	31	7	10,795	6,036	4,697	62
% Change '15	-1.1%	0.0%	6.9%	-12.5%	9.8%	5.7%	16.4%	-25.3%


DES Child Care	DES Subsidies	DES Waitlist
	Jul-15	1,035
Jun-16	957	248
Jun-17	1,033	210
Jun-18	1,150	173
% Change '15	11.1%	-49.7%

Disability	Develop. Disability		Az Early. Inter. Prog.	
	All Ages	Under 6	Jul-15	Jun-18
Jul-15	1,406	200	234	
Jun-16	2,346	391	249	
Jun-17	1,854	344	219	
Jun-18	1,638	368	229	
% Change '15	16.5%	84.0%	% Change '15	-2.1%



Food and Income Support	SNAP (Food Stamps)		TANF - Cash Asst.	
	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6
Jul-15	21,968	6,066	577	289
Jun-16	20,277	5,705	540	274
Jun-17	19,864	5,327	453	227
Jun-18	18,358	4,740	362	164
% Change '15	-16.4%	-21.9%	-37.3%	-43.3%

Child Protection	CPS Investigations		Children in Foster Care		Permanency Placements		
	Total	w/ Child 6 & Under	Total	Children 6 & Under	Adoption	Guardianship	
					All Ages	All Ages	
FY 2015	2,633	1,161	FY 2015	857	369	561	81
FY 2016	2,137	1,004	FY 2016	326	326	555	129
FY 2017	2,135	983	FY 2017	257	257	650	146
FY 2018	2,276	1,124	FY 2018	242	242	700	147
% Change '15	-13.6%	-3.2%	% Change '15	-71.8%	-34.4%	24.8%	81.5%

**Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Washington**



Children Under 5



**Wilson Demographic Information**

		Total Population		Total with Income Under 100% FPL		Total Under 5 Years and Income Under 100% FPL	
		Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.	Total	Perc.
<b>Total Residents</b>		<b>3,791</b>		<b>1,728</b>	<b>45.6%</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
<b>Total Households</b>		<b>1,132</b>		<b>413</b>		<b>139</b>	
Age	Under 5 years	413	10.9%	288	16.7%		
	5 to 14 years	681	18.0%	466	26.9%		
	15 to 17 years	149	3.9%	86	5.0%		
	18 to 24 years	472	12.4%	139	8.0%		
	25 to 34 years	843	22.2%	243	14.1%		
	35 to 44 years	496	13.1%	249	14.4%		
	45 to 54 years	328	8.7%	135	7.8%		
	55 to 64 years	207	5.5%	76	4.4%		
	65 to 74 years	106	2.8%	37	2.1%		
75 years and over	97	2.5%	10	0.6%			
Household Type	Family Households	626	55.3%	294	71.1%	139	100.0%
	<i>Married-couple family</i>	282	24.9%	111	26.9%	60	43.4%
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	60	5.3%	19	4.6%	10	6.9%
	<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	285	25.2%	164	39.6%	69	49.7%
	Non-Family Households	506	44.7%	119	28.9%		
	<i>Male householder, no wife present</i>	219	19.3%	53	12.8%		
<i>Female householder, no husband present</i>	287	25.4%	66	16.1%			
Race/Ethnicity	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Asian	9	0.2%	9	0.5%	2	0.7%
	Black/African-American	478	12.6%	216	12.5%	47	16.1%
	Hispanic	2,921	77.1%	1,467	84.9%	235	81.5%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	White/Caucasian	335	8.9%	32	1.9%	4	1.4%
	Two or More Races	41	1.1%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%
	Other	6	0.2%	3	0.1%	1	0.2%
Citizen	Citizen	2,953	77.9%	1,271	73.6%		
	Non-Citizen	836	22.1%	457	26.4%		
Language at Home (Households)	English Only	484	42.7%				
	Primarily Spanish	573	50.6%				
	<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	159	14.1%				
	<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	413	36.5%				
	Primarily Other Languages	75	6.7%				
<i>Linguistically isolated</i>	31	2.8%					
<i>Not linguistically isolated</i>	44	3.9%					
Education (Age 25+)	Less than high school graduation	811	39.0%	426	56.8%		
	High School graduates	434	20.9%	170	22.6%		
	Some college, associates degree	460	22.1%	98	13.0%		
	Bachelor's degree or higher	372	17.9%	56	7.5%		
Emp. (16+)	Employed	1,658	63.0%	405	41.4%		
	Not Employed	974	37.0%	572	58.6%		
Income (Households)	Less than \$15,000	273	24.1%				
	\$15,000 to \$24,999	211	18.7%				
	\$25,000 to \$34,999	161	14.2%				
	\$35,000 to \$49,999	165	14.6%				
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	169	15.0%				
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	78	6.9%				
	\$100,000 or more	75	6.6%				

**Wilson Utilization of Select Public Assistance Programs**

Child Care Providers	DHS Licensed Providers				Slots in Licensed Providers			
	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes	Total	Licensed Centers	Lic. Public Schools	Small Group Homes
Oct. 1, 2015	2	1	1	0	307	120	186	1
Oct. 1, 2018	2	1	1	0	305	123	182	0
% Change '15	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.7%	2.5%	-2.2%	-100.0%



DES Child Care	DES Subsidies	DES Waitlist
	Jul-15	18
Jun-16	17	4
Jun-17	19	4
Jun-18	21	4
% Change '15	16.7%	-42.9%

Disability	Develop. Disability		Az Early. Inter. Prog.	
	All Ages	Under 6	Jul-15	Jun-16
Jul-15	16	4	5	4
Jun-16	30	8	4	4
Jun-17	26	7	4	4
Jun-18	24	7	4	4
% Change '15	50.0%	75.0%	% Change '15	-20.0%

Food and Income Support	SNAP (Food Stamps)		TANF - Cash Asst.	
	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6	Total Cases	w/ Child Under 6
Jul-15	497	154	13	8
Jun-16	460	142	10	5
Jun-17	463	130	8	4
Jun-18	433	114	8	5
% Change '15	-12.9%	-26.0%	-38.5%	-37.5%

Child Protection	CPS Investigations		Children in Foster Care		Permanency Placements	
	Total	w/ Child 6 & Under	Total	Children 6 & Under	Adoption	Guardianship
					All Ages	All Ages
FY 2015	58	26	FY 2015	21	7	1
FY 2016	44	22	FY 2016	6	5	3
FY 2017	42	20	FY 2017	5	6	3
FY 2018	41	20	FY 2018	5	7	4
% Change '15	-29.3%	-23.1%	% Change '15	-76.2%	0.0%	300.0%

### Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty by Census Tract - Wilson



**Appendix I: Additional Information Relating to Community Surveys**

<b>Appendix Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
Appendix I-I: Counts of Completed Surveys	I-2
Appendix I-II: Tabulation of Resident Survey Responses	I-3
Appendix I-III: Tabulation of Partner Survey Responses	I-35

**Appendix I-I: Counts of Completed Surveys**

**Completed Resident Surveys by Service Received**

	<b>Total</b>		<b>English</b>			<b>Spanish</b>		
	Indicated Use in Q.16, Pt. 1	Rated Service in Q. 16A-16K	Indicated Use in Q.16, Pt. 1	Rated Service in Q. 16A-16K	Pct. Respondents Rating Svc	Indicated Use in Q.16, Pt. 1	Rated Service in Q. 16A-16K	Pct. Respondents Rating Svc
Early Head Start In Home Services	149	132	95	82	86.3%	54	50	92.6%
Early Head Start Child Care	130	117	108	99	91.7%	22	18	81.8%
Head Start	542	497	326	301	92.3%	216	196	90.7%
Senior Center	286	237	283	236	83.4%	3	1	33.3%
Family Services Center	144	105	141	103	73.0%	3	2	66.7%
Family Advocacy Center	17	10	12	7	58.3%	5	3	60.0%
Volunteer Income Tax Assistance Program	46	32	40	28	70.0%	6	4	66.7%
Home Delivered Meals	168	141	167	141	84.4%	1	0	0.0%
Homeless Services	21	8	21	8	38.1%	0	0	
ARIZONA@WORK City of Phoenix Job Centers	41	35	38	33	86.8%	3	2	66.7%
Opportunities for Youth Reengagement Centers	5	2	2	1	50.0%	3	1	33.3%
No Service Indicated	568		568			0		
<b>Total Responses (Unduplicated)</b>	<b>1,899</b>		<b>1,545</b>			<b>354</b>		

**Completed Provider/ Partner Surveys**

		<b>English</b>	<b>Spanish</b>
<b>Total Responses (Unduplicated)</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>1</b>



**Appendix I-II: Tabulation of Resident Survey Responses**

**Q1. What do you think are the three greatest strengths of your neighborhood?**

Option	Total				Early Head Start In Home Services				Early Head Start Child Care			
	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank
Affordable Housing	343	29%	2	4	19	25%	4	5	25	28%	3	5
City services (such as parks and libraries)	411	34%	1	1	21	28%	2	1	39	44%	1	1
College/ trade school options	74	6%	14	11	5	7%	12	10	4	4%	14	11
Strong cultural ties	79	7%	13	12	5	7%	12	12	8	9%	11	12
Strong families	250	21%	7	6	15	20%	5	6	22	25%	5	8
Good jobs	84	7%	12	10	8	11%	10	11	5	6%	13	7
Access to employment services and resources	96	8%	10	N/A	10	13%	9	N/A	12	13%	8	N/A
Help is available when needed	240	20%	8	8	6	8%	11	8	12	13%	8	6
Neighbors care about each other	304	25%	5	2	20	27%	3	3	21	24%	6	4
Positive police presence	193	16%	9	7	13	17%	8	7	9	10%	10	9
Religious communities	94	8%	11	9	4	5%	14	9	6	7%	12	10
Good schools	305	25%	4	3	26	35%	1	2	28	31%	2	1
Public transportation	337	28%	3	5	14	19%	7	3	23	26%	4	3
Accessible medical, dental and/or mental health services	258	22%	6	N/A	15	20%	5	N/A	18	20%	7	N/A

**Q1. What do you think are the three greatest strengths of your neighborhood?**

Option	Head Start				Senior Centers				Family Services Centers			
	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank
Affordable Housing	74	27%	4	3	56	28%	3	4	28	30%	3	2
City services (such as parks and libraries)	99	37%	2	1	88	44%	1	1	25	27%	4	1
College/ trade school options	15	6%	12	11	15	8%	12	12	8	9%	12	12
Strong cultural ties	19	7%	11	12	12	6%	13	11	5	5%	13	11
Strong families	67	25%	5	6	34	17%	9	9	15	16%	8	4
Good jobs	14	5%	14	10	16	8%	11	10	10	11%	11	2
Access to employment services and resources	26	10%	10	N/A	9	5%	14	N/A	13	14%	10	N/A
Help is available when needed	38	14%	8	8	49	25%	5	6	30	32%	2	9
Neighbors care about each other	65	24%	6	5	57	29%	2	3	25	27%	4	4
Positive police presence	35	13%	9	7	40	20%	6	5	14	15%	9	4
Religious communities	15	6%	12	9	20	10%	10	8	3	3%	14	10
Good schools	109	40%	1	2	35	18%	8	7	21	22%	6	4
Public transportation	77	29%	3	4	37	19%	7	2	31	33%	1	4
Accessible medical, dental and/or mental health services	56	21%	7	N/A	53	27%	4	N/A	21	22%	6	N/A

**Q1. What do you think are the three greatest strengths of your neighborhood?**

Option	Family Advocacy Center				Volunteer Income Tax Assistance				Home Delivered Meals*			
	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank
Affordable Housing	5	56%	1	7	7	26%	3	3	43	35%	1	N/A
City services (such as parks and libraries)	1	11%	8	2	9	33%	1	1	25	20%	7	N/A
College/ trade school options	1	11%	8	11	4	15%	9	11	2	2%	14	N/A
Strong cultural ties	0	0%		11	1	4%	14	12	5	4%	13	N/A
Strong families	1	11%	8	10	3	11%	11	10	23	19%	8	N/A
Good jobs	2	22%	5	9	5	19%	8	9	10	8%	11	N/A
Access to employment services and resources	1	11%	8	N/A	2	7%	13	N/A	8	7%	12	N/A
Help is available when needed	3	33%	2	6	7	26%	3	6	38	31%	2	N/A
Neighbors care about each other	2	22%	5	5	6	22%	7	4	34	28%	3	N/A
Positive police presence	2	22%	5	2	4	15%	9	7	32	26%	6	N/A
Religious communities	1	11%	8	7	3	11%	11	7	13	11%	10	N/A
Good schools	3	33%	2	1	7	26%	3	5	18	15%	9	N/A
Public transportation	3	33%	2	2	7	26%	3	2	34	28%	3	N/A
Accessible medical, dental and/or mental health services	0	0%		N/A	8	30%	2	N/A	33	27%	5	N/A

\*Program(s) were not included in 2016 survey.

**Q1. What do you think are the three greatest strengths of your neighborhood?**

Option	Homeless Services*				ARIZONA@WORK Job Centers*				Opportunities for Youth Reengagement Centers*			
	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank
Affordable Housing	5	36%	1	N/A	8	30%	3	N/A	1	100%	1	N/A
City services (such as parks and libraries)	2	14%	8	N/A	7	26%	5	N/A	0	0%		N/A
College/ trade school options	0	0%		N/A	2	7%	12	N/A	0	0%		N/A
Strong cultural ties	1	7%	10	N/A	4	15%	8	N/A	0	0%		N/A
Strong families	1	7%	10	N/A	4	15%	8	N/A	0	0%		N/A
Good jobs	3	21%	5	N/A	5	19%	7	N/A	1	100%	1	N/A
Access to employment services and resources	0	0%		N/A	1	4%	13	N/A	0	0%		N/A
Help is available when needed	4	29%	2	N/A	8	30%	3	N/A	0	0%		N/A
Neighbors care about each other	4	29%	2	N/A	10	37%	1	N/A	0	0%		N/A
Positive police presence	3	21%	5	N/A	3	11%	10	N/A	0	0%		N/A
Religious communities	3	21%	5	N/A	1	4%	13	N/A	0	0%		N/A
Good schools	2	14%	8	N/A	6	22%	6	N/A	1	100%	1	N/A
Public transportation	4	29%	2	N/A	9	33%	2	N/A	0	0%		N/A
Accessible medical, dental and/or mental health services	1	7%	10	N/A	3	11%	10	N/A	0	0%		N/A

\*Program(s) were not included in 2016 survey.

**Q2. What do you think are the three greatest challenges facing your neighborhood?**

Option	Total				Early Head Start In Home Services				Early Head Start Child Care			
	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank
Lack of after school activities	239	21%	3	8	18	24%	2	3	26	31%	1	2
Not enough community resources or activities	0	0%		4	0	0%		1	0	0%		1
School dropouts	81	7%	14	14	3	4%	14	10	5	6%	14	14
Drug use	377	33%	1	2	19	25%	1	2	24	29%	2	4
Lack of good jobs	140	12%	10	6	9	12%	9	9	7	8%	13	6
No affordable housing	225	19%	4	10	17	23%	4	7	14	17%	5	3
Juvenile crime/ gangs	155	13%	9	7	6	8%	10	12	10	12%	9	13
Lack of access to employment/ job resources	71	6%	16	N/A	2	3%	16	N/A	5	6%	14	N/A
Lack of parent involvement	156	13%	8	9	3	4%	14	7	13	15%	6	5
Not enough police presence	202	17%	5	5	13	17%	5	5	11	13%	8	9
Properties in poor condition or neighborhood blight	188	16%	6	3	11	15%	7	5	22	26%	4	7
Property crimes (such as theft)	267	23%	2	1	18	24%	2	4	23	27%	3	7
Residents do not work together	175	15%	7	11	11	15%	7	10	12	14%	7	9
Lack of good schools	73	6%	15	13	12	16%	6	13	8	10%	11	9
Lack of transportation	91	8%	12	12	4	5%	12	15	3	4%	16	9
Violent crimes	109	9%	11	15	5	7%	11	14	9	11%	10	15
Lack of accessible medical, dental and/or mental health services	87	8%	13	N/A	4	5%	12	N/A	8	10%	11	N/A

**Q3. What are the three greatest strengths of residents and families living in your neighborhood?**

Option	Total				Early Head Start In Home Services				Early Head Start Child Care			
	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank
People appreciate, respect and care about each other	624	54%	1	1	40	53%	1	1	41	49%	1	1
Commitment to family and community	325	28%	3	3	22	29%	4	3	19	23%	6	3
People spend time together doing enjoyable activities	316	27%	4	5	25	33%	3	7	27	32%	4	7
Strong cultural ties	272	24%	6	8	17	23%	6	8	28	33%	3	9
Extended family and friends that provide a lot of support	308	27%	5	6	18	24%	5	2	23	27%	5	4
People care about children in the neighborhood	469	41%	2	2	37	49%	2	4	38	45%	2	2
People value effective communication	192	17%	8	4	13	17%	7	6	12	14%	8	5
Adults are positive role models for children	164	14%	9	9	12	16%	8	5	15	18%	7	5
Strong religious faith	245	21%	7	7	9	12%	9	8	12	14%	8	8

**Q2. What do you think are the three greatest challenges facing your neighborhood?**

Option	Head Start				Senior Centers				Family Services Centers			
	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank
Lack of after school activities	62	24%	3	3	34	17%	4	14	27	24%	3	9
Not enough community resources or activities	0	0%		1	0	0%		7	0	0%		8
School dropouts	15	6%	13	12	20	10%	12	12	10	9%	12	9
Drug use	85	33%	1	2	76	37%	1	1	42	38%	1	1
Lack of good jobs	25	10%	10	5	21	10%	11	5	21	19%	4	5
No affordable housing	53	21%	4	6	29	14%	7	8	21	19%	4	3
Juvenile crime/ gangs	30	12%	9	11	26	13%	8	4	18	16%	6	12
Lack of access to employment/ job resources	13	5%	14	N/A	16	8%	14	N/A	8	7%	14	N/A
Lack of parent involvement	48	19%	7	8	30	15%	6	11	11	10%	10	9
Not enough police presence	51	20%	5	10	42	20%	3	3	11	10%	10	6
Properties in poor condition or neighborhood blight	49	19%	6	7	26	13%	8	9	13	12%	9	3
Property crimes (such as theft)	68	26%	2	4	50	24%	2	1	28	25%	2	2
Residents do not work together	41	16%	8	9	34	17%	4	6	15	14%	7	13
Lack of good schools	21	8%	12	13	13	6%	16	15	1	1%	16	14
Lack of transportation	6	2%	16	15	26	13%	8	13	8	7%	14	15
Violent crimes	22	9%	11	14	20	10%	12	10	15	14%	7	6
Lack of accessible medical, dental and/or mental health services	11	4%	15	N/A	16	8%	14	N/A	9	8%	13	N/A

**Q3. What are the three greatest strengths of residents and families living in your neighborhood?**

Option	Head Start				Senior Centers				Family Services Centers			
	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank
People appreciate, respect and care about each other	129	50%	2	1	122	60%	1	1	59	53%	1	2
Commitment to family and community	72	28%	3	3	63	31%	2	5	27	24%	5	8
People spend time together doing enjoyable activities	68	26%	4	5	61	30%	3	2	32	29%	3	3
Strong cultural ties	67	26%	5	6	42	20%	7	7	27	24%	5	3
Extended family and friends that provide a lot of support	62	24%	6	4	49	24%	6	9	31	28%	4	7
People care about children in the neighborhood	133	52%	1	2	59	29%	4	4	39	35%	2	1
People value effective communication	41	16%	7	6	38	19%	8	6	23	21%	8	6
Adults are positive role models for children	38	15%	9	8	29	14%	9	8	11	10%	9	8
Strong religious faith	41	16%	7	9	58	28%	5	3	24	22%	7	5

**Q2. What do you think are the three greatest challenges facing your neighborhood?**

Option	Family Advocacy Center				Volunteer Income Tax Assistance				Home Delivered Meals*			
	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank
Lack of after school activities	0	0%		8	5	18%	3	12	16	13%	8	N/A
Not enough community resources or activities	0	0%		14	0	0%		11	0	0%		N/A
School dropouts	2	29%	2	9	1	4%	15	13	11	9%	11	N/A
Drug use	1	14%	6	3	10	36%	1	2	44	35%	1	N/A
Lack of good jobs	2	29%	2	3	2	7%	14	5	9	7%	13	N/A
No affordable housing	1	14%	6	1	5	18%	3	5	28	23%	2	N/A
Juvenile crime/ gangs	1	14%	6	3	5	18%	3	9	18	15%	6	N/A
Lack of access to employment/ job resources	1	14%	6	N/A	3	11%	9	N/A	5	4%	15	N/A
Lack of parent involvement	2	29%	2	9	5	18%	3	5	11	9%	11	N/A
Not enough police presence	3	43%	1	3	3	11%	9	3	26	21%	4	N/A
Properties in poor condition or neighborhood blight	2	29%	2	3	4	14%	8	4	13	10%	10	N/A
Property crimes (such as theft)	1	14%	6	2	8	29%	2	1	23	19%	5	N/A
Residents do not work together	1	14%	6	9	3	11%	9	5	28	23%	2	N/A
Lack of good schools	0	0%		9	0	0%		14	0	0%		N/A
Lack of transportation	0	0%		9	3	11%	9	9	16	13%	8	N/A
Violent crimes	1	14%	6	14	5	18%	3	15	18	15%	6	N/A
Lack of accessible medical, dental and/or mental health services	0	0%		N/A	3	11%	9	N/A	8	6%	14	N/A

\*Program(s) were not included in 2016 survey.

**Q3. What are the three greatest strengths of residents and families living in your neighborhood?**

Option	Family Advocacy Center				Volunteer Income Tax Assistance				Home Delivered Meals*			
	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank
People appreciate, respect and care about each other	5	71%	1	4	13	46%	1	1	61	49%	1	N/A
Commitment to family and community	2	29%	5	1	12	43%	2	2	38	31%	2	N/A
People spend time together doing enjoyable activities	1	14%	8	3	9	32%	4	4	24	19%	6	N/A
Strong cultural ties	4	57%	2	7	9	32%	4	7	19	15%	8	N/A
Extended family and friends that provide a lot of support	3	43%	3	5	11	39%	3	9	32	26%	3	N/A
People care about children in the neighborhood	2	29%	5	1	5	18%	8	2	27	22%	5	N/A
People value effective communication	2	29%	5	7	8	29%	6	6	21	17%	7	N/A
Adults are positive role models for children	0	0%		5	3	11%	9	7	15	12%	9	N/A
Strong religious faith	3	43%	3	9	6	21%	7	5	31	25%	4	N/A

\*Program(s) were not included in 2016 survey.

**Q2. What do you think are the three greatest challenges facing your neighborhood?**

Option	Homeless Services*				ARIZONA@WORK Job Centers*				Opportunities for Youth Reengagement Centers*			
	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank
Lack of after school activities	2	13%	5	N/A	5	19%	4	N/A	0			N/A
Not enough community resources or activities	0	0%		N/A	0	0%		N/A	0			N/A
School dropouts	2	13%	5	N/A	2	7%	13	N/A	0			N/A
Drug use	6	40%	1	N/A	4	15%	6	N/A	0			N/A
Lack of good jobs	1	7%	12	N/A	4	15%	6	N/A	0			N/A
No affordable housing	6	40%	1	N/A	4	15%	6	N/A	0			N/A
Juvenile crime/ gangs	1	7%	12	N/A	2	7%	13	N/A	0			N/A
Lack of access to employment/ job resources	1	7%	12	N/A	2	7%	13	N/A	0			N/A
Lack of parent involvement	2	13%	5	N/A	4	15%	6	N/A	0			N/A
Not enough police presence	0	0%		N/A	6	22%	3	N/A	0			N/A
Properties in poor condition or neighborhood blight	2	13%	5	N/A	5	19%	4	N/A	0			N/A
Property crimes (such as theft)	2	13%	5	N/A	7	26%	1	N/A	0			N/A
Residents do not work together	2	13%	5	N/A	7	26%	1	N/A	0			N/A
Lack of good schools	0	0%		N/A	3	11%	11	N/A	0			N/A
Lack of transportation	4	27%	3	N/A	1	4%	16	N/A	0			N/A
Violent crimes	3	20%	4	N/A	3	11%	11	N/A	0			N/A
Lack of accessible medical, dental and/or mental health services	2	13%	5	N/A	4	15%	6	N/A	0			N/A

\*Program(s) were not included in 2016 survey.

**Q3. What are the three greatest strengths of residents and families living in your neighborhood?**

Option	Homeless Services*				ARIZONA@WORK Job Centers*				Opportunities for Youth Reengagement Centers*			
	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank
People appreciate, respect and care about each other	7	47%	1	N/A	16	59%	1	N/A	0			N/A
Commitment to family and community	3	20%	7	N/A	7	26%	5	N/A	0			N/A
People spend time together doing enjoyable activities	5	33%	2	N/A	8	30%	4	N/A	0			N/A
Strong cultural ties	5	33%	2	N/A	6	22%	6	N/A	1		1	N/A
Extended family and friends that provide a lot of support	4	27%	6	N/A	5	19%	7	N/A	0			N/A
People care about children in the neighborhood	5	33%	2	N/A	12	44%	2	N/A	0			N/A
People value effective communication	2	13%	8	N/A	1	4%	9	N/A	1		1	N/A
Adults are positive role models for children	1	7%	9	N/A	9	33%	3	N/A	0			N/A
Strong religious faith	5	33%	2	N/A	2	7%	8	N/A	0			N/A

\*Program(s) were not included in 2016 survey.



**Q4 - Q7. Which, if any, of the following have you or someone in your household used/ accessed in the past 12 months?**

	Total			Early Head Start In Home Services			Early Head Start Child Care		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Cash Assistance/ TANF	168	9%	10%	23	15%	20%	23	18%	23%
Unemployment Insurance	96	5%	5%	9	6%	8%	12	9%	7%
Supplemental Security Income (SSI)	389	20%	12%	19	13%	18%	14	11%	27%
Home Delivered Meals	220	12%	2%	5	3%	5%	6	5%	9%
Food Stamps/ SNAP	763	40%	33%	70	47%	58%	63	48%	55%
Food Banks	472	25%	16%	37	25%	27%	25	19%	23%
Women, Infants and Children Program (WIC)	428	23%	19%	81	54%	55%	54	42%	48%
Dining Hall	30	2%	2%	3	2%	4%	3	2%	8%
Soup Kitchen	20	1%	1%	2	1%	4%	5	4%	7%
Congregate Meals (such as at a Senior Centers)	160	8%	9%	1	1%	4%	1	1%	7%
Emergency Home Repair	60	3%	3%	8	5%	10%	5	4%	11%
Home Weatherization Services	32	2%	2%	4	3%	3%	4	3%	8%
Utility Repair/ Replacement/ Deposit Program	60	3%	3%	7	5%	8%	7	5%	11%
Rental/Mortgage/Utility Assistance	248	13%	7%	18	12%	9%	21	16%	17%
Subsidized Housing (Section 8)	154	8%	4%	13	9%	5%	12	9%	9%
High School Equivalency (HSE) classes	103	5%	5%	17	11%	12%	16	12%	20%
College/vocational classes	116	6%	9%	9	6%	8%	16	12%	19%
Parent education classes	122	6%	7%	22	15%	26%	21	16%	28%
Trade or apprenticeship programs	25	1%	N/A	4	3%	N/A	3	2%	N/A
Financial Education classes	39	2%	N/A	7	5%	N/A	8	6%	N/A
Citizenship classes	44	2%	2%	9	6%	3%	5	4%	8%
Job training/ job search assistance	108	6%	7%	9	6%	8%	11	8%	19%
Subsidized child care (DES/First Things First)	56	3%	2%	8	5%	6%	16	12%	7%
Youth education /employment services	29	2%	N/A	5	3%	N/A	5	4%	N/A
Literacy classes	41	2%	N/A	6	4%	N/A	4	3%	N/A

**Q4 - Q7. Which, if any, of the following have you or someone in your household used/ accessed in the past 12 months?**

	Head Start			Senior Centers			Family Services Centers		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Cash Assistance/ TANF	67	12%	15%	11	4%	8%	19	13%	35%
Unemployment Insurance	25	5%	6%	14	5%	7%	17	12%	18%
Supplemental Security Income (SSI)	46	8%	10%	83	29%	31%	48	33%	35%
Home Delivered Meals	11	2%	2%	25	9%	5%	19	13%	15%
Food Stamps/ SNAP	252	46%	61%	57	20%	21%	102	71%	65%
Food Banks	118	22%	17%	75	26%	32%	61	42%	43%
Women, Infants and Children Program (WIC)	236	44%	45%	15	5%	5%	25	17%	22%
Dining Hall	5	1%	1%	11	4%	7%	7	5%	6%
Soup Kitchen	4	1%	1%	6	2%	3%	3	2%	9%
Congregate Meals (such as at a Senior Centers)	2	0%	1%	111	39%	58%	14	10%	15%
Emergency Home Repair	15	3%	3%	15	5%	6%	8	6%	14%
Home Weatherization Services	7	1%	1%	9	3%	5%	3	2%	15%
Utility Repair/ Replacement/ Deposit Program	13	2%	3%	16	6%	8%	10	7%	23%
Rental/Mortgage/Utility Assistance	45	8%	9%	39	14%	8%	81	56%	62%
Subsidized Housing (Section 8)	37	7%	4%	30	10%	10%	17	12%	20%
High School Equivalency (HSE) classes	38	7%	7%	8	3%	4%	9	6%	18%
College/vocational classes	52	10%	9%	9	3%	10%	7	5%	8%
Parent education classes	64	12%	14%	8	3%	5%	11	8%	23%
Trade or apprenticeship programs	6	1%	N/A	7	2%	N/A	3	2%	N/A
Financial Education classes	15	3%	N/A	12	4%	N/A	3	2%	N/A
Citizenship classes	16	3%	2%	8	3%	3%	6	4%	12%
Job training/ job search assistance	31	6%	7%	13	5%	8%	17	12%	32%
Subsidized child care (DES/First Things First)	23	4%	3%	6	2%	3%	8	6%	8%
Youth education /employment services	9	2%	N/A	6	2%	N/A	8	6%	N/A
Literacy classes	12	2%	N/A	14	5%	N/A	8	6%	N/A

**Q4 - Q7. Which, if any, of the following have you or someone in your household used/ accessed in the past 12 months?**

	Family Advocacy Center			Volunteer Income Tax Assistance			Home Delivered Meals*		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Cash Assistance/ TANF	4	24%	40%	5	11%	17%	5	3%	N/A
Unemployment Insurance	3	18%	35%	4	9%	13%	3	2%	N/A
Supplemental Security Income (SSI)	8	47%	45%	12	26%	30%	66	39%	N/A
Home Delivered Meals	4	24%	25%	7	15%	9%	153	91%	N/A
Food Stamps/ SNAP	9	53%	50%	13	28%	41%	47	28%	N/A
Food Banks	8	47%	40%	14	30%	36%	48	29%	N/A
Women, Infants and Children Program (WIC)	3	18%	50%	4	9%	14%	6	4%	N/A
Dining Hall	1	6%	25%	4	9%	9%	2	1%	N/A
Soup Kitchen	2	12%	25%	2	4%	6%	2	1%	N/A
Congregate Meals (such as at a Senior Centers)	3	18%	25%	14	30%	34%	8	5%	N/A
Emergency Home Repair	3	18%	40%	4	9%	11%	9	5%	N/A
Home Weatherization Services	3	18%	20%	2	4%	13%	6	4%	N/A
Utility Repair/ Replacement/ Deposit Program	5	29%	25%	3	7%	14%	7	4%	N/A
Rental/Mortgage/Utility Assistance	5	29%	35%	13	28%	14%	25	15%	N/A
Subsidized Housing (Section 8)	3	18%	40%	4	9%	13%	19	11%	N/A
High School Equivalency (HSE) classes	2	12%	30%	5	11%	9%	7	4%	N/A
College/vocational classes	2	12%	40%	4	9%	20%	8	5%	N/A
Parent education classes	2	12%	30%	4	9%	13%	4	2%	N/A
Trade or apprenticeship programs	1	6%	N/A	2	4%	N/A	3	2%	N/A
Financial Education classes	3	18%	N/A	2	4%	N/A	5	3%	N/A
Citizenship classes	2	12%	20%	1	2%	7%	3	2%	N/A
Job training/ job search assistance	3	18%	45%	3	7%	11%	7	4%	N/A
Subsidized child care (DES/First Things First)	3	18%	20%	3	7%	9%	2	1%	N/A
Youth education /employment services	4	24%	N/A	3	7%	N/A	3	2%	N/A
Literacy classes	3	18%	N/A	3	7%	N/A	3	2%	N/A

\*Program(s) were not included in 2016 survey.

**Q4 - Q7. Which, if any, of the following have you or someone in your household used/ accessed in the past 12 months?**

	Homeless Services*			ARIZONA@WORK Job Centers*			Opportunities for Youth Reengagement Centers*		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Cash Assistance/ TANF	2	10%	N/A	6	15%	N/A	3	60%	N/A
Unemployment Insurance	2	10%	N/A	13	32%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
Supplemental Security Income (SSI)	11	52%	N/A	11	27%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
Home Delivered Meals	8	38%	N/A	4	10%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
Food Stamps/ SNAP	14	67%	N/A	25	61%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
Food Banks	15	71%	N/A	20	49%	N/A	3	60%	N/A
Women, Infants and Children Program (WIC)	5	24%	N/A	8	20%	N/A	0	0%	N/A
Dining Hall	2	10%	N/A	3	7%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Soup Kitchen	3	14%	N/A	3	7%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Congregate Meals (such as at a Senior Centers)	3	14%	N/A	1	2%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Emergency Home Repair	2	10%	N/A	4	10%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Home Weatherization Services	2	10%	N/A	3	7%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Utility Repair/ Replacement/ Deposit Program	3	14%	N/A	4	10%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Rental/Mortgage/Utility Assistance	7	33%	N/A	13	32%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
Subsidized Housing (Section 8)	2	10%	N/A	12	29%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
High School Equivalency (HSE) classes	2	10%	N/A	6	15%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
College/vocational classes	2	10%	N/A	5	12%	N/A	3	60%	N/A
Parent education classes	3	14%	N/A	9	22%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Trade or apprenticeship programs	1	5%	N/A	3	7%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Financial Education classes	2	10%	N/A	4	10%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Citizenship classes	3	14%	N/A	2	5%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Job training/ job search assistance	4	19%	N/A	14	34%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Subsidized child care (DES/First Things First)	2	10%	N/A	4	10%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Youth education /employment services	3	14%	N/A	3	7%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
Literacy classes	3	14%	N/A	4	10%	N/A	1	20%	N/A

\*Program(s) were not included in 2016 survey.

**Q8 - Q9. Which, if any, of the following have you or someone in your household used/ accessed in the past 12 months?**

	Total			Early Head Start In Home Services			Early Head Start Child Care		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Homeless shelter services	41	2%	4%	7	5%	6%	4	3%	8%
Domestic violence shelter services	27	1%	2%	7	5%	6%	6	5%	7%
Human trafficking services	13	1%	2%	2	1%	3%	2	2%	9%
Department of Child Safety and Family Services (formerly known as)	56	3%	3%	7	5%	7%	8	6%	15%
Senior Centers services	302	16%	N/A	7	5%	N/A	3	2%	N/A
Crime victim advocacy services	39	2%	2%	5	3%	4%	2	2%	8%
Legal assistance	87	5%	4%	10	7%	11%	14	11%	15%
Foster care services	29	2%	1%	8	5%	5%	9	7%	5%
Adult Protective Services	20	1%	N/A	3	2%	N/A	3	2%	N/A
Tax Preparation services	168	9%	N/A	20	13%	N/A	14	11%	N/A
Any care at a doctor's office	1,127	59%	64%	100	67%	58%	93	72%	61%
Any care at urgent care	541	28%	32%	68	46%	31%	58	45%	41%
Any care at a hospital	582	31%	28%	56	38%	32%	56	43%	36%
Any care at a community clinic	124	7%	8%	13	9%	19%	8	6%	17%
Prenatal care services	107	6%	7%	21	14%	14%	13	10%	12%
Immunizations	620	33%	35%	79	53%	52%	57	44%	39%
Any dental care	703	37%	51%	77	52%	55%	78	60%	51%
Mental health services	170	9%	8%	12	8%	5%	13	10%	11%
Substance abuse recovery or treatment	33	2%	1%	2	1%	4%	7	5%	5%
Early intervention/developmental disabilities	60	3%	3%	20	13%	9%	7	5%	11%

**Q10. Were there any health care, dental, or mental health services that you, a child or other adult in your home needed, but did not get in the past year?**

	Total			Early Head Start In Home Services			Early Head Start Child Care		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Yes	444	28%	25%	38	28%	25%	31	25%	31%
No	1,144	72%	75%	98	72%	75%	93	75%	69%

**Q8 - Q9. Which, if any, of the following have you or someone in your household used/ accessed in the past 12 months?**

	Head Start			Senior Centers			Family Services Centers		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Homeless shelter services	8	1%	2%	9	3%	7%	6	4%	18%
Domestic violence shelter services	10	2%	2%	5	2%	4%	5	3%	14%
Human trafficking services	2	0%	1%	2	1%	5%	1	1%	17%
Department of Child Safety and Family Services (formerly known as	16	3%	3%	7	2%	3%	11	8%	15%
Senior Centers services	5	1%	N/A	185	65%	N/A	27	19%	N/A
Crime victim advocacy services	7	1%	2%	5	2%	6%	11	8%	17%
Legal assistance	33	6%	3%	11	4%	7%	12	8%	25%
Foster care services	13	2%	3%	3	1%	3%	4	3%	8%
Adult Protective Services	5	1%	N/A	5	2%	N/A	4	3%	N/A
Tax Preparation services	61	11%	N/A	42	15%	N/A	16	11%	N/A
Any care at a doctor's office	345	64%	63%	197	69%	64%	105	73%	60%
Any care at urgent care	188	35%	37%	57	20%	27%	62	43%	40%
Any care at a hospital	166	31%	32%	75	26%	29%	62	43%	40%
Any care at a community clinic	52	10%	12%	17	6%	7%	13	9%	29%
Prenatal care services	57	11%	11%	7	2%	4%	14	10%	23%
Immunizations	294	54%	50%	65	23%	32%	41	28%	38%
Any dental care	309	57%	65%	84	29%	33%	47	33%	37%
Mental health services	37	7%	7%	25	9%	8%	32	22%	18%
Substance abuse recovery or treatment	4	1%	1%	11	4%	2%	7	5%	9%
Early intervention/developmental disabilities	24	4%	5%	6	2%	3%	6	4%	8%

**Q10. Were there any health care, dental, or mental health services that you, a child or other adult in your home needed, but did not get in the past year?**

	Head Start			Senior Centers			Family Services Centers		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Yes	126	25%	25%	50	22%	26%	46	35%	45%
No	383	75%	75%	177	78%	74%	86	65%	55%

**Q8 - Q9. Which, if any, of the following have you or someone in your household used/ accessed in the past 12 months?**

	Family Advocacy Center			Volunteer Income Tax Assistance			Home Delivered Meals*		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Homeless shelter services	2	12%	35%	2	4%	9%	4	2%	N/A
Domestic violence shelter services	4	24%	30%	2	4%	6%	3	2%	N/A
Human trafficking services	2	12%	25%	2	4%	9%	1	1%	N/A
Department of Child Safety and Family Services (formerly known as)	2	12%	15%	2	4%	10%	3	2%	N/A
Senior Centers services	5	29%	N/A	23	50%	N/A	36	21%	N/A
Crime victim advocacy services	2	12%	40%	1	2%	7%	4	2%	N/A
Legal assistance	4	24%	30%	7	15%	14%	9	5%	N/A
Foster care services	3	18%	25%	3	7%	7%	2	1%	N/A
Adult Protective Services	2	12%	N/A	2	4%	N/A	7	4%	N/A
Tax Preparation services	2	12%	N/A	23	50%	N/A	7	4%	N/A
Any care at a doctor's office	13	76%	60%	29	63%	73%	132	79%	N/A
Any care at urgent care	7	41%	40%	9	20%	40%	48	29%	N/A
Any care at a hospital	6	35%	50%	11	24%	34%	82	49%	N/A
Any care at a community clinic	2	12%	25%	2	4%	11%	8	5%	N/A
Prenatal care services	3	18%	30%	4	9%	13%	5	3%	N/A
Immunizations	7	41%	50%	14	30%	40%	38	23%	N/A
Any dental care	7	41%	40%	13	28%	43%	29	17%	N/A
Mental health services	3	18%	20%	4	9%	11%	27	16%	N/A
Substance abuse recovery or treatment	4	24%	25%	3	7%	9%	6	4%	N/A
Early intervention/developmental disabilities	3	18%	30%	3	7%	7%	4	2%	N/A

**Q10. Were there any health care, dental, or mental health services that you, a child or other adult in your home needed, but did not get in the past year?**

	Family Advocacy Center			Volunteer Income Tax Assistance			Home Delivered Meals*		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Yes	5	36%	38%	9	26%	39%	61	41%	N/A
No	9	64%	63%	26	74%	61%	89	59%	N/A

\*Program(s) were not included in 2016 survey.

**Q8 - Q9. Which, if any, of the following have you or someone in your household used/ accessed in the past 12 months?**

	Homeless Services*			ARIZONA@WORK Job Centers*			Opportunities for Youth Reengagement Centers*		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Homeless shelter services	8	38%	N/A	7	17%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Domestic violence shelter services	3	14%	N/A	4	10%	N/A	3	60%	N/A
Human trafficking services	1	5%	N/A	3	7%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
Department of Child Safety and Family Services (formerly known as)	2	10%	N/A	4	10%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Senior Centers services	6	29%	N/A	2	5%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Crime victim advocacy services	1	5%	N/A	2	5%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Legal assistance	3	14%	N/A	4	10%	N/A	3	60%	N/A
Foster care services	2	10%	N/A	4	10%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
Adult Protective Services	2	10%	N/A	2	5%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Tax Preparation services	3	14%	N/A	5	12%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
Any care at a doctor's office	13	62%	N/A	26	63%	N/A	3	60%	N/A
Any care at urgent care	7	33%	N/A	15	37%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Any care at a hospital	7	33%	N/A	13	32%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
Any care at a community clinic	5	24%	N/A	8	20%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
Prenatal care services	4	19%	N/A	7	17%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
Immunizations	5	24%	N/A	16	39%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
Any dental care	5	24%	N/A	15	37%	N/A	3	60%	N/A
Mental health services	6	29%	N/A	6	15%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Substance abuse recovery or treatment	2	10%	N/A	3	7%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
Early intervention/developmental disabilities	3	14%	N/A	2	5%	N/A	1	20%	N/A

**Q10. Were there any health care, dental, or mental health services that you, a child or other adult in your home needed, but did not get in the past year?**

	Homeless Services			ARIZONA@WORK Job Centers*			Opportunities for Youth Reengagement Centers*		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Yes	6	33%	N/A	15	39%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
No	12	67%	N/A	23	61%	N/A	3	60%	N/A

\*Program(s) were not included in 2016 survey.



**Q11. If you answered yes to the previous question, why didn't you or a child in your home get the needed health care?**

	Total			Early Head Start In Home Services			Early Head Start Child Care		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Lack of health insurance	187	42%	9%	20	53%	11%	17	55%	4%
Cannot afford copayments	155	35%	10%	14	37%	7%	14	45%	2%
No transportation	49	11%	2%	7	18%	3%	2	6%	1%
Do not like/ trust doctors	14	3%	1%	2	5%	1%	1	3%	1%
Language barriers	12	3%	1%	4	11%	2%	2	6%	1%
Don't meet eligibility requirements	67	15%	N/A	7	18%	N/A	8	26%	N/A

**Q12. Do you currently have health insurance?**

	Total			Early Head Start In Home Services			Early Head Start Child Care		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Yes	1,451	85%	89%	111	79%	75%	103	81%	82%
No	255	15%	11%	30	21%	25%	24	19%	18%

**Q13. If you answered yes to the previous question, what type of insurance do you have?**

	Total			Early Head Start In Home Services			Early Head Start Child Care		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Private (through a job)	142	10%	28%	10	9%	7%	15	15%	11%
Medicaid (AHCCCS)	939	65%	30%	98	88%	38%	83	81%	45%
Medicare	382	26%	20%	5	5%	13%	5	5%	8%
Veteran's Insurance	50	3%	3%	1	1%	0%	2	2%	1%
Other	100	7%	0%	6	5%	0%	11	11%	0%

**Q11. If you answered yes to the previous question, why didn't you or a child in your home get the needed health care?**

	Head Start			Senior Centers			Family Services Centers		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Lack of health insurance	63	50%	13%	12	24%	7%	12	26%	18%
Cannot afford copayments	52	41%	8%	17	34%	12%	17	37%	37%
No transportation	5	4%	1%	5	10%	3%	10	22%	25%
Do not like/ trust doctors	5	4%	0%	0	0%	3%	2	4%	12%
Language barriers	6	5%	2%	2	4%	2%	1	2%	3%
Don't meet eligibility requirements	16	13%	N/A	4	8%	N/A	11	24%	N/A

**Q12. Do you currently have health insurance?**

	Head Start			Senior Centers			Family Services Centers		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Yes	396	76%	81%	253	97%	89%	125	93%	82%
No	125	24%	19%	8	3%	11%	10	7%	18%

**Q13. If you answered yes to the previous question, what type of insurance do you have?**

	Head Start			Senior Centers			Family Services Centers		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Private (through a job)	49	12%	9%	27	11%	13%	9	7%	15%
Medicaid (AHCCCS)	334	84%	52%	69	27%	25%	98	78%	68%
Medicare	15	4%	6%	155	61%	60%	30	24%	17%
Veteran's Insurance	3	1%	1%	22	9%	9%	2	2%	0%
Other	19	5%	0%	26	10%	0%	7	6%	0%

**Q11. If you answered yes to the previous question, why didn't you or a child in your home get the needed health care?**

	Family Advocacy Center			Senior Centers			Home Delivered Meals*		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Lack of health insurance	1	20%	30%	0	0%	9%	21	34%	N/A
Cannot afford copayments	2	40%	20%	2	22%	6%	21	34%	N/A
No transportation	2	40%	15%	2	22%	3%	15	25%	N/A
Do not like/ trust doctors	0	0%	10%	0	0%	1%	0	0%	N/A
Language barriers	2	40%	15%	0	0%	2%	1	2%	N/A
Don't meet eligibility requirements	2	40%	N/A	0	0%	N/A	5	8%	N/A

**Q12. Do you currently have health insurance?**

	Family Advocacy Center			Volunteer Income Tax Assistance			Home Delivered Meals		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Yes	13	81%	71%	40	95%	86%	156	96%	N/A
No	3	19%	29%	2	5%	14%	7	4%	N/A

**Q13. If you answered yes to the previous question, what type of insurance do you have?**

	Family Advocacy Center			Volunteer Income Tax Assistance			Home Delivered Meals		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Private (through a job)	1	8%	30%	5	13%	21%	1	1%	N/A
Medicaid (AHCCCS)	11	85%	45%	14	35%	36%	64	41%	N/A
Medicare	2	15%	0%	25	63%	41%	102	65%	N/A
Veteran's Insurance	2	15%	10%	3	8%	6%	12	8%	N/A
Other	2	15%	0%	4	10%	0%	17	11%	N/A

\*Program(s) were not included in 2016 survey.

**Q11. If you answered yes to the previous question, why didn't you or a child in your home get the needed health care?**

	Homeless Services*			ARIZONA@WORK Job Centers*			Opportunities for Youth Reengagement Centers*		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Lack of health insurance	3	50%	N/A	7	47%	N/A	0	0%	N/A
Cannot afford copayments	4	67%	N/A	5	33%	N/A	1	50%	N/A
No transportation	1	17%	N/A	5	33%	N/A	1	50%	N/A
Do not like/ trust doctors	0	0%	N/A	1	7%	N/A	0	0%	N/A
Language barriers	0	0%	N/A	3	20%	N/A	1	50%	N/A
Don't meet eligibility requirements	1	17%	N/A	4	27%	N/A	0	0%	N/A

**Q12. Do you currently have health insurance?**

	Homeless Services			ARIZONA@WORK Job Centers			Opportunities for Youth Reengagement Centers		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Yes	17	94%	N/A	36	92%	N/A	5	100%	N/A
No	1	6%	N/A	3	8%	N/A	0	0%	N/A

**Q13. If you answered yes to the previous question, what type of insurance do you have?**

	Homeless Services			ARIZONA@WORK Job Centers			Opportunities for Youth Reengagement Centers		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Private (through a job)	2	12%	N/A	3	8%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Medicaid (AHCCCS)	13	76%	N/A	34	94%	N/A	5	100%	N/A
Medicare	2	12%	N/A	3	8%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
Veteran's Insurance	3	18%	N/A	3	8%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Other	3	18%	N/A	2	6%	N/A	1	20%	N/A

\*Program(s) were not included in 2016 survey.

**Q14. Which of the following services have you not received that would be the most helpful to you and your family?**

	Total				Early Head Start In Home Services				Early Head Start Child Care			
	Ct.	2019 %	2019 Rank	2016 %	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 %	Ct.	%	2019 Rank	2016 %
Child care	266	14%	4	12%	42	28%	2	31%	25	19%	4	5%
Dental care	574	30%	1	24%	46	31%	1	35%	40	31%	1	7%
Employment assistance/ job training	180	9%	9	8%	22	15%	5	9%	17	13%	8	3%
English instruction	166	9%	10	11%	30	20%	4	40%	10	8%	13	7%
Literacy services	38	2%	21	2%	6	4%	17	6%	5	4%	17	2%
Homeless shelter services	37	2%	22	2%	5	3%	21	4%	4	3%	20	2%
Senior Centers	121	6%	13	7%	6	4%	17	5%	4	3%	20	2%
Substance abuse/mental health services	40	2%	20	2%	4	3%	24	3%	3	2%	22	1%
Utility assistance	288	15%	3	11%	12	8%	13	12%	23	18%	6	4%
Domestic violence services	35	2%	23	2%	5	3%	21	5%	6	5%	16	1%
Human trafficking services	24	1%	25	1%	4	3%	24	2%	1	1%	26	1%
Behavioral health services	87	5%	17	N/A	7	5%	16	N/A	3	2%	22	N/A
Senior/Adult Day Care	51	3%	19	N/A	5	3%	21	N/A	3	2%	22	N/A
Home repair	240	13%	5	12%	16	11%	8	15%	13	10%	9	4%
Legal assistance	130	7%	11	7%	15	10%	10	6%	13	10%	9	1%
Transportation	205	11%	7	7%	12	8%	13	11%	12	9%	11	3%
Rental/mortgage assistance	292	15%	2	9%	22	15%	5	9%	27	21%	3	4%
Financial education	90	5%	16	7%	14	9%	11	9%	12	9%	11	3%
Food assistance	234	12%	6	9%	20	13%	7	16%	24	18%	5	4%
Health care/health insurance	128	7%	12	7%	16	11%	8	14%	19	15%	7	4%
Independent living supports (homemaker assistance)	94	5%	14	4%	6	4%	17	6%	8	6%	14	2%
Refugee services	21	1%	26	1%	6	4%	17	3%	3	2%	22	1%
Crime victim services	31	2%	24	5%	4	3%	24	9%	5	4%	17	3%
Full day Head Start	205	11%	7	3%	33	22%	3	8%	32	25%	2	2%
Half day Head Start	52	3%	18	13%	13	9%	12	32%	5	4%	17	7%
Tax Preparation	91	5%	15	N/A	10	7%	15	N/A	8	6%	14	N/A

**Q14. Which of the following services have you not received that would be the most helpful to you and your family?**

	Head Start				Senior Centers				Family Services Centers			
	Ct.	2019 %	2019 Rank	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2019 Rank	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2019 Rank	2016 %
Child care	129	24%	2	25%	8	3%	16	3%	17	12%	8	17%
Dental care	168	31%	1	34%	69	24%	1	26%	56	39%	1	49%
Employment assistance/ job training	67	12%	6	11%	14	5%	13	6%	24	17%	6	18%
English instruction	94	17%	3	28%	8	3%	16	4%	7	5%	16	6%
Literacy services	17	3%	16	3%	7	2%	20	3%	6	4%	18	9%
Homeless shelter services	8	1%	25	2%	8	3%	16	5%	5	3%	21	17%
Senior Centers	13	2%	19	2%	43	15%	3	24%	7	5%	16	20%
Substance abuse/mental health services	9	2%	21	1%	16	6%	11	3%	5	3%	21	11%
Utility assistance	59	11%	8	13%	20	7%	6	12%	34	24%	3	26%
Domestic violence services	12	2%	20	2%	6	2%	22	3%	6	4%	18	11%
Human trafficking services	7	1%	26	1%	6	2%	22	3%	3	2%	26	9%
Behavioral health services	25	5%	15	N/A	7	2%	20	N/A	13	9%	11	N/A
Senior/Adult Day Care	9	2%	21	N/A	15	5%	12	N/A	5	3%	21	N/A
Home repair	64	12%	7	9%	52	18%	2	22%	29	20%	4	29%
Legal assistance	36	7%	12	6%	20	7%	6	9%	14	10%	9	22%
Transportation	42	8%	11	6%	27	9%	4	9%	28	19%	5	20%
Rental/mortgage assistance	73	13%	5	10%	17	6%	8	7%	43	30%	2	31%
Financial education	34	6%	13	8%	10	3%	15	6%	11	8%	12	15%
Food assistance	53	10%	9	12%	17	6%	8	11%	24	17%	6	23%
Health care/health insurance	47	9%	10	11%	14	5%	13	6%	9	6%	13	14%
Independent living supports (homemaker assistance)	15	3%	17	5%	17	6%	8	4%	9	6%	13	6%
Refugee services	9	2%	21	1%	4	1%	26	1%	4	3%	25	8%
Crime victim services	9	2%	21	6%	5	2%	24	3%	6	4%	18	11%
Full day Head Start	89	16%	4	5%	8	3%	16	3%	14	10%	9	11%
Half day Head Start	15	3%	17	34%	5	2%	24	4%	5	3%	21	20%
Tax Preparation	27	5%	14	N/A	25	9%	5	N/A	8	6%	15	N/A

**Q14. Which of the following services have you not received that would be the most helpful to you and your family?**

	Family Advocacy Center				Volunteer Income Tax Assistance				Home Delivered Meals*			
	Ct.	2019 %	2019 Rank	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2019 Rank	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2019 Rank	2016 %
Child care	3	18%	9	25%	6	13%	5	5%	3	2%	16	N/A
Dental care	7	41%	1	50%	12	26%	1	16%	70	42%	1	N/A
Employment assistance/ job training	6	35%	3	40%	3	7%	12	4%	8	5%	10	N/A
English instruction	3	18%	9	35%	3	7%	12	2%	2	1%	19	N/A
Literacy services	3	18%	9	15%	1	2%	19	2%	2	1%	19	N/A
Homeless shelter services	1	6%	24	25%	2	4%	18	3%	1	1%	23	N/A
Senior Centers	2	12%	15	20%	8	17%	3	9%	20	12%	8	N/A
Substance abuse/mental health services	1	6%	24	25%	3	7%	12	2%	2	1%	19	N/A
Utility assistance	4	24%	6	35%	5	11%	8	10%	29	17%	5	N/A
Domestic violence services	3	18%	9	20%	1	2%	19	2%	1	1%	23	N/A
Human trafficking services	2	12%	15	20%	1	2%	19	2%	1	1%	23	N/A
Behavioral health services	1	6%	24	N/A	1	2%	19	N/A	7	4%	11	N/A
Senior/Adult Day Care	2	12%	15	N/A	5	11%	8	N/A	7	4%	11	N/A
Home repair	7	41%	1	35%	11	24%	2	13%	39	23%	2	N/A
Legal assistance	4	24%	6	30%	8	17%	3	6%	19	11%	9	N/A
Transportation	5	29%	4	15%	5	11%	8	5%	38	23%	3	N/A
Rental/mortgage assistance	3	18%	9	30%	3	7%	12	8%	28	17%	6	N/A
Financial education	4	24%	6	35%	4	9%	11	3%	5	3%	15	N/A
Food assistance	5	29%	4	35%	6	13%	5	6%	24	14%	7	N/A
Health care/health insurance	3	18%	9	25%	1	2%	19	5%	7	4%	11	N/A
Independent living supports (homemaker assistance)	2	12%	15	20%	3	7%	12	5%	33	20%	4	N/A
Refugee services	2	12%	15	20%	1	2%	19	2%	2	1%	19	N/A
Crime victim services	2	12%	15	35%	1	2%	19	2%	1	1%	23	N/A
Full day Head Start	2	12%	15	25%	3	7%	12	2%	3	2%	16	N/A
Half day Head Start	2	12%	15	45%	1	2%	19	6%	3	2%	16	N/A
Tax Preparation	2	12%	15	N/A	6	13%	5	N/A	6	4%	14	N/A

\*Program(s) were not included in 2016 survey.

**Q14. Which of the following services have you not received that would be the most helpful to you and your family?**

	Homeless Services*				ARIZONA@WORK Job Centers*				Opportunities for Youth Reengagement Centers*			
	Ct.	2019 %	2019 Rank	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2019 Rank	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2019 Rank	2016 %
Child care	2	10%	10	N/A	10	24%	5	N/A	2	40%	2	N/A
Dental care	9	43%	1	N/A	17	41%	1	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Employment assistance/ job training	4	19%	5	N/A	13	32%	3	N/A	3	60%	1	N/A
English instruction	2	10%	10	N/A	2	5%	20	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Literacy services	2	10%	10	N/A	1	2%	24	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Homeless shelter services	2	10%	10	N/A	4	10%	12	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Senior Centers	5	24%	3	N/A	4	10%	12	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Substance abuse/mental health services	1	5%	23	N/A	4	10%	12	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Utility assistance	2	10%	10	N/A	15	37%	2	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Domestic violence services	2	10%	10	N/A	1	2%	24	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Human trafficking services	1	5%	23	N/A	3	7%	16	N/A	2	40%	2	N/A
Behavioral health services	1	5%	23	N/A	4	10%	12	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Senior/Adult Day Care	2	10%	10	N/A	3	7%	16	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Home repair	4	19%	5	N/A	8	20%	6	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Legal assistance	2	10%	10	N/A	7	17%	9	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Transportation	5	24%	3	N/A	5	12%	10	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Rental/mortgage assistance	4	19%	5	N/A	13	32%	3	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Financial education	3	14%	8	N/A	3	7%	16	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Food assistance	7	33%	2	N/A	8	20%	6	N/A	2	40%	2	N/A
Health care/health insurance	2	10%	10	N/A	5	12%	10	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Independent living supports (homemaker assistance)	3	14%	8	N/A	1	2%	24	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Refugee services	2	10%	10	N/A	2	5%	20	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Crime victim services	1	5%	23	N/A	2	5%	20	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Full day Head Start	2	10%	10	N/A	8	20%	6	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Half day Head Start	2	10%	10	N/A	3	7%	16	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A
Tax Preparation	2	10%	10	N/A	2	5%	20	N/A	1	20%	5	N/A

\*Program(s) were not included in 2016 survey.



**Q15. What is preventing you from accessing the services listed in the previous question?**

	Total			Early Head Start In Home Services			Early Head Start Child Care		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Copayments	257	21%	13%	30	24%	24%	24	23%	4%
Don't meet eligibility requirements	313	25%	19%	38	30%	24%	33	32%	6%
Inconvenient hours	107	9%	6%	12	10%	16%	11	11%	4%
No knowledge of available services	458	37%	24%	38	30%	24%	47	45%	6%
Lack of transportation	200	16%	6%	12	10%	12%	8	8%	3%
Waiting lists	219	18%	7%	24	19%	12%	23	22%	4%
Lack of child care	121	10%	6%	21	17%	16%	18	17%	4%

**Q16(A) - 16(K). HSD Program Satisfaction (1 = Not At All Satisfied; 5 = Very Satisfied)**

	Early Head Start In Home Services		Early Head Start Child Care	
	Rating	Rating	Rating	Rating
Convenience of Socialization	4.7			
Convenience of Location	4.7		4.6	
Hours of Operation	4.7		4.4	
Quality of instruction for in home services	4.7			
Availability and quality of other support services	4.7		4.5	
Quality of child care			4.6	
Availability and quality of child care services			4.6	

**Q15. What is preventing you from accessing the services listed in the previous question?**

	Head Start			Senior Centers			Family Services Centers		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Copayments	98	22%	16%	34	27%	15%	23	22%	37%
Don't meet eligibility requirements	101	23%	21%	41	33%	24%	32	30%	26%
Inconvenient hours	49	11%	10%	7	6%	4%	11	10%	14%
No knowledge of available services	149	33%	31%	64	52%	25%	46	43%	31%
Lack of transportation	40	9%	7%	21	17%	9%	26	25%	25%
Waiting lists	72	16%	11%	14	11%	10%	30	28%	28%
Lack of child care	54	12%	13%	4	3%	2%	9	8%	11%

**Q16(A) - 16(K). HSD Program Satisfaction (1 = Not At All Satisfied; 5 = Very Satisfied)**

	Head Start	Senior Centers	Family Services Centers
	Rating	Rating	Rating
Convenience of Location	4.7	4.7	4.4
Hours of Operation	4.6	4.6	4.4
Quality of instruction/ Services	4.7		4.5
Availability and quality of other support services	4.6	4.5	4.3
Availability and quality of classroom services	4.7		
Quality of programs and activities		4.4	
Treated fairly, respectfully, and courteously		4.6	4.5
Professionalism of staff		4.6	4.5
Provided with helpful information and resources		4.6	4.4

**Q15. What is preventing you from accessing the services listed in the previous question?**

	Family Advocacy Center			Volunteer Income Tax Assistance			Home Delivered Meals*		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Copayments	5	36%	40%	7	22%	10%	29	28%	N/A
Don't meet eligibility requirements	6	43%	40%	7	22%	12%	23	22%	N/A
Inconvenient hours	4	29%	50%	4	13%	5%	3	3%	N/A
No knowledge of available services	6	43%	45%	18	56%	15%	48	46%	N/A
Lack of transportation	4	29%	30%	4	13%	6%	45	43%	N/A
Waiting lists	3	21%	35%	4	13%	8%	20	19%	N/A
Lack of child care	2	14%	30%	3	9%	5%	1	1%	N/A

**Q16(A) - 16(K). HSD Program Satisfaction (1 = Not At All Satisfied; 5 = Very Satisfied)**

	Family Advocacy Center		Volunteer Income Tax Assistance		Home Delivered Meals	
	Rating		Rating		Rating	
Convenience of Location	4.7		4.5		4.6	
Hours of Operation	4.6		4.6		4.6	
Quality of instruction/ Services	4.6		4.6		4.6	
Availability and quality of other support services	4.7		4.7		4.6	
Treated fairly, respectfully, and courteously			4.7		4.7	
Professionalism of staff			4.7		4.8	
Provided with helpful information and resources			4.4		4.7	

\*Program was not included in the 2016 survey.

**Q15. What is preventing you from accessing the services listed in the previous question?**

	Homeless Services*			ARIZONA@WORK Job Centers*			Opportunities for Youth Reengagement Centers*		
	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %	Ct.	2019 %	2016 %
Copayments	7	44%	N/A	9	25%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Don't meet eligibility requirements	7	44%	N/A	9	25%	N/A	3	60%	N/A
Inconvenient hours	2	13%	N/A	6	17%	N/A	2	40%	N/A
No knowledge of available services	7	44%	N/A	14	39%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Lack of transportation	4	25%	N/A	12	33%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Waiting lists	6	38%	N/A	11	31%	N/A	1	20%	N/A
Lack of child care	1	6%	N/A	5	14%	N/A	1	20%	N/A

**Q16(A) - 16(K). HSD Program Satisfaction (1 = Not At All Satisfied; 5 = Very Satisfied)**

	Homeless Services	ARIZONA@WORK Job Centers	Opportunities for Youth Reengagement Centers
	Rating	Rating	Rating
Convenience of Location	3.8	3.9	2.5
Hours of Operation	3.5	4.0	2.5
Quality of instruction/ Services	3.5	4.1	3.0
Availability and quality of other support services	3.0	3.9	2.5

\*Programs were not included in the 2016 survey

**Q17 - Q21. Respondent Demographics**

	Total		EHS In Home Services		EHS Child Care		Head Start		Senior Centers		Family Services Centers	
	Ct.	%	Ct.	%	Ct.	%	Ct.	%	Ct.	%	Ct.	%
<i>Gender</i>												
Male	379	23%	17	12%	13	11%	78	15%	75	27%	35	25%
Female	1,287	77%	122	87%	109	89%	432	84%	196	72%	104	75%
Other	10	1%	1	1%	0	0%	4	1%	2	1%	0	0%
<i>Age</i>												
18-24	120	7%	18	13%	19	16%	59	12%	2	1%	8	6%
25-34	473	28%	65	46%	63	52%	254	50%	5	2%	24	18%
35-44	326	19%	45	32%	27	22%	156	31%	5	2%	29	21%
45-54	112	7%	7	5%	6	5%	19	4%	8	3%	16	12%
55-64	161	10%	3	2%	6	5%	17	3%	36	13%	28	21%
65-74	245	15%	2	1%	1	1%	5	1%	119	44%	22	16%
75+	241	14%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	95	35%	9	7%
<i>Race/Ethnicity</i>												
Asian	39	2%	3	2%	1	1%	4	1%	16	6%	3	2%
Hispanic	774	47%	103	74%	83	67%	387	76%	44	17%	44	31%
White	468	28%	11	8%	12	10%	42	8%	152	58%	40	29%
Black/ African American	253	15%	15	11%	15	12%	39	8%	31	12%	39	28%
Native American	40	2%	0	0%	1	1%	10	2%	5	2%	5	4%
Other	24	1%	2	1%	2	2%	4	1%	8	3%	2	1%
Mixed/ Multiple	62	4%	6	4%	9	7%	24	5%	7	3%	7	5%
<i>Length of Time Living in Phoenix</i>												
Less than one year	43	3%	5	4%	1	1%	15	3%	7	3%	4	3%
1-2 years	61	4%	7	5%	4	3%	17	3%	7	3%	4	3%
2-5 years	157	10%	16	11%	14	11%	57	11%	16	6%	9	6%
6-10 years	163	10%	14	10%	12	10%	50	10%	26	10%	12	9%
10+ years	1,224	74%	99	70%	92	75%	374	73%	207	79%	110	79%
<i>Housing Status</i>												
Own your home	476	29%	31	22%	22	18%	110	22%	135	51%	28	20%
Rent your home	922	56%	89	64%	72	59%	294	58%	92	35%	100	73%
Live with someone who owns/ rents	226	14%	18	13%	28	23%	105	21%	35	13%	7	5%
Homeless	13	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%	2	1%

**Q17 - Q21. Respondent Demographics**

	Family Advocacy Center		Vol. Income Tax Assistance		Home Delivered Meals		Homeless Services		AZ@WORK Job Centers		Oppor. for Youth Reeng. Ctrs	
	Ct.	%	Ct.	%	Ct.	%	Ct.	%	Ct.	%	Ct.	%
<i>Gender</i>												
Male	9	56%	11	26%	59	36%	8	40%	10	27%	0	0%
Female	7	44%	31	74%	104	64%	12	60%	26	70%	4	100%
Other	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%
<i>Age</i>												
18-24	1	7%	3	8%	1	1%	2	11%	2	6%	1	25%
25-34	1	7%	2	5%	0	0%	3	16%	6	17%	1	25%
35-44	6	43%	5	13%	2	1%	5	26%	16	44%	1	25%
45-54	1	7%	0	0%	8	5%	2	11%	4	11%	1	25%
55-64	1	7%	2	5%	33	20%	2	11%	5	14%	0	0%
65-74	3	21%	14	35%	51	31%	1	5%	2	6%	0	0%
75+	1	7%	14	35%	67	41%	4	21%	1	3%	0	0%
<i>Race/Ethnicity</i>												
Asian	0	0%	2	5%	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	9	60%	6	15%	10	6%	4	20%	11	30%	3	75%
White	4	27%	24	60%	121	76%	6	30%	4	11%	0	0%
Black/ African American	0	0%	5	13%	15	9%	6	30%	17	46%	0	0%
Native American	0	0%	1	3%	4	3%	2	10%	1	3%	0	0%
Other	1	7%	1	3%	2	1%	2	10%	2	5%	1	25%
Mixed/ Multiple	1	7%	1	3%	4	3%	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%
<i>Length of Time Living in Phoenix</i>												
Less than one year	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	2	10%	2	5%	0	0%
1-2 years	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	1	5%	4	11%	0	0%
2-5 years	3	19%	3	7%	10	6%	1	5%	4	11%	1	25%
6-10 years	2	13%	2	5%	14	9%	4	20%	1	3%	0	0%
10+ years	11	69%	37	88%	127	82%	12	60%	27	71%	3	75%
<i>Housing Status</i>												
Own your home	5	36%	24	59%	51	34%	6	32%	6	16%	0	0%
Rent your home	5	36%	16	39%	85	56%	8	42%	26	70%	3	75%
Live with someone who owns/ rents	4	29%	1	2%	16	11%	3	16%	4	11%	1	25%
Homeless	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	11%	1	3%	0	0%

**Q22 - Q26. Respondent Demographics**

	Total		EHS In Home Services		EHS Child Care		Head Start		Senior Centers		Family Services Centers	
	Ct.	%	Ct.	%	Ct.	%	Ct.	%	Ct.	%	Ct.	%
<i>People Living in Your Home</i>												
Children under 18	2,684	50%	299	56%	246	53%	972	54%	219	37%	197	51%
Adults 18 to 59 years old	2,042	38%	209	39%	177	38%	723	40%	219	37%	139	36%
Adults 60+ years old	633	12%	30	6%	39	8%	119	7%	155	26%	47	12%
<i>Number of Children in Each Age Group</i>												
0-2 years	466	17%	51	18%	41	17%	161	17%	34	15%	40	22%
3-5 years	917	34%	98	35%	85	36%	367	38%	72	32%	61	34%
6-12 years	880	32%	92	33%	71	30%	307	32%	79	35%	50	28%
13-17 years	458	17%	36	13%	42	18%	136	14%	41	18%	30	17%
<i>Household Type</i>												
Two-parent household	619	39%	102	76%	73	61%	366	72%	29	11%	25	19%
Single-parent household	356	22%	26	19%	40	33%	109	22%	22	9%	40	31%
Grandparent(s)/other family member(s) raising child	74	5%	1	1%	5	4%	26	5%	11	4%	9	7%
Married/cohabitating and no children in home	55	3%	3	2%	1	1%	2	0%	15	6%	5	4%
Single and no children in home	166	10%	3	2%	1	1%	1	0%	35	14%	27	21%
Retired	335	21%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	146	57%	24	18%
<i>Employment Status of All Adults in the Household</i>												
Employed full-time	939	37%	87	41%	79	41%	310	43%	105	33%	71	35%
Employed part-time	262	10%	18	8%	22	11%	89	12%	36	11%	19	9%
Looking for work	276	11%	17	8%	23	12%	68	10%	33	10%	29	14%
Attending school or vocational training	222	9%	24	11%	14	7%	38	5%	28	9%	15	7%
Not working	663	26%	42	20%	43	22%	148	21%	106	33%	54	26%
Not attending school	177	7%	25	12%	11	6%	60	8%	15	5%	16	8%
<i>Household Income</i>												
Less than \$10,000	410	27%	26	19%	23	20%	113	24%	37	17%	47	37%
\$10,001 - \$15,000	221	15%	16	12%	9	8%	49	10%	29	14%	28	22%
\$15,001 - \$20,000	256	17%	27	20%	25	21%	91	19%	32	15%	23	18%
\$20,001 - \$25,000	175	12%	22	16%	19	16%	74	16%	22	10%	14	11%
\$25,001 - \$30,000	214	14%	27	20%	28	24%	92	19%	27	13%	11	9%
More than \$30,000	219	15%	18	13%	13	11%	57	12%	66	31%	5	4%

**Q22 - Q26. Respondent Demographics**

	Family Advocacy Center		Vol. Income Tax Assistance		Home Delivered Meals		Homeless Services		AZ@WORK Job Centers		Oppor. for Youth Reeng. Ctrs	
	Ct.	%	Ct.	%	Ct.	%	Ct.	%	Ct.	%	Ct.	%
<i>People Living in Your Home</i>												
Children under 18	42	49%	46	42%	157	44%	21	45%	60	48%	15	60%
Adults 18 to 59 years old	36	42%	45	41%	119	33%	14	30%	47	38%	8	32%
Adults 60+ years old	7	8%	18	17%	84	23%	12	26%	17	14%	2	8%
<i>Number of Children in Each Age Group</i>												
0-2 years	9	23%	7	16%	25	12%	4	21%	17	30%	3	23%
3-5 years	14	36%	19	43%	67	33%	4	21%	16	28%	4	31%
6-12 years	11	28%	12	27%	71	35%	8	42%	19	33%	6	46%
13-17 years	5	13%	6	14%	39	19%	3	16%	5	9%	0	0%
<i>Household Type</i>												
Two-parent household	5	36%	7	17%	5	3%	1	6%	6	17%	1	25%
Single-parent household	4	29%	5	12%	9	6%	7	39%	15	43%	3	75%
Grandparent(s)/other family member(s) raising child	0	0%	3	7%	3	2%	0	0%	3	9%	0	0%
Married/cohabitating and no children in home	0	0%	2	5%	6	4%	2	11%	1	3%	0	0%
Single and no children in home	1	7%	3	7%	31	21%	5	28%	6	17%	0	0%
Retired	4	29%	22	52%	93	63%	3	17%	4	11%	0	0%
<i>Employment Status of All Adults in the Household</i>												
Employed full-time	15	44%	22	42%	55	28%	10	48%	23	33%	3	38%
Employed part-time	2	6%	7	13%	16	8%	0	0%	10	14%	1	13%
Looking for work	3	9%	3	6%	17	9%	2	10%	4	6%	0	0%
Attending school or vocational training	1	3%	6	12%	24	12%	0	0%	6	9%	0	0%
Not working	7	21%	11	21%	75	39%	9	43%	26	37%	4	50%
Not attending school	6	18%	3	6%	6	3%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%
<i>Household Income</i>												
Less than \$10,000	1	8%	7	20%	29	20%	4	24%	19	53%	1	33%
\$10,001 - \$15,000	3	23%	7	20%	41	28%	2	12%	4	11%	0	0%
\$15,001 - \$20,000	2	15%	10	29%	37	26%	6	35%	4	11%	1	33%
\$20,001 - \$25,000	5	38%	2	6%	17	12%	3	18%	5	14%	1	33%
\$25,001 - \$30,000	2	15%	3	9%	11	8%	2	12%	0	0%	0	0%
More than \$30,000	0	0%	6	17%	9	6%	0	0%	4	11%	0	0%



**Appendix I-III: Tabulation of Partner Survey Responses**

**4. What do you think are the three greatest strengths of the neighborhood(s) served by your organization?**

	Count	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank
Affordable housing	10	14%	10	8
City services (such as parks and libraries)	21	28%	3	1
College/trade school options	5	7%	14	11
Strong cultural ties	24	32%	1	3
Strong families	24	32%	1	5
Good jobs	6	8%	13	12
Access to employment services and resources	11	15%	9	N/A
Help is available when needed	14	19%	5	4
Neighbors care about each other	14	19%	5	10
Positive police presence	9	12%	11	7
Religious communities	9	12%	11	6
Good schools	13	18%	8	9
Public transportation	14	19%	5	N/A
Accessible medical, dental and/or mental health services	19	26%	4	N/A

**5. What do you think are the three greatest challenges facing the neighborhood(s) served by your organization?**

	Count	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank
Lack of after school activities	13	18%	4	9
Not enough community resources or activities (parks, sports programs, etc.)	11	15%	6	5
School dropouts	5	7%	15	9
Drug use	22	31%	1	1
Lack of good jobs	10	14%	10	3
No affordable housing	17	24%	3	6
Juvenile crime/gangs	6	8%	13	11
Lack of access to employment/job resources	8	11%	11	N/A
Lack of accessible medical, dental and/or mental health services	12	17%	5	N/A
Not enough police presence	11	15%	6	15
Properties in poor condition or neighborhood blight	18	25%	2	2
Property crimes (such as theft)	11	15%	6	7
Residents do not work together	4	6%	16	14
Lack of good schools	3	4%	17	13
Lack of transportation	8	11%	11	8
Violent crimes	6	8%	13	12
Lack of Parent Involvement	11	15%	6	4

**Appendix I-III: Tabulation of Partner Survey Responses**

**6. In your opinion, what are the three greatest strengths of residents and families in the neighborhood(s) served by your organization?**

	Count	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank
People appreciate, respect and care about each other	26	33%	3	7
Commitment to family and community	30	38%	2	3
People spend time together doing enjoyable activities	16	21%	6	8
Strong cultural ties	37	47%	1	1
Extended family and friends that provide a lot of support	26	33%	3	2
People care about children in the neighborhood	25	32%	5	5
People value effective communication	16	21%	6	6
Adults are positive role models for children	6	8%	9	9
Strong religious faith	13	17%	8	4

**7. What three services are most important to individuals and families seeking assistance in your community?**

	Count	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank
Child care	17	27%	2	2
Dental care	2	3%	20	9
Employment assistance/job training	19	31%	1	1
English instruction	9	15%	7	12
Literacy services	2	3%	20	17
Homeless shelter services	9	15%	7	10
Senior centers	15	24%	4	15
Substance abuse/mental health services	6	10%	11	4
Utility assistance	6	10%	11	3
Domestic violence services	4	6%	15	14
Human trafficking services	1	2%	22	23
Trade or apprenticeship programs	1	2%	22	N/A
Youth education /employment services	3	5%	17	N/A
High School Equivalency (HSE)	0	0%	26	N/A
Home repair	6	10%	11	17
Legal assistance	5	8%	14	13
Transportation	13	21%	5	11
Rental/mortgage assistance	10	16%	6	4
Financial education	3	5%	17	16
Food assistance	8	13%	9	7
Health care/health insurance	17	27%	2	7
Mental health services	4	6%	15	N/A
Refugee services	0	0%	26	N/A
Crime victim services	1	2%	22	N/A
Full day Head Start	8	13%	9	6
Half day Head Start	1	2%	22	20
Independent living supports (homemaker assistance)	3	5%	17	19

**Appendix I-III: Tabulation of Partner Survey Responses**

**8. What are the primary barriers to accessing the services listed in the response to the previous question?**

	Count	%	2019 Rank	2016 Rank
Copayments	12	17%	7	6
Don't meet eligibility requirements	25	35%	3	2
Inconvenient hours	17	24%	5	7
No knowledge of available services	44	62%	1	1
Lack of transportation	20	28%	4	4
Waiting lists	32	45%	2	3
Lack of childcare	17	24%	5	5

Dear Resident:

The City of Phoenix Human Services Department (HSD) provides a comprehensive array of services to help families achieve their highest level of self-sufficiency. Services and program reach a diverse population of Phoenix residents from preschool children participating in Head Start programs to older adults attending senior center activities.

HSD is in the process of conducting a three-year community assessment and is interested in hearing your thoughts about the neighborhood in which you and your family live. Please take a few minutes to fill out this survey; your opinions will help HSD make decisions regarding its programs. Completed surveys can be returned to the center where you received it by January 25, 2019. The survey can also be accessed at: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/XVW5FMJ>

Your responses are completely anonymous. Thank you for your participation!

### Opinions Regarding the Community

1. What do you think are the three greatest **strengths** of your neighborhood?

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Affordable Housing                          | <input type="checkbox"/> Help is available when needed                            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> City services (such as parks and libraries) | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighbors care about each other                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> College/ trade school options               | <input type="checkbox"/> Positive police presence                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Strong cultural ties                        | <input type="checkbox"/> Religious communities                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Strong families                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Good schools   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Good jobs                                   | <input type="checkbox"/> Public transportation                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Access to employment services and resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Accessible medical, dental and/or mental health services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please explain):                     |   |
- 

2. What do you think are the three greatest **challenges** facing your neighborhood?

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of after school activities   | <input type="checkbox"/> Not enough police presence                                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Not enough community resources or activities (parks, sports programs, etc.) | <input type="checkbox"/> Properties in poor condition or neighborhood blight              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> School dropouts   | <input type="checkbox"/> Property crimes (such as theft)                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drug use  | <input type="checkbox"/> Residents do not work together                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of good jobs   | <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of good schools   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No affordable housing   | <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of transportation   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Juvenile crime/ gangs   | <input type="checkbox"/> Violent crimes   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of access to employment/ job resources                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of accessible medical, dental and/or mental health services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of parent involvement  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please explain):   |   |
-

3. In your opinion, what are the three greatest **strengths** of residents and families in your neighborhood?

- People appreciate, respect and care about each other
- Commitment to family and community
- People spend time together doing enjoyable activities
- Strong cultural ties
- Extended family and friends that provide a lot of support
- People care about children in the neighborhood
- People value effective communication
- Adults are positive role models for children
- Strong religious faith
- Other (please explain) \_\_\_\_\_

### Services that Help You and Your Family

4. Which, if any, of the following income support services have someone in your household been issued in the past 12 months?

- Cash Assistance/ TANF
- Supplemental Security Income (SSI)
- Unemployment Insurance

5. Which, if any, of the following nutrition/food services have you or someone in your household used in the past 12 months?

- Home Delivered Meals
- Dining Hall
- Food Stamps/ SNAP
- Soup Kitchen
- Food Banks
- Congregate Meals (such as at a Senior Center)
- Women, Infants and Children Program (WIC)

6. Which, if any, of the following housing services have you or someone in your household used in the past 12 months?

- Emergency Home Repair
- Rental/Mortgage/Utility Assistance
- Home Weatherization Services
- Subsidized Housing (Section 8)
- Utility Repair/ Replacement/ Deposit Program

7. Which, if any, of the following adult education/employment services have you or someone in your household used in the past 12 months?

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> High School Equivalency (HSE) classes | <input type="checkbox"/> Citizenship classes                            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> College/vocational classes            | <input type="checkbox"/> Job training/ job search assistance            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Parent education classes              | <input type="checkbox"/> Subsidized child care (DES/First Things First) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trade or apprenticeship programs      | <input type="checkbox"/> Youth education /employment services           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Education classes           | <input type="checkbox"/> Literacy classes                               |

8. Which, if any, of the following services have you or someone in your household utilized in the past 12 months?

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless shelter services  | <input type="checkbox"/> Crime victim advocacy services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic violence shelter services                                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Legal assistance               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Human trafficking services   | <input type="checkbox"/> Foster care services           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Department of Child Safety and Family Services (formerly known as CPS) | <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Protective Services      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Senior Center services   | <input type="checkbox"/> Tax Preparation services       |

9. Which, if any, of the following health care services have you or someone in your household used in the past 12 months?

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Any care at a doctor's office  | <input type="checkbox"/> Immunizations                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Any care at urgent care        | <input type="checkbox"/> Any dental care                               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Any care at a hospital         | <input type="checkbox"/> Mental health services                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Any care at a community clinic | <input type="checkbox"/> Substance abuse recovery or treatment         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Prenatal care services         | <input type="checkbox"/> Early intervention/developmental disabilities |

10. Were there any health care, dental or mental health services that you, a child or other adult in your home needed, but did not get in the past year?

- |                              |                             |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|

11. If you answered yes to the previous question, why didn't you or a child in your home get the needed health care?

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of health insurance | <input type="checkbox"/> Do not like/ trust doctors          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cannot afford copayments | <input type="checkbox"/> Language barriers                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No transportation        | <input type="checkbox"/> Don't meet eligibility requirements |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please explain)   |  |

\_\_\_\_\_

12. Do you currently have health insurance?

- Yes  No

13. If you answered yes to the previous question, what type of insurance do you have?

- Private (through a job)  Veteran's Insurance  
 Medicaid (AHCCCS)  Other  
 Medicare

14. Tell us which of the following services you have **NOT** received that would be the most helpful to you and your family?

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Child care                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Home repair  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Dental care                            | <input type="checkbox"/> Legal assistance                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Employment assistance/ job training    | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation                                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> English instruction                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Rental/mortgage assistance                         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Literacy services                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Financial education                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless shelter services              | <input type="checkbox"/> Food assistance                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Senior centers                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Health care/health insurance                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Substance abuse/mental health services | <input type="checkbox"/> Independent living supports (homemaker assistance) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Utility assistance                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Refugee services                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic violence services             | <input type="checkbox"/> Crime victim services                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Human trafficking services             | <input type="checkbox"/> Full day Head Start                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Behavioral health services             | <input type="checkbox"/> Half day Head Start                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Senior/Adult Day Care                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Tax Preparation                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please explain):                |   |
- 

15. What is preventing you from accessing the services listed in the previous question?

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Copayments                          | <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of transportation  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Don't meet eligibility requirements | <input type="checkbox"/> Waiting lists           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Inconvenient hours                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of child care      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No knowledge of available services  | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please explain): |
-

## Opinions Regarding Human Services Department Programs

16. Which of the following Human Services Department programs did you or your child or your parent or other adult in your home use within the past 12 months? If you used the program, please note the location.

- |   |  |                          |       |
|---|--|--------------------------|-------|
| A | Early Head Start In Home Services  | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| B | Early Head Start Child Care  | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| C | Head Start classroom   | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| D | Senior Center (congregate meals and activities)                                | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| E | Family Services Center (utility/mortgage/rental assistance or case management) | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| F | Family Advocacy Center (crime victim services)                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| G | Volunteer Income Tax Assistance Program  | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| H | Home Delivered Meals   | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| I | Homeless Services  | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| J | ARIZONA@WORK City of Phoenix Job Centers                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| K | Opportunities for Youth Reengagement Centers                                   | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |

For each program listed above that you used, please rate your satisfaction with each listed item using a 1 to 5 scale with 1 being not at all satisfied and 5 being very satisfied. If you did not use a given program, please skip that question.

	Not satisfied				Very Satisfied
	1	2	3	4	5
<b>A. Early Head Start In Home Services</b>					
Convenience of socialization	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Location	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hours of operation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Quality of instruction for in home services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Availability and quality of other support services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>B. Early Head Start Child Care</b>					
Convenience of child care location	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hours of operation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Quality of child care	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Availability and quality of other support services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Availability and quality of child care services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



	<b>Not satisfied</b>				<b>Very Satisfied</b>
	1	2	3	4	5
<b>C. Head Start Classroom</b>					
Convenience of classroom location	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hours of operation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Quality of instruction	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Availability and quality of other support services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Availability and quality of classroom services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>D. Senior Center</b>					
Convenience of location	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hours of operation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Quality of programs and activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Availability and quality of other support services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Were you treated fairly, respectfully, and courteously?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Were staff professional?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Were you provided with helpful information and resources?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>E. Family Services Center</b>					
Convenience of location	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hours of operation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Quality of services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Availability and quality of other support services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Were you treated fairly, respectfully, and courteously?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Were staff professional?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Were you provided with helpful information and resources?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>F. Family Advocacy Center</b>					
Convenience of location	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hours of operation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Quality of services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Availability and quality of other support services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	Not satisfied				Very Satisfied
	1	2	3	4	5
<b>G. Volunteer Income Tax Assistance</b>					
Convenience of location	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hours of operation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Quality of services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Availability and quality of other support services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Were you treated fairly, respectfully, and courteously?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Were staff professional?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Were you provided with helpful information and resources?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>H. Home Delivered Meals</b>					
Convenience of location	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hours of operation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Quality of services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Availability and quality of other support services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Were you treated fairly, respectfully, and courteously?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Were staff professional?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Were you provided with helpful information and resources?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>I. Homeless Services</b>					
Convenience of location	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hours of operation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Quality of services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Availability and quality of other support services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>J. ARIZONA@WORK City of Phoenix Job Centers</b>					
Convenience of location	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hours of operation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Quality of services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Availability and quality of other support services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>K. Opportunities for Youth Reengagement Centers</b>					
Convenience of location	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hours of operation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Quality of services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Availability and quality of other support services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**You and Your Family**

17. What is your gender? Female  Male  Other

18. How old are you?

- |   |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 18-24 years of age | <input type="checkbox"/> 35-44 years of age | <input type="checkbox"/> 55-64 years of age | <input type="checkbox"/> 75 + years of age |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 25-34 years of age | <input type="checkbox"/> 45-54 years of age | <input type="checkbox"/> 65-74 years of age | <input type="checkbox"/>                   |

19. Which of the following best describes your race/ ethnicity?

- |   |  |                                |   |
|---|--|--------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asian                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Hispanic        | <input type="checkbox"/> White | <input type="checkbox"/> Mixed/<br>Multiple |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Black/African American | <input type="checkbox"/> Native American | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |   |

20. How long have you lived in Phoenix?

- |   |                                     |                                    |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Less than one year | <input type="checkbox"/> 2-5 years  | <input type="checkbox"/> 10+ years |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1-2 years          | <input type="checkbox"/> 6-10 years |                                    |

21. Which of the following best describes your housing status?

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Own your home  | <input type="checkbox"/> Live with someone who owns/rents |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rent your home | <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless                         |

22. How many people live in your home?

Children under 18 \_\_\_\_\_ Adults 18 to 59 years old \_\_\_\_\_ Adults 60+ years old \_\_\_\_\_

23. If any children were listed in the previous question, how many are in each of the following groups?

0-2 years \_\_\_\_\_ 3-5 years \_\_\_\_\_ 6-12 years \_\_\_\_\_ 13-17 years \_\_\_\_\_

24. Which of the following best describes your household?

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Two-parent household                                | <input type="checkbox"/> Married/cohabitating and no children in home |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Single-parent household                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Single and no children in home               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grandparent(s)/other family member(s) raising child | <input type="checkbox"/> Retired                                      |

25. Of the adults in your household, how many are?

Employed full-time _____	Attending school or vocational training _____
Employed part-time _____	Not working _____
Looking for work _____	Not attending school _____

26. What is your household's combined annual income from all sources?

- Less than \$10,000
- \$10,001 - \$15,000
- \$15,001 - \$20,000
- \$20,001 - \$25,000
- \$25,001 - \$30,000
- More than \$30,000

Dear Partner:

The City of Phoenix Human Services Department (HSD) provides a comprehensive array of services to assist individuals and families. These services include: Head Start Birth to Five Program, case management, utility/mortgage/rental assistance, older adult programs, senior centers, homeless services, and crime victim services (the Family Advocacy Center, domestic violence and sexual assault information, community and legal resources). In addition, HSD also coordinates the citywide domestic violence and human trafficking awareness campaigns as well as the Volunteer Income Tax Assistance program.

HSD is in the process of conducting a three-year community assessment and is interested in learning your thoughts about the neighborhoods in which your clients live. Please take a few minutes to fill out this survey – your opinions will help HSD make decisions regarding its programs. Completed surveys can be returned to HSD by January 25, 2019. The survey can also be accessed at: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/XDY3X78>. Thank you for your participation!

### **Involvement with the Human Services Department**

1. Who do you work for (if a Head Start program, note the specific location)?
  
2. What is your job title?
  
3. What services is your organization/affiliation responsible for delivering?

### **Opinions Regarding the Community**

4. What do you think are the three greatest **strengths** of the neighborhood(s) served by your organization?

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Affordable Housing                          | <input type="checkbox"/> Help is available when needed                            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> City services (such as parks and libraries) | <input type="checkbox"/> Neighbors care about each other                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> College/ trade school options               | <input type="checkbox"/> Positive police presence                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Strong cultural ties                        | <input type="checkbox"/> Religious communities                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Strong families                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Good schools   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Good jobs                                   | <input type="checkbox"/> Public transportation                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Access to employment services and resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Accessible medical, dental and/or mental health services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please explain):                     |   |
-

5. What do you think are the three greatest **challenges** facing the neighborhood(s) served by your organization?

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of after school activities   | <input type="checkbox"/> Not enough police presence                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Not enough community resources or activities (parks, sports programs, etc.) | <input type="checkbox"/> Properties in poor condition or neighborhood blight |
| <input type="checkbox"/> School dropouts   | <input type="checkbox"/> Property crimes (such as theft)                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drug use  | <input type="checkbox"/> Residents do not work together                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of good jobs   | <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of good schools                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No affordable housing   | <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of transportation                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Juvenile crime/ gangs   | <input type="checkbox"/> Violent crimes                                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of access to employment/job resources                                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of Parent Involvement                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of accessible medical, dental and/or mental health services            | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please explain):<br>_____                    |

6. In your opinion, what are the three greatest **strengths** of residents and families in the neighborhood(s) served by your organization?

- People appreciate, respect and care about each other
- Commitment to family and community
- People spend time together doing enjoyable activities
- Strong cultural ties
- Extended family and friends that provide a lot of support
- People care about children in the neighborhood
- People value effective communication
- Adults are positive role models for children
- Strong religious faith
- Other (please explain) \_\_\_\_\_

**Opinions Regarding Services**

7. What **three services** are most important to individuals and families seeking assistance in your community?

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Child care                           | <input type="checkbox"/> Home repair  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Dental care                          | <input type="checkbox"/> Legal assistance                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Employment assistance/ job training  | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation                                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> English instruction                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Rental/mortgage assistance                         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Literacy services                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Financial education                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless shelter services            | <input type="checkbox"/> Food assistance                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Senior centers                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Health care/ health insurance                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Substance abuse services             | <input type="checkbox"/> Mental health services                             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Utility assistance                   | <input type="checkbox"/> Refugee services                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic violence services           | <input type="checkbox"/> Crime victim services                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Human trafficking services           | <input type="checkbox"/> Full day Head Start                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trade or apprenticeship programs     | <input type="checkbox"/> Half day Head Start                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Youth education /employment services | <input type="checkbox"/> Independent living supports (homemaker assistance) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> High School Equivalency (HSE)        | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please explain below):<br>_____             |

8. What are the primary **barriers** to accessing the services listed in the response to the previous questions?

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Copayments                          | <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of transportation                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Don't meet eligibility requirements | <input type="checkbox"/> Waiting lists                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Inconvenient hours                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of childcare                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No knowledge of available services  | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please explain below):<br>_____ |

9. In your opinion, what are the three greatest **strengths** of the programs administered by HSD?

- 1. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- 2. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

10. What three **improvements** would you recommend for the programs administered by HSD?

1.

---

2.

---

3.

---

11. Please provide any other comments that will help HSD to complete a comprehensive community assessment?

---

---

---

---

---

---



## **Appendix J: Additional Information Relating to Health**

<b>Appendix Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
Appendix J-I: Birth Rates by Primary Care Area, 2016	J-2
Appendix J-II: Mortality Rates by Primary Care Area, 2016	J-4
Appendix J-III: Disability Characteristics by Village	J-6
Appendix J-IV: Health Insurance Coverage by Age, 2015-2017	J-8
Appendix J-V: BRFSS Survey Results (2017)	J-10
Appendix J-VI: Populations Living in a Food Desert	J-20

**Appendix J-I: Birth Rates by Primary Care Area, 2016**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	N. Gateway/ Rio Vista/ Anthem	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	South Mountain
<b>Total Births*</b>	<b>84,404</b>	<b>24,239</b>	<b>725</b>	<b>2,411</b>	<b>1,894</b>	<b>1,048</b>	<b>2,373</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>777</b>	<b>1,880</b>	<b>1,047</b>	<b>4,350</b>	<b>493</b>	<b>2,459</b>	<b>2,086</b>	<b>2,172</b>

**SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF NEWBORNS AND MOTHERS BY PRIMARY CARE AREA**

Total births	84,404	24,239	725	2,411	1,894	1,048	2,373	524	777	1,880	1,047	4,350	493	2,459	2,086	2,172
Mother 19 years old or younger	5,390	1,805	10	238	96	123	71	0	66	179	75	498	6	167	80	196
% of Total	6.4%	7.4%	1.4%	9.9%	5.1%	11.7%	3.0%	0.0%	8.5%	9.5%	7.2%	11.4%	1.2%	6.8%	3.8%	9.0%
Prenatal care in the 1st trimester	58,140	16,182	620	1,390	1,320	627	1,695	418	498	1,232	742	2,700	381	1,572	1,474	1,513
% of Total	68.9%	66.8%	85.5%	57.7%	69.7%	59.8%	71.4%	79.8%	64.1%	65.5%	70.9%	62.1%	77.3%	63.9%	70.7%	69.7%
No prenatal care	2,020	420	8	56	26	24	15	0	13	42	14	98	0	48	29	47
% of Total	2.4%	1.7%	1.1%	2.3%	1.4%	2.3%	0.6%	0.0%	1.7%	2.2%	1.3%	2.3%	0.0%	2.0%	1.4%	2.2%
Public payer for birth	45,210	14,963	178	1,933	986	881	915	46	513	1,362	616	3,592	86	1,578	699	1,578
% of Total	53.6%	61.7%	24.6%	80.2%	52.1%	84.1%	38.6%	8.8%	66.0%	72.4%	58.8%	82.6%	17.4%	64.2%	33.5%	72.7%
LBW newborns (<2,500 grams at b	6,190	1,845	54	200	156	105	193	31	60	133	87	321	19	173	142	171
% of Total	7.3%	7.6%	7.4%	8.3%	8.2%	10.0%	8.1%	5.9%	7.7%	7.1%	8.3%	7.4%	3.9%	7.0%	6.8%	7.9%
Unwed mother	37,840	12,228	194	1,409	847	717	827	65	429	1,113	503	2,747	82	1,315	626	1,354
% of Total	44.8%	50.4%	26.8%	58.4%	44.7%	68.4%	34.9%	12.4%	55.2%	59.2%	48.0%	63.1%	16.6%	53.5%	30.0%	62.3%

**BIRTHS BY MOTHER'S RACE/ETHNICITY AND PRIMARY CARE AREA**

Total births	84,404	24,236	725	2,411	1,894	1,048	2,373	524	777	1,880	1,047	4,350	490	2,459	2,086	2,172
White non-Hispanic	37,760	8,089	446	564	867	154	1,478	420	247	211	217	490	399	1,010	1,258	328
% of Total	44.7%	33.4%	61.5%	23.4%	45.8%	14.7%	62.3%	80.2%	31.8%	11.2%	20.7%	11.3%	81.4%	41.1%	60.3%	15.1%
Hispanic or Latino	33,870	12,250	125	1,348	697	683	527	53	411	1,448	554	3,427	51	1,011	517	1,398
% of Total	40.1%	50.5%	17.2%	55.9%	36.8%	65.2%	22.2%	10.1%	52.9%	77.0%	52.9%	78.8%	10.4%	41.1%	24.8%	64.4%
Black or African American	4,390	2,010	60	268	180	152	94	9	52	165	198	304	0	202	50	276
% of Total	5.2%	8.3%	8.3%	11.1%	9.5%	14.5%	4.0%	1.7%	6.7%	8.8%	18.9%	7.0%	0.0%	8.2%	2.4%	12.7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5,030	717	31	91	76	50	28	0	47	31	41	73	0	100	29	120
% of Total	6.0%	3.0%	4.3%	3.8%	4.0%	4.8%	1.2%	0.0%	6.0%	1.6%	3.9%	1.7%	0.0%	4.1%	1.4%	5.5%
Asian or Pacific Islander	3,350	1,166	63	140	74	9	246	42	20	25	37	56	36	136	232	50
% of Total	4.0%	4.8%	8.7%	5.8%	3.9%	0.9%	10.4%	8.0%	2.6%	1.3%	3.5%	1.3%	7.3%	5.5%	11.1%	2.3%

**Appendix J-I: Birth Rates by Primary Care Area, 2016**

Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	N. Gateway/Rio Vista/Anthem	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	South Mountain
---------	---------	---------------------	----------	----------------	--------------	-------------	-------------	---------	----------	--------	----------	-----------------------------	----------------	-----------------	----------------

**BIRTHS BY MOTHER'S AGE GROUP AND PRIMARY CARE AREA**

Total births	84,404	24,247	730	2,410	1,890	1,050	2,373	524	780	1,880	1,050	4,350	490	2,460	2,090	2,170
Under 15 years	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% of Total	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15 to 17 years	1,420	509	0	82	20	45	19	0	10	51	22	149	0	44	14	53
% of Total	1.7%	2.1%	0.0%	3.4%	1.1%	4.3%	0.8%	0.0%	1.3%	2.7%	2.1%	3.4%	0.0%	1.8%	0.7%	2.4%
18 to 19 years	3,920	1,271	7	156	75	76	52	0	56	125	53	345	0	120	65	141
% of Total	4.6%	5.2%	1.0%	6.5%	4.0%	7.2%	2.2%	0.0%	7.2%	6.6%	5.0%	7.9%	0.0%	4.9%	3.1%	6.5%
20 to 24 years	19,780	5,846	85	706	372	294	460	31	184	494	229	1,375	49	650	306	611
% of Total	23.4%	24.1%	11.6%	29.3%	19.7%	28.0%	19.4%	5.9%	23.6%	26.3%	21.8%	31.6%	10.0%	26.4%	14.6%	28.2%
25 to 29 years	25,330	6,952	209	650	494	299	805	104	204	601	301	1,233	136	741	537	638
% of Total	30.0%	28.7%	28.6%	27.0%	26.1%	28.5%	33.9%	19.8%	26.2%	32.0%	28.7%	28.3%	27.8%	30.1%	25.7%	29.4%
30 to 34 years	21,390	5,950	274	489	568	204	691	230	189	387	269	743	171	565	740	430
% of Total	25.3%	24.5%	37.5%	20.3%	30.1%	19.4%	29.1%	43.9%	24.2%	20.6%	25.6%	17.1%	34.9%	23.0%	35.4%	19.8%
35 to 39 years	10,210	2,957	124	257	285	97	285	135	105	172	138	394	104	272	346	243
% of Total	12.1%	12.2%	17.0%	10.7%	15.1%	9.2%	12.0%	25.8%	13.5%	9.1%	13.1%	9.1%	21.2%	11.1%	16.6%	11.2%
40 to 44 years	2,130	674	19	68	72	30	53	24	28	44	30	103	21	58	74	50
% of Total	2.5%	2.8%	2.6%	2.8%	3.8%	2.9%	2.2%	4.6%	3.6%	2.3%	2.9%	2.4%	4.3%	2.4%	3.5%	2.3%
45 years and over	180	21	0	0	7	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
% of Total	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%

**PAYEE FOR BIRTHS BY PRIMARY CARE AREA**

Total births	84,404	24,241	725	2,411	1,894	1,048	2,373	520	780	1,880	1,047	4,350	492	2,459	2,090	2,172
AHCCCS	44,150	14,861	172	1,922	975	872	906	45	508	1,355	606	3,583	86	1,569	695	1,567
% of Total	52.3%	61.3%	23.7%	79.7%	51.5%	83.2%	38.2%	8.7%	65.1%	72.1%	57.9%	82.4%	17.5%	63.8%	33.3%	72.1%
Indian Health Service	1,070	92	6	11	11	9	9	0	0	7	10	9	0	9	0	11
% of Total	1.3%	0.4%	0.8%	0.5%	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.5%
Private insurance	34,520	8,313	516	408	830	123	1,299	454	234	453	393	640	369	764	1,306	524
% of Total	40.9%	34.3%	71.2%	16.9%	43.8%	11.7%	54.7%	87.3%	30.0%	24.1%	37.5%	14.7%	75.0%	31.1%	62.5%	24.1%
Self	4,370	837	30	63	76	42	127	23	28	57	34	105	23	86	76	67
% of Total	5.2%	3.5%	4.1%	2.6%	4.0%	4.0%	5.4%	4.4%	3.6%	3.0%	3.2%	2.4%	4.7%	3.5%	3.6%	3.1%
Unknown	300	97	0	7	0	0	32	0	0	8	0	13	6	31	0	0
% of Total	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	1.2%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%

\*There are slight differences in the number of total births across the various Department of Health Services' tables (e.g., three tables report 725 births in the Ahwatukee Foothills village while the other table lists 730 births). Additionally, counts do not include actuals when the number of occurrences within any village is less than 6 in accordance with ADHS' masking policies.

**Appendix J-II: Mortality Rates by Primary Care Area, 2016**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	N. Gateway/ Rio Vista/ Anthem	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	South Mountain
<b>Total Deaths*</b>	<b>56,480</b>	<b>10,250</b>	<b>410</b>	<b>1,080</b>	<b>1,080</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>1,130</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>962</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>1,398</b>	<b>1,390</b>	<b>820</b>

**NUMBER OF DEATHS BY AGE GROUP AND PRIMARY CARE AREA**

Total deaths	56,480	10,250	410	1,080	1,080	390	1,130	300	430	400	240	962	220	1,398	1,390	820
Infants <1 year	450	145	0	15	18	15	12	0	6	8	8	30	0	23	0	10
% of Total	0.8%	1.4%	0.0%	1.4%	1.7%	3.8%	1.1%	0.0%	1.4%	2.0%	3.3%	3.1%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	1.2%
Children 1 to 14 years	230	36	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	6	0	16	0	7	0	0
% of Total	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Adolescents 15 to 19 years	250	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	7	13	0	13	6	0
% of Total	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	2.9%	1.4%	0.0%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%
Young adults 20 to 44 years	3,440	850	17	83	75	41	81	17	31	54	37	117	20	113	85	79
% of Total	6.1%	8.3%	4.1%	7.7%	6.9%	10.5%	7.2%	5.7%	7.2%	13.5%	15.4%	12.2%	9.1%	8.1%	6.1%	9.6%
Middle-aged adults 45 to 64 years	10,910	2,603	82	265	262	142	271	54	130	121	61	273	35	373	259	275
% of Total	19.3%	25.4%	20.0%	24.5%	24.3%	36.4%	24.0%	18.0%	30.2%	30.3%	25.4%	28.4%	15.9%	26.7%	18.6%	33.5%
Elderly 65 years and over	41,190	6,507	308	708	722	189	753	226	259	199	124	513	165	869	1,027	445
% of Total	72.9%	63.5%	75.1%	65.6%	66.9%	48.5%	66.6%	75.3%	60.2%	49.8%	51.7%	53.3%	75.0%	62.2%	73.9%	54.3%
Unknown	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% of Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**NUMBER OF DEATHS BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND PRIMARY CARE AREA**

Total deaths	56,480	10,240	410	1,080	1,081	390	1,129	300	428	400	240	962	220	1,398	1,386	816
White non-Hispanic	44,100	7,080	355	774	852	156	994	281	273	128	84	379	204	1,120	1,258	222
% of Total	78.1%	69.1%	86.6%	71.7%	78.8%	40.0%	88.0%	93.7%	63.8%	32.0%	35.0%	39.4%	92.7%	80.1%	90.8%	27.2%
Hispanic or Latino	7,340	1,964	34	173	128	159	71	13	95	215	72	444	0	167	78	315
% of Total	13.0%	19.2%	8.3%	16.0%	11.8%	40.8%	6.3%	4.3%	22.2%	53.8%	30.0%	46.2%	0.0%	11.9%	5.6%	38.6%
Black or African American	1,930	802	9	77	63	59	33	0	32	47	61	98	0	73	23	227
% of Total	3.4%	7.8%	2.2%	7.1%	5.8%	15.1%	2.9%	0.0%	7.5%	11.8%	25.4%	10.2%	0.0%	5.2%	1.7%	27.8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2,350	194	0	28	25	13	7	0	14	0	14	20	0	24	6	43
% of Total	4.2%	1.9%	0.0%	2.6%	2.3%	3.3%	0.6%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	5.8%	2.1%	0.0%	1.7%	0.4%	5.3%
Asian or Pacific Islander	770	173	14	28	13	0	24	0	14	6	9	21	0	14	21	9
% of Total	1.4%	1.7%	3.4%	2.6%	1.2%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	3.3%	1.5%	3.8%	2.2%	0.0%	1.0%	1.5%	1.1%

**Appendix J-II: Mortality Rates by Primary Care Area, 2016**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	N. Gateway/ Rio Vista/ Anthem	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	South Mountain	
<b>NUMBER OF DEATHS FROM SELECTED CAUSES BY PRIMARY CARE AREA</b>																	
All causes	56,480	10,251	414	1,080	1,081	392	1,129	299	428	400	240	962	226	1,398	1,386	816	
Cardiovascular diseases	15,820	2,648	107	294	310	118	303	82	97	85	44	218	60	373	351	206	
% of Total	28.0%	25.8%	25.8%	27.2%	28.7%	30.1%	26.8%	27.4%	22.7%	21.3%	18.3%	22.7%	26.5%	26.7%	25.3%	25.2%	
Lung cancer	2,690	439	17	34	50	11	62	14	14	19	9	45	7	52	69	36	
% of Total	4.8%	4.3%	4.1%	3.1%	4.6%	2.8%	5.5%	4.7%	3.3%	4.8%	3.8%	4.7%	3.1%	3.7%	5.0%	4.4%	
Breast cancer	850	150	8	13	12	0	29	0	8	0	10	15	0	17	28	10	
% of Total	1.5%	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%	1.1%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	4.2%	1.6%	0.0%	1.2%	2.0%	1.2%	
Motor vehicle accident	970	234	6	29	12	9	22	0	6	20	10	30	0	42	23	25	
% of Total	1.7%	2.3%	1.4%	2.7%	1.1%	2.3%	1.9%	0.0%	1.4%	5.0%	4.2%	3.1%	0.0%	3.0%	1.7%	3.1%	
Homicide	420	152	0	19	10	9	9	0	0	12	8	33	0	18	10	24	
% of Total	0.7%	1.5%	0.0%	1.8%	0.9%	2.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	3.3%	3.4%	0.0%	1.3%	0.7%	2.9%	
Suicide	1,260	236	20	19	18	0	32	13	8	8	0	28	0	36	35	19	
% of Total	2.2%	2.3%	4.8%	1.8%	1.7%	0.0%	2.8%	4.3%	1.9%	2.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	2.6%	2.5%	2.3%	
Drug-induced deaths	1,460	338	9	37	28	24	39	0	23	18	8	25	0	53	36	38	
% of Total	2.6%	3.3%	2.2%	3.4%	2.6%	6.1%	3.5%	0.0%	5.4%	4.5%	3.3%	2.6%	0.0%	3.8%	2.6%	4.7%	
Alcohol-induced deaths	1,310	247	8	22	29	19	27	0	14	13	0	24	0	37	27	27	
% of Total	2.3%	2.4%	1.9%	2.0%	2.7%	4.8%	2.4%	0.0%	3.3%	3.3%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	2.6%	1.9%	3.3%	

\*There are slight differences in the number of total deaths across the various Department of Health Services' tables (e.g., two tables report 410 deaths in the Ahwatukee Foothills village while another table lists 414 deaths). Additionally, counts do not include actuals when the number of occurrences within any village is less than 6 in accordance with ADHS' masking policies.

## Appendix J-III: Disability Characteristics by Village

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain	
<b>Total Population</b>	6,701,990	1,586,923	81,359	137,334	143,352	56,074	179,249	55,798	56,376	88,610	59,485	236,346	19,573	169,388	175,658	4,872	123,450	
<b>Total Population w/ Disability</b>	854,637	164,425	6,317	15,342	14,392	7,158	18,125	4,477	6,357	7,636	5,480	21,686	1,593	21,812	19,393	437	14,221	
<b>Total Population w/ Disability, by Disability Characteristic</b>																		
With a hearing difficulty	269,434	44,588	2,119	4,216	4,466	1,520	5,291	1,615	1,523	1,795	1,273	4,905	452	5,901	6,239	149	3,124	
% of Total	4.0%	2.8%	2.6%	3.1%	3.1%	2.7%	3.0%	2.9%	2.7%	2.0%	2.1%	2.1%	2.3%	3.5%	3.6%	3.1%	2.5%	
With a vision difficulty	164,712	35,313	998	3,374	3,147	1,803	2,848	765	1,535	1,851	1,484	5,939	333	4,156	3,753	102	3,223	
% of Total	2.5%	2.2%	1.2%	2.5%	2.2%	3.2%	1.6%	1.4%	2.7%	2.1%	2.5%	2.5%	1.7%	2.5%	2.1%	2.1%	2.6%	
With a cognitive difficulty	303,999	63,297	2,272	6,143	5,324	3,011	6,643	1,677	2,655	2,961	2,167	8,792	521	7,933	6,781	100	6,316	
% of Total	4.5%	4.0%	2.8%	4.5%	3.7%	5.4%	3.7%	3.0%	4.7%	3.3%	3.6%	3.7%	2.7%	4.7%	3.9%	2.0%	5.1%	
With an ambulatory difficulty	443,033	44,588	2,119	4,216	4,466	1,520	5,291	1,615	1,523	1,795	1,273	4,905	452	5,901	6,239	149	3,124	
% of Total	6.6%	2.8%	2.6%	3.1%	3.1%	2.7%	3.0%	2.9%	2.7%	2.0%	2.1%	2.1%	2.3%	3.5%	3.6%	3.1%	2.5%	
With a self-care difficulty	156,624	31,873	885	2,933	3,091	1,605	3,451	579	1,341	1,717	899	4,225	212	3,762	3,832	17	3,324	
% of Total	2.3%	2.0%	1.1%	2.1%	2.2%	2.9%	1.9%	1.0%	2.4%	1.9%	1.5%	1.8%	1.1%	2.2%	2.2%	0.3%	2.7%	
With an indep. living difficulty	284,001	56,028	2,073	5,348	5,258	2,239	6,272	1,495	2,210	2,479	1,550	6,854	513	7,656	7,132	114	4,835	
% of Total	4.2%	3.5%	2.5%	3.9%	3.7%	4.0%	3.5%	2.7%	3.9%	2.8%	2.6%	2.9%	2.6%	4.5%	4.1%	2.3%	3.9%	
<b>Population w/ Disability under 5 years</b>																		
Population under 5 years	434,631	119,890	4,357	11,178	8,836	4,241	12,343	3,615	3,722	8,249	5,833	22,342	1,580	12,198	9,766	399	11,231	
% of Total	6.5%	7.6%	5.4%	8.1%	6.2%	7.6%	6.9%	6.5%	6.6%	9.3%	9.8%	9.5%	8.1%	7.2%	5.6%	8.2%	9.1%	
Pop. w/ disability under 5 years	3,165	1,153	50	74	140	14	0	38	37	80	168	183	0	177	81	0	111	
% of Total	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	
With a hearing difficulty	2,069	785	50	60	43	14	0	38	17	47	87	121	0	162	55	0	90	
% of Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	
With a vision difficulty	1,912	682	0	34	97	0	0	20	22	32	143	151	0	70	61	0	51	
% of Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

**Appendix J-III: Disability Characteristics by Village**

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain	
<b>Total Population</b>	6,701,990	1,586,923	81,359	137,334	143,352	56,074	179,249	55,798	56,376	88,610	59,485	236,346	19,573	169,388	175,658	4,872	123,450	
<b>Total Population w/ Disability</b>	854,637	164,425	6,317	15,342	14,392	7,158	18,125	4,477	6,357	7,636	5,480	21,686	1,593	21,812	19,393	437	14,221	
<b>Population w/ Disability 65 years and over</b>																		
Population 65 years and over	1,094,652	159,529	8,576	14,069	17,005	4,764	20,043	7,775	5,538	4,088	3,636	13,721	2,019	20,965	26,815	751	9,764	
% of Total	16.3%	10.1%	10.5%	10.2%	11.9%	8.5%	11.2%	13.9%	9.8%	4.6%	6.1%	5.8%	10.3%	12.4%	15.3%	15.4%	7.9%	
Pop. w/ disab. 65 years and over	377,500	56,412	2,899	5,512	5,606	2,040	6,509	2,180	2,092	1,731	1,121	5,843	684	7,428	8,520	220	4,026	
% of Total	5.6%	3.6%	3.6%	4.0%	3.9%	3.6%	3.6%	3.9%	3.7%	2.0%	1.9%	2.5%	3.5%	4.4%	4.9%	4.5%	3.3%	
With a hearing difficulty	174,582	24,001	1,315	2,325	2,297	700	3,178	1,025	820	669	473	2,288	273	3,213	3,743	116	1,565	
% of Total	2.6%	1.5%	1.6%	1.7%	1.6%	1.2%	1.8%	1.8%	1.5%	0.8%	0.8%	1.0%	1.4%	1.9%	2.1%	2.4%	1.3%	
With a vision difficulty	73,556	12,136	588	1,239	1,194	588	1,129	289	445	485	250	1,551	128	1,318	1,794	59	1,079	
% of Total	1.1%	0.8%	0.7%	0.9%	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%	0.5%	0.8%	0.5%	0.4%	0.7%	0.7%	0.8%	1.0%	1.2%	0.9%	
With a cognitive difficulty	88,797	15,406	570	1,670	1,502	524	1,856	506	550	526	344	1,969	154	1,819	2,111	36	1,268	
% of Total	1.3%	1.0%	0.7%	1.2%	1.0%	0.9%	1.0%	0.9%	1.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.8%	0.8%	1.1%	1.2%	0.7%	1.0%	
With an ambulatory difficulty	230,915	36,543	1,661	3,638	3,795	1,410	4,129	1,091	1,358	1,094	804	3,969	346	4,773	5,294	97	3,085	
% of Total	3.4%	2.3%	2.0%	2.6%	2.6%	2.5%	2.3%	2.0%	2.4%	1.2%	1.4%	1.7%	1.8%	2.8%	3.0%	2.0%	2.5%	
With a self-care difficulty	76,048	13,389	483	1,291	1,665	489	1,631	241	573	487	303	1,328	89	1,602	2,072	17	1,118	
% of Total	1.1%	0.8%	0.6%	0.9%	1.2%	0.9%	0.9%	0.4%	1.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%	0.9%	1.2%	0.3%	0.9%	
With an indep. living difficulty	141,508	24,315	1,099	2,445	2,849	657	2,771	749	1,032	951	567	2,388	242	3,110	3,615	64	1,778	
% of Total	2.1%	1.5%	1.4%	1.8%	2.0%	1.2%	1.5%	1.3%	1.8%	1.1%	1.0%	1.0%	1.2%	1.8%	2.1%	1.3%	1.4%	

**Appendix J-IV: Health Insurance Coverage by Age, 2015-2017**

	Arizona			Phoenix		
	2015	2016	2017	2015	2016	2017
<b>Total Population</b>	6,719,354	6,824,645	6,908,121	1,551,625	1,604,080	1,615,683
<b>Total Population w/ Coverage</b>	5,991,378	6,143,407	6,213,331	823,649	922,842	920,893
<b>Total Population w/ No Coverage</b>	727,976	681,238	694,790	230,233	219,992	211,587

**Coverage by Type\***

Public health insurance coverage alone or in combination	2,586,485	2,711,028	2,705,371	568,878	615,713	588,509
% of Total	38.5%	39.7%	39.2%	36.7%	38.4%	36.4%
Medicare coverage alone or in combination	1,222,730	1,261,235	1,292,196	188,689	186,912	195,697
% of Total	18.2%	18.5%	18.7%	12.2%	11.7%	12.1%
Medicaid/means tested coverage alone or in combination	1,492,423	1,591,794	1,554,419	412,582	459,635	425,248
% of Total	22.2%	23.3%	22.5%	26.6%	28.7%	26.3%
VA health care coverage alone or in combination	194,062	195,018	198,271	28,122	29,160	27,107
% of Total	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	1.8%	1.8%	1.7%
Private health insurance coverage alone or in combination	4,222,135	4,245,573	4,351,742	863,981	879,984	930,653
% of Total	62.8%	62.2%	63.0%	55.7%	54.9%	57.6%
Employer-based health insurance alone or in combination	3,288,414	3,322,913	3,459,613	711,244	734,559	783,263
% of Total	48.9%	48.7%	50.1%	45.8%	45.8%	48.5%
Direct-purchase health insurance alone or in combination	954,019	945,924	916,866	162,800	165,852	160,812
% of Total	14.2%	13.9%	13.3%	10.5%	10.3%	10.0%
Tricare/military health coverage alone or in combination	221,195	212,118	224,229	21,723	21,757	23,175
% of Total	3.3%	3.1%	3.2%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%
No health insurance coverage	727,976	681,238	694,790	230,233	219,992	211,587
% of Total	10.8%	10.0%	10.1%	14.8%	13.7%	13.1%

\*ACS 1-Year Estimates Table S2703 (Private Health Insurance Coverage by Type and Selected Characteristics) and S2704 (Public Health Insurance Coverage by Type and Selected Characteristics)

**Population under 6 years**

Population under 6 years	512,025	519,935	513,853	132,393	145,067	142,441
No health insurance coverage	35,015	30,724	33,050	9,471	9,511	7,196
% of Total	6.8%	5.9%	6.4%	7.2%	6.6%	5.1%

**Population 6 to 17 years**

Population 6 to 17 years	1,107,368	1,109,105	1,215,587	277,823	290,405	305,808
No health insurance coverage	99,232	88,722	100,094	32,328	26,277	29,370
% of Total	9.0%	8.0%	8.2%	11.6%	9.0%	9.6%



**Appendix J-IV: Health Insurance Coverage by Age, 2015-2017**

	Arizona			Phoenix		
	2015	2016	2017	2015	2016	2017
<b>Total Population</b>	6,719,354	6,824,645	6,908,121	1,551,625	1,604,080	1,615,683
<b>Total Population w/ Coverage</b>	5,991,378	6,143,407	6,213,331	823,649	922,842	920,893
<b>Total Population w/ No Coverage</b>	727,976	681,238	694,790	230,233	219,992	211,587
<b>Population 18 to 24 years</b>						
Population 18 to 24 years	653,349	655,701	658,720	155,321	158,855	165,498
No health insurance coverage	124,924	102,668	108,460	39,935	35,712	31,594
% of Total	19.1%	15.7%	16.5%	25.7%	22.5%	19.1%
<b>Population 25 to 34 years</b>						
Population 25 to 34 years	881,223	896,284	820,521	241,066	247,885	224,093
No health insurance coverage	152,104	151,647	128,886	48,784	51,628	40,361
% of Total	17.3%	16.9%	15.7%	20.2%	20.8%	18.0%
<b>Population 35 to 44 years</b>						
Population 35 to 44 years	821,553	827,179	835,001	221,244	221,062	220,996
No health insurance coverage	128,443	119,080	124,319	45,727	41,478	42,845
% of Total	15.6%	14.4%	14.9%	20.7%	18.8%	19.4%
<b>Population 45 to 54 years</b>						
Population 45 to 54 years	829,645	834,231	835,634	199,720	204,422	206,678
No health insurance coverage	106,315	107,691	106,433	31,843	35,629	35,730
% of Total	12.8%	12.9%	12.7%	15.9%	17.4%	17.3%
<b>Population 55 to 64 years</b>						
Population 55 to 64 years	806,304	822,770	839,783	164,337	170,415	177,674
No health insurance coverage	69,906	73,005	83,977	17,576	17,012	21,311
% of Total	8.7%	8.9%	10.0%	10.7%	10.0%	12.0%
<b>Population 65 to 74 years</b>						
Population 65 to 74 years	648,048	681,448	696,132	95,485	102,300	105,391
No health insurance coverage	7,926	5,347	6,495	2,944	2,120	2,016
% of Total	1.2%	0.8%	0.9%	3.1%	2.1%	1.9%
<b>Population 75 years and over</b>						
Population 75 years and over	459,839	477,992	492,890	64,236	63,669	67,104
No health insurance coverage	4,111	2,354	3,076	1,625	625	1,164
% of Total	0.9%	0.5%	0.6%	2.5%	1.0%	1.7%

**Appendix J-V: BRFS Survey Results (2017)**

	Arizona		Phoenix		Ahwatukee Foothills		Alhambra		Camelback East		Central City		Deer Valley		Desert View		Encanto	
	Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total

**Demographic profile of survey respondents**

*Gender*

Male	6,979	45.1%	1,417	44.8%	66	43.1%	103	46.6%	167	44.2%	39	63.9%	215	40.1%	72	44.4%	12	40.0%
Female	8,487	54.9%	1,747	55.2%	87	56.9%	118	53.4%	211	55.8%	22	36.1%	321	59.9%	90	55.6%	18	60.0%

*Highest grade or year of school completed?*

Never attended school	18	0.1%	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grades 1 through 8	333	2.2%	81	2.6%	0	0.0%	7	3.2%	10	2.6%	5	8.3%	4	0.7%	0	0.0%	2	6.7%
Grades 9 through 11	648	4.2%	131	4.2%	2	1.3%	14	6.4%	15	4.0%	4	6.7%	11	2.1%	1	0.6%	6	20.0%
Grade 12 or GED	3,597	23.3%	695	22.0%	25	16.3%	67	30.6%	67	17.7%	13	21.7%	119	22.2%	17	10.6%	4	13.3%
College 1 - 3 years	4,839	31.4%	895	28.4%	36	23.5%	58	26.5%	107	28.3%	11	18.3%	175	32.6%	29	18.0%	8	26.7%
College 4 or more years	5,980	38.8%	1,351	42.8%	90	58.8%	73	33.3%	179	47.4%	27	45.0%	227	42.4%	114	70.8%	10	33.3%

*Employment Status*

Employed for wages	5,636	36.8%	1,338	42.6%	71	46.7%	88	40.6%	147	39.2%	33	54.1%	229	43.0%	52	32.3%	16	53.3%
Self-employed	1,309	8.5%	316	10.1%	7	4.6%	23	10.6%	44	11.7%	6	9.8%	56	10.5%	17	10.6%	2	6.7%
Out of work for 1 year or more	354	2.3%	74	2.4%	4	2.6%	8	3.7%	4	1.1%	2	3.3%	11	2.1%	2	1.2%	0	0.0%
Out of work for less than 1 year	330	2.2%	83	2.6%	5	3.3%	5	2.3%	11	2.9%	3	4.9%	12	2.3%	2	1.2%	1	3.3%
A homemaker	933	6.1%	193	6.1%	8	5.3%	14	6.5%	15	4.0%	2	3.3%	27	5.1%	13	8.1%	2	6.7%
A student	361	2.4%	78	2.5%	3	2.0%	10	4.6%	12	3.2%	3	4.9%	6	1.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Retired	5,399	35.2%	874	27.8%	51	33.6%	44	20.3%	124	33.1%	8	13.1%	171	32.1%	71	44.1%	8	26.7%
Unable to work	1,013	6.6%	186	5.9%	3	2.0%	25	11.5%	18	4.8%	4	6.6%	20	3.8%	4	2.5%	1	3.3%

*Annual household income from all sources*

Less than \$10,000	565	4.5%	105	4.0%	1	0.8%	16	8.7%	10	3.3%	4	7.7%	14	3.1%	1	0.8%	2	6.9%
\$10,001 - \$15,000	587	4.7%	103	3.9%	0	0.0%	11	6.0%	14	4.6%	1	1.9%	7	1.6%	1	0.8%	3	10.3%
\$15,000 - \$20,000	897	7.2%	172	6.6%	2	1.6%	10	5.4%	21	7.0%	5	9.6%	18	4.0%	1	0.8%	3	10.3%
\$20,001 - \$25,000	1,205	9.6%	242	9.3%	6	4.9%	32	17.4%	36	11.9%	3	5.8%	19	4.2%	4	3.1%	4	13.8%
\$25,001 - \$35,000	1,306	10.4%	242	9.3%	11	8.9%	23	12.5%	26	8.6%	3	5.8%	40	8.9%	3	2.3%	5	17.2%
\$35,001 - \$50,000	1,904	15.2%	360	13.8%	18	14.6%	31	16.8%	43	14.2%	7	13.5%	72	16.0%	10	7.8%	2	6.9%
\$50,001 - \$75,000	2,073	16.5%	424	16.2%	28	22.8%	23	12.5%	51	16.9%	13	25.0%	85	18.8%	15	11.7%	5	17.2%
\$75,000 or more	3,993	31.9%	968	37.0%	57	46.3%	38	20.7%	101	33.4%	16	30.8%	196	43.5%	93	72.7%	5	17.2%

*Marital Status*

Married	8,013	52.2%	1,560	49.5%	86	56.6%	74	33.6%	145	38.7%	21	34.4%	307	57.6%	109	67.3%	14	46.7%
Divorced	2,243	14.6%	471	15.0%	19	12.5%	35	15.9%	66	17.6%	6	9.8%	77	14.4%	17	10.5%	8	26.7%
Widowed	1,852	12.1%	334	10.6%	19	12.5%	28	12.7%	49	13.1%	4	6.6%	54	10.1%	28	17.3%	2	6.7%
Separated	318	2.1%	82	2.6%	1	0.7%	6	2.7%	9	2.4%	6	9.8%	14	2.6%	1	0.6%	1	3.3%
Never Married	2,377	15.5%	571	18.1%	26	17.1%	67	30.5%	86	22.9%	21	34.4%	63	11.8%	4	2.5%	2	6.7%
A member of an unmarried couple	536	3.5%	131	4.2%	1	0.7%	10	4.5%	20	5.3%	3	4.9%	18	3.4%	3	1.9%	3	10.0%

*Own/ Rent Home*

Own/ Rent Home	10,997	74.8%	2,087	69.3%	114	76.0%	111	51.9%	235	64.0%	26	43.3%	409	79.6%	141	90.4%	14	48.3%
Rent	3,696	25.2%	924	30.7%	36	24.0%	103	48.1%	132	36.0%	34	56.7%	105	20.4%	15	9.6%	15	51.7%

**Appendix J-V: BRSS Survey Results (2017)**

	Arizona		Phoenix		Estrella		Laveen		Maryvale		North Gateway		North Mountain		Paradise Valley		Rio Vista		South Mountain	
	Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total

**Demographic profile of survey respondents**

*Gender*

Male	6,979	45.1%	1,417	44.8%	58	46.0%	33	58.9%	101	41.4%	54	54.0%	169	43.2%	243	47.6%	8	61.5%	77	42.1%
Female	8,487	54.9%	1,747	55.2%	68	54.0%	23	41.1%	143	58.6%	46	46.0%	222	56.8%	267	52.4%	5	38.5%	106	57.9%

*Highest grade or year of school completed?*

Never attended school	18	0.1%	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.6%
Grades 1 through 8	333	2.2%	81	2.6%	12	9.5%	2	3.6%	23	9.5%	1	1.0%	6	1.5%	4	0.8%	0	0.0%	5	2.8%
Grades 9 through 11	648	4.2%	131	4.2%	16	12.7%	3	5.4%	26	10.7%	0	0.0%	10	2.6%	9	1.8%	1	7.7%	13	7.2%
Grade 12 or GED	3,597	23.3%	695	22.0%	37	29.4%	14	25.0%	81	33.3%	14	14.0%	90	23.0%	98	19.3%	1	7.7%	48	26.5%
College 1 - 3 years	4,839	31.4%	895	28.4%	40	31.7%	20	35.7%	67	27.6%	34	34.0%	128	32.7%	125	24.6%	7	53.8%	50	27.6%
College 4 or more years	5,980	38.8%	1,351	42.8%	21	16.7%	17	30.4%	44	18.1%	51	51.0%	157	40.2%	273	53.6%	4	30.8%	64	35.4%

*Employment Status*

Employed for wages	5,636	36.8%	1,338	42.6%	56	44.8%	32	57.1%	97	40.1%	48	48.5%	159	40.8%	214	42.2%	4	30.8%	92	50.5%
Self-employed	1,309	8.5%	316	10.1%	13	10.4%	4	7.1%	29	12.0%	13	13.1%	29	7.4%	57	11.2%	3	23.1%	13	7.1%
Out of work for 1 year or more	354	2.3%	74	2.4%	7	5.6%	1	1.8%	5	2.1%	1	1.0%	15	3.8%	8	1.6%	1	7.7%	5	2.7%
Out of work for less than 1 year	330	2.2%	83	2.6%	7	5.6%	2	3.6%	3	1.2%	1	1.0%	14	3.6%	12	2.4%	0	0.0%	5	2.7%
A homemaker	933	6.1%	193	6.1%	10	8.0%	4	7.1%	27	11.2%	9	9.1%	23	5.9%	27	5.3%	1	7.7%	11	6.0%
A student	361	2.4%	78	2.5%	8	6.4%	1	1.8%	12	5.0%	1	1.0%	9	2.3%	8	1.6%	0	0.0%	5	2.7%
Retired	5,399	35.2%	874	27.8%	13	10.4%	9	16.1%	46	19.0%	25	25.3%	103	26.4%	165	32.5%	3	23.1%	33	18.1%
Unable to work	1,013	6.6%	186	5.9%	11	8.8%	3	5.4%	23	9.5%	1	1.0%	38	9.7%	16	3.2%	1	7.7%	18	9.9%

*Annual household income from all sources*

Less than \$10,000	565	4.5%	105	4.0%	9	8.7%	3	6.5%	13	6.7%	0	0.0%	19	5.8%	8	1.9%	0	0.0%	5	3.3%
\$10,001 - \$15,000	587	4.7%	103	3.9%	7	6.8%	1	2.2%	18	9.3%	1	1.1%	25	7.7%	7	1.6%	1	9.1%	6	3.9%
\$15,000 - \$20,000	897	7.2%	172	6.6%	12	11.7%	3	6.5%	26	13.5%	3	3.4%	24	7.4%	23	5.3%	0	0.0%	21	13.8%
\$20,001 - \$25,000	1,205	9.6%	242	9.3%	15	14.6%	2	4.3%	22	11.4%	5	5.7%	40	12.3%	35	8.1%	1	9.1%	18	11.8%
\$25,001 - \$35,000	1,306	10.4%	242	9.3%	15	14.6%	0	0.0%	34	17.6%	4	4.6%	30	9.2%	26	6.0%	1	9.1%	21	13.8%
\$35,001 - \$50,000	1,904	15.2%	360	13.8%	14	13.6%	8	17.4%	30	15.5%	8	9.2%	50	15.4%	55	12.8%	0	0.0%	12	7.9%
\$50,001 - \$75,000	2,073	16.5%	424	16.2%	17	16.5%	9	19.6%	28	14.5%	15	17.2%	49	15.1%	59	13.7%	0	0.0%	27	17.8%
\$75,000 or more	3,993	31.9%	968	37.0%	14	13.6%	20	43.5%	22	11.4%	51	58.6%	88	27.1%	217	50.5%	8	72.7%	42	27.6%

*Marital Status*

Married	8,013	52.2%	1,560	49.5%	45	36.3%	30	54.5%	112	46.1%	66	66.0%	163	41.7%	293	57.7%	10	76.9%	85	46.7%
Divorced	2,243	14.6%	471	15.0%	17	13.7%	8	14.5%	26	10.7%	8	8.0%	72	18.4%	84	16.5%	1	7.7%	27	14.8%
Widowed	1,852	12.1%	334	10.6%	7	5.6%	3	5.5%	23	9.5%	7	7.0%	45	11.5%	52	10.2%	1	7.7%	12	6.6%
Separated	318	2.1%	82	2.6%	6	4.8%	0	0.0%	13	5.3%	2	2.0%	10	2.6%	7	1.4%	0	0.0%	6	3.3%
Never Married	2,377	15.5%	571	18.1%	38	30.6%	9	16.4%	50	20.6%	14	14.0%	88	22.5%	58	11.4%	1	7.7%	44	24.2%
A member of an unmarried couple	536	3.5%	131	4.2%	11	8.9%	5	9.1%	19	7.8%	3	3.0%	13	3.3%	14	2.8%	0	0.0%	8	4.4%

*Own/ Rent Home*

Own/ Rent Home	10,997	74.8%	2,087	69.3%	60	53.1%	43	78.2%	112	50.5%	77	79.4%	233	63.1%	387	79.3%	11	100.0%	114	68.7%
Rent	3,696	25.2%	924	30.7%	53	46.9%	12	21.8%	110	49.5%	20	20.6%	136	36.9%	101	20.7%	0	0.0%	52	31.3%

**Appendix J-V: BRSS Survey Results (2017)**

	Arizona		Phoenix		Ahwatukee Foothills		Alhambra		Camelback East		Central City		Deer Valley		Desert View		Encanto	
	Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total
<b>How is your general health?</b>																		
Excellent	2,722	17.6%	597	18.9%	36	23.5%	27	12.4%	69	18.3%	12	19.7%	110	20.5%	54	33.3%	4	13.3%
Very Good	4,954	32.0%	1,002	31.7%	53	34.6%	61	28.0%	134	35.4%	17	27.9%	194	36.2%	55	34.0%	6	20.0%
Good	4,850	31.4%	993	31.4%	52	34.0%	74	33.9%	105	27.8%	18	29.5%	162	30.2%	38	23.5%	11	36.7%
Fair	2,102	13.6%	409	12.9%	10	6.5%	40	18.3%	54	14.3%	9	14.8%	58	10.8%	12	7.4%	8	26.7%
Poor	835	5.4%	159	5.0%	2	1.3%	16	7.3%	16	4.2%	5	8.2%	12	2.2%	3	1.9%	1	3.3%
<b>Do you have any kind of health care coverage?</b>																		
Yes	14,180	91.9%	2,819	89.4%	145	94.8%	185	84.1%	342	90.5%	54	90.0%	500	93.8%	157	96.9%	25	83.3%
No	1,245	8.1%	334	10.6%	8	5.2%	35	15.9%	36	9.5%	6	10.0%	33	6.2%	5	3.1%	5	16.7%
<b>Was there a time in the past 12 months when you needed to see a doctor but could not because of cost?</b>																		
Yes	1,683	10.9%	390	12.4%	8	5.3%	42	19.2%	52	13.8%	7	11.5%	59	11.0%	8	4.9%	6	20.0%
No	13,744	89.1%	2,763	87.6%	144	94.7%	177	80.8%	326	86.2%	54	88.5%	476	89.0%	154	95.1%	24	80.0%
<b>About how long has it been since you last visited a doctor for a routine checkup?</b>																		
Within the past year	11,191	73.8%	2,243	72.4%	112	75.7%	154	71.6%	284	75.5%	42	70.0%	388	74.0%	129	80.6%	20	69.0%
Within the past 2 years	1,867	12.3%	408	13.2%	21	14.2%	25	11.6%	42	11.2%	10	16.7%	67	12.8%	13	8.1%	1	3.4%
Within the past 5 years	1,052	6.9%	244	7.9%	9	6.1%	18	8.4%	29	7.7%	5	8.3%	42	8.0%	12	7.5%	2	6.9%
5 or more years ago	1,051	6.9%	204	6.6%	6	4.1%	18	8.4%	21	5.6%	3	5.0%	27	5.2%	6	3.8%	6	20.7%

**Appendix J-V: BRSS Survey Results (2017)**

	Arizona		Phoenix		Estrella		Laveen		Maryvale		North Gateway		North Mountain		Paradise Valley		Rio Vista		South Mountain	
	Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total

**How is your general health?**

Excellent	2,722	17.6%	597	18.9%	18	14.3%	6	10.7%	28	11.5%	24	24.0%	55	14.1%	118	23.1%	3	23.1%	33	18.0%
Very Good	4,954	32.0%	1,002	31.7%	32	25.4%	19	33.9%	53	21.7%	30	30.0%	114	29.2%	183	35.9%	4	30.8%	47	25.7%
Good	4,850	31.4%	993	31.4%	46	36.5%	21	37.5%	89	36.5%	39	39.0%	134	34.4%	137	26.9%	4	30.8%	63	34.4%
Fair	2,102	13.6%	409	12.9%	18	14.3%	8	14.3%	46	18.9%	6	6.0%	60	15.4%	48	9.4%	1	7.7%	31	16.9%
Poor	835	5.4%	159	5.0%	12	9.5%	2	3.6%	28	11.5%	1	1.0%	27	6.9%	24	4.7%	1	7.7%	9	4.9%

**Do you have any kind of health care coverage?**

Yes	14,180	91.9%	2,819	89.4%	95	75.4%	50	89.3%	192	79.3%	96	96.0%	344	88.7%	473	92.7%	11	84.6%	150	82.4%
No	1,245	8.1%	334	10.6%	31	24.6%	6	10.7%	50	20.7%	4	4.0%	44	11.3%	37	7.3%	2	15.4%	32	17.6%

**Was there a time in the past 12 months when you needed to see a doctor but could not because of cost?**

Yes	1,683	10.9%	390	12.4%	28	22.2%	6	10.7%	50	20.5%	4	4.0%	55	14.1%	42	8.3%	1	8.3%	22	12.1%
No	13,744	89.1%	2,763	87.6%	98	77.8%	50	89.3%	194	79.5%	96	96.0%	334	85.9%	465	91.7%	11	91.7%	160	87.9%

**About how long has it been since you last visited a doctor for a routine checkup?**

Within the past year	11,191	73.8%	2,243	72.4%	71	57.7%	35	67.3%	171	71.0%	76	76.8%	270	70.7%	360	72.1%	6	50.0%	125	69.8%
Within the past 2 years	1,867	12.3%	408	13.2%	26	21.1%	7	13.5%	36	14.9%	6	6.1%	60	15.7%	64	12.8%	2	16.7%	28	15.6%
Within the past 5 years	1,052	6.9%	244	7.9%	9	7.3%	7	13.5%	12	5.0%	6	6.1%	25	6.5%	48	9.6%	2	16.7%	18	10.1%
5 or more years ago	1,051	6.9%	204	6.6%	17	13.8%	3	5.8%	22	9.1%	11	11.1%	27	7.1%	27	5.4%	2	16.7%	8	4.5%

**Appendix J-V: BRFSS Survey Results (2017)**

Arizona		Phoenix		Ahwatukee Foothills		Alhambra		Camelback East		Central City		Deer Valley		Desert View		Encanto	
Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total

**Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional EVER told you that you had any of the following:**

*Heart attack (also called a myocardial infarction)?*

Yes	902	5.9%	145	4.6%	6	3.9%	9	4.1%	12	3.2%	1	1.7%	20	3.7%	13	8.0%	1	3.3%
No	14,515	94.1%	3,008	95.4%	146	96.1%	211	95.9%	365	96.8%	59	98.3%	516	96.3%	149	92.0%	29	96.7%

*Angina or coronary heart disease?*

Yes	849	5.5%	149	4.7%	8	5.2%	14	6.3%	19	5.0%	3	4.9%	22	4.1%	8	5.0%	1	3.3%
No	14,505	94.5%	2,989	95.3%	145	94.8%	207	93.7%	359	95.0%	58	95.1%	511	95.9%	152	95.0%	29	96.7%

*A stroke?*

Yes	622	4.0%	107	3.4%	3	2.0%	9	4.1%	15	4.0%	1	1.6%	14	2.6%	4	2.5%	0	0.0%
No	14,823	96.0%	3,048	96.6%	150	98.0%	212	95.9%	362	96.0%	60	98.4%	521	97.4%	158	97.5%	30	100.0%

*Asthma?*

Yes	2,383	15.4%	474	15.0%	23	15.2%	35	15.8%	61	16.1%	12	19.7%	79	14.8%	14	8.6%	3	10.0%
No	13,066	84.6%	2,685	85.0%	128	84.8%	186	84.2%	317	83.9%	49	80.3%	456	85.2%	148	91.4%	27	90.0%

*Skin cancer?*

Yes	2,116	13.7%	394	12.5%	25	16.3%	22	10.0%	55	14.7%	3	4.9%	66	12.4%	38	23.5%	7	24.1%
No	13,314	86.3%	2,759	87.5%	128	83.7%	199	90.0%	319	85.3%	58	95.1%	466	87.6%	124	76.5%	22	75.9%

*Other types of cancer?*

Yes	1,637	10.6%	311	9.8%	13	8.5%	19	8.6%	45	11.9%	8	13.1%	48	9.0%	22	13.6%	7	23.3%
No	13,817	89.4%	2,848	90.2%	140	91.5%	202	91.4%	333	88.1%	53	86.9%	488	91.0%	140	86.4%	23	76.7%

*Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, emphysema or chronic bronchitis?*

Yes	1,283	8.3%	222	7.1%	9	5.9%	23	10.4%	31	8.2%	6	9.8%	35	6.6%	8	4.9%	3	10.0%
No	14,133	91.7%	2,925	92.9%	144	94.1%	198	89.6%	345	91.8%	55	90.2%	498	93.4%	154	95.1%	27	90.0%

*Arthritis?*

Yes	5,027	32.7%	905	28.8%	46	30.5%	74	34.4%	106	28.3%	11	18.0%	167	31.3%	53	32.9%	10	33.3%
No	10,368	67.3%	2,233	71.2%	105	69.5%	141	65.6%	268	71.7%	50	82.0%	367	68.7%	108	67.1%	20	66.7%

*Depressive disorder?*

Yes	2,866	18.6%	594	18.9%	31	20.4%	39	17.9%	81	21.5%	16	26.2%	104	19.5%	15	9.3%	6	20.0%
No	12,541	81.4%	2,549	81.1%	121	79.6%	179	82.1%	296	78.5%	45	73.8%	430	80.5%	147	90.7%	24	80.0%

*Kidney disease?*

Yes	825	5.3%	160	5.1%	8	5.2%	11	5.0%	11	2.9%	5	8.2%	28	5.3%	4	2.5%	2	6.7%
No	14,604	94.7%	2,990	94.9%	145	94.8%	208	95.0%	367	97.1%	56	91.8%	504	94.7%	157	97.5%	28	93.3%

*Diabetes?*

Yes	2,007	13.3%	387	12.5%	12	8.1%	33	15.2%	35	9.5%	6	10.2%	64	12.1%	8	5.1%	7	24.1%
No	13,117	86.7%	2,707	87.5%	137	91.9%	184	84.8%	335	90.5%	53	89.8%	464	87.9%	150	94.9%	22	75.9%

**Appendix J-V: BRSS Survey Results (2017)**

Arizona		Phoenix		Estrella		Laveen		Maryvale		North Gateway		North Mountain		Paradise Valley		Rio Vista		South Mountain	
Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total

**Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional EVER told you that you had any of the following:**

*Heart attack (also called a myocardial infarction)?*

Yes	902	5.9%	145	4.6%	5	4.0%	3	5.4%	12	4.9%	3	3.0%	27	6.9%	23	4.6%	1	7.7%	9	4.9%
No	14,515	94.1%	3,008	95.4%	119	96.0%	53	94.6%	232	95.1%	97	97.0%	364	93.1%	482	95.4%	12	92.3%	174	95.1%

*Angina or coronary heart disease?*

Yes	849	5.5%	149	4.7%	7	5.6%	3	5.4%	9	3.7%	2	2.0%	21	5.4%	25	5.0%	1	7.7%	6	3.4%
No	14,505	94.5%	2,989	95.3%	119	94.4%	53	94.6%	232	96.3%	97	98.0%	366	94.6%	477	95.0%	12	92.3%	172	96.6%

*A stroke?*

Yes	622	4.0%	107	3.4%	4	3.2%	0	0.0%	5	2.0%	2	2.0%	22	5.7%	19	3.8%	1	7.7%	8	4.4%
No	14,823	96.0%	3,048	96.6%	122	96.8%	56	100.0%	239	98.0%	98	98.0%	366	94.3%	487	96.2%	12	92.3%	175	95.6%

*Asthma?*

Yes	2,383	15.4%	474	15.0%	23	18.3%	4	7.1%	43	17.6%	13	13.0%	67	17.2%	74	14.5%	1	7.7%	22	12.0%
No	13,066	84.6%	2,685	85.0%	103	81.7%	52	92.9%	201	82.4%	87	87.0%	323	82.8%	435	85.5%	12	92.3%	161	88.0%

*Skin cancer?*

Yes	2,116	13.7%	394	12.5%	6	4.8%	1	1.8%	8	3.3%	15	15.0%	43	11.0%	94	18.5%	1	7.7%	10	5.5%
No	13,314	86.3%	2,759	87.5%	119	95.2%	55	98.2%	236	96.7%	85	85.0%	348	89.0%	415	81.5%	12	92.3%	173	94.5%

*Other types of cancer?*

Yes	1,637	10.6%	311	9.8%	3	2.4%	5	8.9%	19	7.8%	10	10.0%	38	9.7%	63	12.4%	1	7.7%	10	5.5%
No	13,817	89.4%	2,848	90.2%	121	97.6%	51	91.1%	224	92.2%	90	90.0%	352	90.3%	446	87.6%	12	92.3%	173	94.5%

*Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, emphysema or chronic bronchitis?*

Yes	1,283	8.3%	222	7.1%	7	5.6%	1	1.8%	22	9.1%	3	3.0%	34	8.7%	30	5.9%	1	7.7%	9	5.0%
No	14,133	91.7%	2,925	92.9%	117	94.4%	54	98.2%	220	90.9%	97	97.0%	356	91.3%	476	94.1%	12	92.3%	172	95.0%

*Arthritis?*

Yes	5,027	32.7%	905	28.8%	26	20.6%	15	27.3%	67	27.6%	18	18.0%	110	28.4%	153	30.2%	3	23.1%	46	25.3%
No	10,368	67.3%	2,233	71.2%	100	79.4%	40	72.7%	176	72.4%	82	82.0%	277	71.6%	353	69.8%	10	76.9%	136	74.7%

*Depressive disorder?*

Yes	2,866	18.6%	594	18.9%	26	21.0%	8	14.3%	47	19.5%	12	12.2%	88	22.7%	88	17.3%	3	23.1%	30	16.5%
No	12,541	81.4%	2,549	81.1%	98	79.0%	48	85.7%	194	80.5%	86	87.8%	299	77.3%	420	82.7%	10	76.9%	152	83.5%

*Kidney disease?*

Yes	825	5.3%	160	5.1%	7	5.6%	2	3.6%	18	7.4%	3	3.0%	28	7.2%	25	4.9%	3	23.1%	5	2.7%
No	14,604	94.7%	2,990	94.9%	119	94.4%	54	96.4%	224	92.6%	97	97.0%	360	92.8%	483	95.1%	10	76.9%	178	97.3%

*Diabetes?*

Yes	2,007	13.3%	387	12.5%	17	13.8%	6	11.3%	53	22.4%	9	9.1%	65	17.2%	42	8.4%	4	30.8%	26	14.5%
No	13,117	86.7%	2,707	87.5%	106	86.2%	47	88.7%	184	77.6%	90	90.9%	313	82.8%	460	91.6%	9	69.2%	153	85.5%

**Appendix J-V: BRSS Survey Results (2017)**

Arizona		Phoenix		Ahwatukee Foothills		Alhambra		Camelback East		Central City		Deer Valley		Desert View		Encanto	
Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total

**Are you deaf or do you have serious difficulty hearing?**

Yes	1,359	9.1%	215	7.0%	8	5.3%	26	12.2%	21	5.7%	9	15.5%	35	6.7%	10	6.3%	2	7.1%
No	13,651	90.9%	2,857	93.0%	142	94.7%	187	87.8%	350	94.3%	49	84.5%	489	93.3%	149	93.7%	26	92.9%

**Are you blind or do you have serious difficulty seeing, even when wearing glasses?**

Yes	752	5.0%	130	4.2%	5	3.3%	15	7.1%	15	4.1%	6	10.3%	9	1.7%	1	0.6%	3	10.7%
No	14,226	95.0%	2,929	95.8%	145	96.7%	197	92.9%	351	95.9%	52	89.7%	509	98.3%	158	99.4%	25	89.3%

**Because of physical, mental, or emotional conditions, do you have serious difficulty concentrating, remembering, or making decisions?**

Yes	1,455	9.8%	294	9.6%	2	1.3%	29	13.6%	31	8.5%	9	15.8%	38	7.4%	5	3.1%	1	3.6%
No	13,421	90.2%	2,753	90.4%	148	98.7%	185	86.4%	334	91.5%	48	84.2%	476	92.6%	154	96.9%	27	96.4%

**Do you have difficulty dressing or bathing?**

Yes	617	4.1%	110	3.6%	6	4.0%	8	3.7%	11	3.0%	2	3.6%	16	3.1%	3	1.9%	3	10.7%
No	14,294	95.9%	2,945	96.4%	144	96.0%	206	96.3%	356	97.0%	54	96.4%	503	96.9%	156	98.1%	25	89.3%

**Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, do you have difficulty doing errands such as visiting a doctor's office shopping?**

Yes	1,060	7.1%	203	6.7%	8	5.3%	21	10.0%	25	6.8%	6	11.1%	32	6.2%	6	3.8%	3	11.1%
No	13,789	92.9%	2,841	93.3%	142	94.7%	190	90.0%	341	93.2%	48	88.9%	487	93.8%	152	96.2%	24	88.9%

**Had at least one drink of alcohol within the past 30 days?**

Yes	7,615	52.6%	1,700	57.7%	101	69.7%	97	47.1%	217	61.0%	32	62.7%	288	57.0%	117	77.5%	17	63.0%
No	6,853	47.4%	1,248	42.3%	44	30.3%	109	52.9%	139	39.0%	19	37.3%	217	43.0%	34	22.5%	10	37.0%

**During the past month, other than your regular job, did you participate in any physical activities or exercises?**

Yes	10,542	75.1%	2,162	75.3%	126	88.1%	146	73.4%	266	75.8%	38	74.5%	389	78.1%	124	82.7%	17	65.4%
No	3,495	24.9%	710	24.7%	17	11.9%	53	26.6%	85	24.2%	13	25.5%	109	21.9%	26	17.3%	9	34.6%

**How often do you use seat belts when you drive or ride in your car?**

Always	12,330	89.2%	2,531	89.3%	131	92.3%	160	82.1%	307	89.2%	46	90.2%	454	91.9%	136	92.5%	23	88.5%
Nearly always	822	5.9%	156	5.5%	7	4.9%	16	8.2%	16	4.7%	3	5.9%	23	4.7%	6	4.1%	0	0.0%
Sometimes	330	2.4%	65	2.3%	1	0.7%	8	4.1%	8	2.3%	1	2.0%	6	1.2%	3	2.0%	1	3.8%
Seldom	140	1.0%	31	1.1%	1	0.7%	3	1.5%	3	0.9%	0	0.0%	6	1.2%	2	1.4%	1	3.8%
Never	196	1.4%	50	1.8%	2	1.4%	8	4.1%	10	2.9%	1	2.0%	5	1.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%



**Appendix J-V: BRSS Survey Results (2017)**

Arizona		Phoenix		Estrella		Laveen		Maryvale		North Gateway		North Mountain		Paradise Valley		Rio Vista		South Mountain	
Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total

**Are you deaf or do you have serious difficulty hearing?**

Yes	1,359	9.1%	215	7.0%	4	3.3%	3	5.8%	24	10.4%	6	6.3%	28	7.3%	28	5.6%	1	8.3%	10	5.6%
No	13,651	90.9%	2,857	93.0%	116	96.7%	49	94.2%	207	89.6%	89	93.7%	355	92.7%	470	94.4%	11	91.7%	168	94.4%

**Are you blind or do you have serious difficulty seeing, even when wearing glasses?**

Yes	752	5.0%	130	4.2%	11	9.2%	1	1.9%	18	7.7%	1	1.1%	18	4.7%	15	3.0%	1	8.3%	11	6.2%
No	14,226	95.0%	2,929	95.8%	109	90.8%	51	98.1%	215	92.3%	93	98.9%	367	95.3%	479	97.0%	11	91.7%	167	93.8%

**Because of physical, mental, or emotional conditions, do you have serious difficulty concentrating, remembering, or making decisions?**

Yes	1,455	9.8%	294	9.6%	16	13.6%	8	15.4%	31	13.4%	3	3.2%	53	13.8%	40	8.1%	2	16.7%	26	14.8%
No	13,421	90.2%	2,753	90.4%	102	86.4%	44	84.6%	201	86.6%	90	96.8%	331	86.2%	453	91.9%	10	83.3%	150	85.2%

**Do you have difficulty dressing or bathing?**

Yes	617	4.1%	110	3.6%	7	5.8%	1	1.9%	13	5.6%	0	0.0%	15	3.9%	17	3.5%	0	0.0%	8	4.5%
No	14,294	95.9%	2,945	96.4%	113	94.2%	51	98.1%	219	94.4%	94	100.0%	370	96.1%	474	96.5%	12	100.0%	168	95.5%

**Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, do you have difficulty doing errands such as visiting a doctor's office shopping?**

Yes	1,060	7.1%	203	6.7%	12	10.0%	2	3.8%	19	8.2%	0	0.0%	28	7.3%	31	6.3%	0	0.0%	10	5.7%
No	13,789	92.9%	2,841	93.3%	108	90.0%	50	96.2%	214	91.8%	93	100.0%	356	92.7%	459	93.7%	12	100.0%	165	94.3%

**Had at least one drink of alcohol within the past 30 days?**

Yes	7,615	52.6%	1,700	57.7%	56	48.7%	20	40.0%	97	44.1%	64	71.1%	194	51.9%	302	63.8%	9	75.0%	89	51.4%
No	6,853	47.4%	1,248	42.3%	59	51.3%	30	60.0%	123	55.9%	26	28.9%	180	48.1%	171	36.2%	3	25.0%	84	48.6%

**During the past month, other than your regular job, did you participate in any physical activities or exercises?**

Yes	10,542	75.1%	2,162	75.3%	66	64.1%	35	74.5%	127	60.5%	73	83.9%	266	73.1%	371	79.3%	7	63.6%	111	67.7%
No	3,495	24.9%	710	24.7%	37	35.9%	12	25.5%	83	39.5%	14	16.1%	98	26.9%	97	20.7%	4	36.4%	53	32.3%

**How often do you use seat belts when you drive or ride in your car?**

Always	12,330	89.2%	2,531	89.3%	82	80.4%	38	86.4%	177	86.3%	80	94.1%	329	90.4%	419	90.7%	9	81.8%	140	87.0%
Nearly always	822	5.9%	156	5.5%	9	8.8%	3	6.8%	10	4.9%	5	5.9%	20	5.5%	26	5.6%	0	0.0%	12	7.5%
Sometimes	330	2.4%	65	2.3%	6	5.9%	1	2.3%	10	4.9%	0	0.0%	8	2.2%	9	1.9%	0	0.0%	3	1.9%
Seldom	140	1.0%	31	1.1%	3	2.9%	0	0.0%	4	2.0%	0	0.0%	4	1.1%	1	0.2%	1	9.1%	2	1.2%
Never	196	1.4%	50	1.8%	2	2.0%	2	4.5%	4	2.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.8%	7	1.5%	1	9.1%	4	2.5%

**Appendix J-V: BRFSS Survey Results (2017)**

Arizona		Phoenix		Ahwatukee Foothills		Alhambra		Camelback East		Central City		Deer Valley		Desert View		Encanto	
Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total

***During past 12 months, have you had a flu shot or a flu vaccine?***

Yes	5,961	43.3%	1,164	41.3%	66	46.5%	86	44.3%	147	42.9%	24	47.1%	205	41.8%	85	57.8%	8	30.8%
No	7,797	56.7%	1,657	58.7%	76	53.5%	108	55.7%	196	57.1%	27	52.9%	286	58.2%	62	42.2%	18	69.2%

***Have you ever had a pneumonia shot?***

Yes	6,287	49.8%	1,200	46.7%	60	49.6%	91	51.4%	153	49.0%	21	46.7%	210	46.1%	72	52.6%	13	52.0%
No	6,331	50.2%	1,370	53.3%	61	50.4%	86	48.6%	159	51.0%	24	53.3%	246	53.9%	65	47.4%	12	48.0%

***Have you ever had the shingles or zoster vaccine?***

Yes	3,235	35.7%	596	34.4%	33	35.5%	46	41.4%	72	34.1%	13	48.1%	105	32.4%	50	42.7%	4	25.0%
No	5,822	64.3%	1,135	65.6%	60	64.5%	65	58.6%	139	65.9%	14	51.9%	219	67.6%	67	57.3%	12	75.0%

**Appendix J-V: BRSS Survey Results (2017)**

Arizona		Phoenix		Estrella		Laveen		Maryvale		North Gateway		North Mountain		Paradise Valley		Rio Vista		South Mountain	
Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total	Responses	% of Village Total

**During past 12 months, have you had a flu shot or a flu vaccine?**

Yes	5,961	43.3%	1,164	41.3%	28	27.5%	18	40.9%	67	32.5%	41	49.4%	144	39.6%	186	40.7%	2	18.2%	57	35.6%
No	7,797	56.7%	1,657	58.7%	74	72.5%	26	59.1%	139	67.5%	42	50.6%	220	60.4%	271	59.3%	9	81.8%	103	64.4%

**Have you ever had a pneumonia shot?**

Yes	6,287	49.8%	1,200	46.7%	28	30.1%	13	35.1%	77	41.6%	27	36.0%	164	48.2%	209	50.6%	4	40.0%	58	40.3%
No	6,331	50.2%	1,370	53.3%	65	69.9%	24	64.9%	108	58.4%	48	64.0%	176	51.8%	204	49.4%	6	60.0%	86	59.7%

**Have you ever had the shingles or zoster vaccine?**

Yes	3,235	35.7%	596	34.4%	8	17.8%	4	23.5%	22	24.4%	21	42.0%	70	32.9%	129	40.7%	1	10.0%	18	20.0%
No	5,822	64.3%	1,135	65.6%	37	82.2%	13	76.5%	68	75.6%	29	58.0%	143	67.1%	188	59.3%	9	90.0%	72	80.0%

**Appendix J-VI: Populations Living in a Food Desert\***

	Arizona	Phoenix	Ahwatukee Foothills	Alhambra	Camelback East	Central City	Deer Valley	Desert View	Encanto	Estrella	Laveen	Maryvale	North Gateway	North Mountain	Paradise Valley	Rio Vista	South Mountain
<b>Total Population (2010 Census)</b>	6,392,017	1,468,999	77,291	128,608	134,813	56,554	171,673	47,309	54,606	83,421	47,975	204,598	16,892	161,516	167,260	5,125	111,358
<b>Total Children 0 to 17</b>	1,629,014	414,287	18,976	38,692	31,370	16,557	42,950	12,546	13,302	29,760	16,349	75,107	4,679	40,264	36,691	1,258	35,785
<b>Total Adults 65 and Over</b>	881,831	124,794	6,853	12,266	15,308	3,873	14,045	4,506	4,538	2,915	2,081	10,500	1,039	17,530	21,019	544	7,777
<b>Total Housing Units</b>	2,380,990	522,943	31,280	44,328	59,753	18,215	66,207	18,696	22,569	20,253	14,038	54,371	6,272	63,009	68,496	1,524	33,932

**Total Population Living in a 1 Mile Food Desert**

Total Population	2,236,745	288,846	19,494	3,382	6,980	15,555	45,301	28,088	14,504	25,958	18,468	13,227	9,462	32,981	27,511	2,887	25,048
% of Total	35.0%	19.7%	25.2%	2.6%	5.2%	27.5%	26.4%	59.4%	26.6%	31.1%	38.5%	6.5%	56.0%	20.4%	16.4%	56.3%	22.5%

**Low-Income Population Living in a 1 Mile Food Desert**

Low-Income Population*	586,945	98,583	1,820	1,939	2,250	10,623	8,345	1,549	6,975	10,841	5,619	9,355	1,029	16,826	5,760	606	15,046
------------------------	---------	--------	-------	-------	-------	--------	-------	-------	-------	--------	-------	-------	-------	--------	-------	-----	--------

**Children Age 0 to 17 Living in a 1 Mile Food Desert**

Children Age 0 to 17	554,847	80,194	5,600	1,132	1,333	3,683	12,645	8,199	3,564	7,459	6,027	5,055	2,478	8,603	5,963	422	8,032
% of Total	34.1%	19.4%	29.5%	2.9%	4.2%	22.2%	29.4%	65.4%	26.8%	25.1%	36.9%	6.7%	52.9%	21.4%	16.3%	33.5%	22.4%

**Adults Age 65+ Living in a 1 Mile Food Desert**

Adults Age 65+	361,328	22,504	1,198	280	1,168	1,000	3,031	2,360	1,138	848	967	635	616	3,480	3,443	441	1,898
% of Total	41.0%	18.0%	17.5%	2.3%	7.6%	25.8%	21.6%	52.4%	25.1%	29.1%	46.5%	6.1%	59.2%	19.8%	16.4%	81.2%	24.4%

**Housing Units with Limited Vehicle Access in a 1 Mile Food Desert**

Housing Units	37,853	6,308	76	184	173	1,417	285	93	1,022	171	173	225	22	1,347	347	1	774
% of Total	1.6%	1.2%	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	7.8%	0.4%	0.5%	4.5%	0.8%	1.2%	0.4%	0.3%	2.1%	0.5%	0.0%	2.3%


\*Analysis was completed using data from the USDA Food Access Research Atlas (2015). Values for Total Low-Income Population were not included in the dataset.

Population figures rely on 2010 Decennial Census.


## Appendix K: Maps

Appendix Title	Page
Appendix K-I: Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty By Census Tract All Delegate Agencies and Head Start Locations	K-2
Appendix K-II: Head Start Service Area Coverage 1 Mile & 3 Mile Diameter Areas from Head Start Locations	K-3
Appendix K-III: Distribution of Adults Over 60 By Census Tract By Planning Village and Senior Center Locations	K-4
Appendix K-IV: Distribution of Adults Over 60 in Poverty by Census Tract by Planning Village and Senior Center Locations	K-5
Appendix K-V: Senior Center Service Area Coverage 1 Mile & 3 Mile Diameter Areas from Senior Center Locations	K-6
Appendix K-VI: Distribution of Individuals In Poverty by Census Tract by Planning Village and Family Services Center Locations	K-7
Appendix K-VII: Family Services Center Service Area Coverage 1 Mile & 3 Mile Diameter Areas from Family Services Center Locations	K-8
Appendix K-VIII: Population in a 1 Mile Food Desert	K-9
Appendix K-IX: Children 0 to 17 in a 1 Mile Food Desert	K-10
Appendix K-X: Adults Over 65 in a 1 Mile Food Desert	K-11
Appendix K-XI: Locations of Phoenix Fire Stations, Police Stations, and Police Beats	K-12
Appendix K-XII: Public Transit Routes and the Population 16 and Older Without a Vehicle by Census Tract	K-13

**Appendix K-I: Distribution of Children Under 5 In Poverty By Census Tract All Delegate Agencies and Head Start Locations**




**Appendix K-II: Head Start Service Area Coverage 1 Mile & 3 Mile Diameter Areas from Head Start Locations**



**Appendix K-III: Distribution of Adults Over 60 By Census Tract By Planning Village and Senior Center Locations**


**Counts of Adults 60 and Older**

- 0 - 350
- 351 - 700
- 701 - 1,050
- 1,051 - 1,400
- 1,400+







**Appendix K-IV: Distribution of Adults Over 60 in Poverty by Census Tract by Planning Village and Senior Center Locations**



**Appendix K-V: Senior Center Service Area Coverage 1 Mile & 3 Mile Diameter Areas from Senior Center Locations**




**Appendix K-VI: Distribution of Individuals In Poverty by Census Tract by Planning Village and Family Services Center Locations**




**Appendix K-VII: Family Services Center Service Area Coverage 1 Mile & 3 Mile Diameter Areas from Family Services Center Locations**

---


---



**Appendix K-VIII: Population in a 1 Mile Food Desert**





**Appendix K-IX: Children 0 to 17 in a 1 Mile Food Desert**



**Appendix K-X: Adults Over 65 in a 1 Mile Food Desert**


**Counts of Adults 65+**



**Appendix K-XI: Locations of Phoenix Fire Stations, Police Stations, and Police Beats**

**Public Safety Resources**

-  Police\_Beats
-  Phx\_Villages
-  Fire\_Stations
-  Police\_Stations





**Appendix K-XII: Public Transit Routes and the Population 16 and Older Without a Vehicle by Census Tract**

**Residents 16 and Older Without a Vehicle**

